

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2446

Title: Single-Incision laparoscopic appendectomy versus conventional laparoscopic appendectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer code: 00041963

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-22 10:25

Date reviewed: 2013-05-01 19:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This manuscript is a good metaanalysis about Single-Incision laparoscopic appendectomy versus conventional laparoscopic appendectomy. The conclusion gives good clues to the readers. There are some grammatical errors in page 13 and 15. they should correct.