

Answering Reviewers

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 39874

Title: Decision Modelling for Economic Evaluation of Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 00054120

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-05-25

Date reviewed: 2018-05-25

Review time: 16 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for submitting this interesting article to the World Journal of Gastroenterology. The topic of the paper is very interesting and will be a quite informative to professionals who work in the field of transplantation and translational science. However, the English language requires serious editing to make the paper easy



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

to read and to understand. Also, you need to connect your topic to the clinical practice as well as get rid of many repetitive sentences that added to much and unnecessary paragraphs to the paper.

Answer:

Dear Reviewer,

We would like to thank you for your careful reading of this manuscript and for the thoughtful and professional comments, which will significantly improve this manuscript.

We regret there were problems with the English. The manuscript has been carefully revised again to improve the grammar.

With regards to the length and structure of the article, we agreed with your advice and revised the manuscript elaborately, many repetitive sentences and paragraph were eliminated in accordance with your suggestion to improve the readability and connection to the clinical practice.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 39874

Title: Decision Modelling for Economic Evaluation of Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 02939463

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-05-25

Date reviewed: 2018-06-05

Review time: 11 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors organized the most commonly used types of decision analytical models, and discussed the advantages and weakness of each method. The scope of application of each decision analytical model were also discussed. 1. A table summarizing the major conclusion of this review will be helpful for the understanding and application of the

models.

Answer:

Dear Reviewer,

We appreciate the positive feedback from you and the constructive suggestions, which help to improve the quality of this manuscript.

A taxonomic table was added to the last section to summarizing the conclusion of this paper.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 39874

Title: Decision Modelling for Economic Evaluation of Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 03537089

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-05-25

Date reviewed: 2018-06-08

Review time: 14 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript needs minor corrections regarding, language, scientific content, to be shorted, reference addition. See the revised manuscript

Answer:

Dear Reviewer,

Thank you for your thorough reading of this manuscript and the attached revision of our manuscript.

An abbreviation list was added after core tips section as suggested, the abbreviations were correspondingly used in the main text.

References were cited at the pointed paragraphs.

Figure 1 was redrafted with higher resolution.

We would also appreciate your corrections on grammar, which improved the readability of our manuscript substantially.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

Answer to Editor's comments:

Dear Editor Wang,

Thank you for your efficient work on the publication of the manuscript and your comments to improve the normalization of our article.

The reference style was re-organized as the requirement. Please find our answers to reviewers in point-to-point manner given above. We hope that the revised manuscript will meet the requirement and we are willing to answer any other questions you and the reviewers might have.

Sincerely yours,

Zhi Qu