

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19497

**Title:** Rotator cuff tears: An evidence based approach

**Reviewer's code:** 00051746

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-12 16:39

**Date reviewed:** 2015-05-13 20:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think that this paper is well-written and very interesting for orthopedists. In addition, this review is worth publishing in World Journal of Orthopedics.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19497

**Title:** Rotator cuff tears: An evidence based approach

**Reviewer's code:** 02709664

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-12 16:39

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-13 15:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ABSTRACT Line 90-92: These are old concepts. Most recent literature does not agree. Line 116-118: what do you mean?? Line 118: is known, not in known Line 163: What is US? Ultrasound? In any case the sentence is awkward. Line 185: The sentence is not well understable. Line 191: an higher Line 201: However is not placed correctly. Line 201, 219-223: There is a study by Gumina et al. dealing about aging and rotator cuff tear size. Please add it and discuss it. Line 240-248: There is a study by Carbone et al. dealing about smoking habit and cuff tear size. Please add it and discuss it. Line 253-257: There is a study by Gumina et al. dealing about iper-kyphosis and subacromial impingement. Please add it and discuss it. Line 482-484: I do not agree with this conclusion. I don't wait to wait for operating a cuff tear only when it becomes massive!! Line 601: Training of who is going to perform the US examination. I often receive cuff tear US assessment done by generalist, and I not even look at it because of the poor sensibility and specificity. Line 686: The term diskinesia is incorrect. Replace with dyskinesia. Line 834: Figure 3 is awful. Has a humeral head that shape??? In general, this is a well-written paper, dealing with a very interesting topic. On the other side, it is excessively too long and consequently it is difficult to follow the text. I was almost unable to finish the reading of the



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

manuscript! So, I strongly suggest to the authors to shorten the text of at least 50% and then to re-submit the paper. Best regards