

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 19800

Title: Use of chondral fragments for one stage cartilage repair: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00458932

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-05-25 08:07

Date reviewed: 2015-07-01 18:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is of interest. Few grammatical errors are listed below: Title: Where are we now: Page 3, line 14:articulated cartilage Page 4, line 15:scaffold with Page 6, line 10: alcian blue Page 6, line 19: Sixteen fully.. Page 6, line 23: In a similar study, Frisbie et al (2009) compared... Page 8, line 3: glue. A... Reference list: First author in bold. Ref 14, journal name is in bold letters

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 19800

Title: Use of chondral fragments for one stage cartilage repair: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 02505493

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-05-25 08:07

Date reviewed: 2015-06-02 01:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present review aims to investigate the state of the art regarding Cartilage Autograft Implantation System or Particulated Juvenile Allograft Cartilage. The technical part of the work, i.e., searching the literature and selecting the studies to fulfill the criteria defined, looks good. However, the organization of the m/s does not follow the general rules for review articles. Some characteristic examples are as follows: 1. The chronological listing of the various observations, especially in the sections regarding "Basic Science" without any criticism does not help the reader. 2. In some cases the text seems to be written in a "copy-paste" way (i.e., compare page 4, 1st paragraph, and the abstract of ref. 4). 3. The same (or very similar) text is written in different cases, i.e., page 4, 1st paragraph (Basic science - in vitro experiments for CAIS) and page 9, 1st paragraph (Basic science - in vitro experiments for PJAC), although the results of different techniques are presented in the different pages. 4. In page 5, last paragraph, the authors refer to a study being "in press" therefore they must correct the way of its presentation. 5. There are several typo and syntax errors (i.e., see page 4, line 5 from end "in another study published in 2012..." but the cited work has been published in 2013, or page 6, line 2 from end "In a similar study published by Frisbie et al in 2009, compared



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

empty defects, CAIS technique....."), as well as words not separated by space. All text should be examined "word by word". Without the above corrections, the m/s is not suitable for publication.