



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31295

Title: Helicobacter is preserved in yeast cells vacuoles, Does Koch's Postulates confirm it? !!!!!???

Reviewer's code: 03009708

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-11-10 08:59

Date reviewed: 2016-11-11 15:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is basically good but need major revision on journal style and briefing the 13 notes by authors,

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31295

Title: Helicobacter is preserved in yeast cells vacuoles, Does Koch's Postulates confirm it? !!!!!???

Reviewer's code: 00503623

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-11-10 08:59

Date reviewed: 2016-11-12 00:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this letter to the Editor, ESPS No. 31295, the author takes an issue with the conclusion reached by Siavoshi et al, ref. 20, regarding the role of yeast (*Candida*) in transferring *H. pylori* to human. His divergent opinion is well presented in terms of clarity and intellect, amply justified, and punctuated with real life comparisons, e.g., "David Copperfield tricks". However, the letter requires careful editing and English correcting. Especially, you should correct the grammar, composition, and the choice of used words to convey the intended meaning. The typical jumble is under No. 9, on p.2, "Due to lacking lactobacillus...". Also, on the same page "We have gone wrong way in our hypothesis expression by our manuscript (20)". Moreover, the names of bacteria and fungal species should be written in *Italic*, as per universally adopted convention. Name "Copper field" is one word !

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31295

Title: Helicobacter is preserved in yeast cells vacuoles, Does Koch's Postulates confirm it? !!!!!???

Reviewer's code: 03645449

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-11-10 08:59

Date reviewed: 2016-11-14 02:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The letter mentioned some considerable matters, but it is not structurally complete and need the following items: Running title, Abstract, Keywords, Core tip, Supportive foundations and Conflict of interest. Also, the references should be revised according to the guidelines of the journal. Moreover, the title should be limited to 12 words. In addition, the names of the micro-organisms species needs to be rewritten as italic.