

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78268

**Title:** Idiopathic tenosynovitis of the wrist with multiple rice bodies: A case report and review of literature

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05235565

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MBBS

**Professional title:** Doctor, Honorary Research Fellow, Senior Research Fellow, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-07-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-08-18 06:12

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-08-20 09:31

**Review time:** 2 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Peer-reviewer  
statements**

Peer-Review: [ ☒ ] Anonymous [ ☐ ] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ☐ ] Yes [ ☒ ] No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I would firstly like to compliment the authors for their article. It is indeed about a very rare condition. The topic is relevant in its field. However I believe the article cannot be accepted as it stands as there are several issues to be addressed. The narrative literature review is well performed and discussed. The language is appropriate. The introduction and methods are appropriate. Results are well presented. The weakest part of the article is related to the very little clinical implication of the paper. Authors failed to highlight why the article should be considered relevant in its fields, peculiarities that could make the article worth of publication, clinical implications, learning points, etc. In summary a more scientific angle is necessary and the article should be restructured accordingly. Basically all aspects related to the relevance of a case report are missing. The discussion needs to be restructured on the basis of the aforementioned issues. The parts related to the literature review are well built and presented. The supplemental material is appropriate.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78268

**Title:** Idiopathic tenosynovitis of the wrist with multiple rice bodies: A case report and review of literature

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04190223

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** DNB, MBBS, MNAMS, MS

**Professional title:** Doctor, Full Professor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-07-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-08-23 01:57

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-08-23 02:08

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

My comments to the authors    Its a well written paper highlighting the importance of rice bodies .    -I WOULD RECOMMEND THE AUTHORS TO INCLUDE RECENT REFERENCES FROM PUBMED AND MEDLINE    WHICH ARE MISSING    - IT WOULD BE BETTER TO THE READERS IF A MANAGMENT ALGORITHM HIGHLIGHTING A MANAGMENT PATHWAY IS RECOMMENDED BY THE AUTHORS    - IF ANY POST OPERATIVE FOLLOW UP PHOTOGRAPHS ARE THERE , THEN THEY CAN BE INCLUDED    THANK YOU