

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 13898

Title: Advanced Concepts in Knee Arthrodesis,

Reviewer code: 00501336

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-06 13:24

Date reviewed: 2014-09-25 16:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written paper on a difficult subject (ie knee arthrodesis) and especially describes advanced concepts with the use of IM nailing. It will be well received from the readers and might be helpful in their clinical practice. The paper represents a considerable experience on the matter.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 13898

Title: Advanced Concepts in Knee Arthrodesis,

Reviewer code: 01218364

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-06 13:24

Date reviewed: 2014-09-07 20:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations on a well written paper regarding a difficult clinical problem. Your experience could easily lead to a book chapter on the subject and I encourage you to pursue this. I would like you to add a comment on preventing pin tract infections in the leg lengthening segment of the paper as this would be a major concern of the readers.