



ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF’S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13750

Title: Hepatocellular carcinoma: A Guide for the hepatologist

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Thomas Bock

Country: Germany

Editorial Director: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-05-04 19:21

Date reviewed: 2015-05-04 21:26

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

After careful consideration, I feel that the review has merit, but unfortunately is not yet suitable for publication in WJH as it currently stands. All reviewers called for great deal of language polishing. One can realize that the authors had made revision in this concern and presented additionally a certificate from the AJE; however, there are still corrections needed. For example in the Core tip section the sentence “A new era, micro RNAs has a potential diagnostic and prognostic impact in patients with HCC but still under clinical trials” is hard to understand. Wrong and strange sentences have been also noted by one reviewer. There is, e.g., at page 17, 2nd para another typing error: “ with HCC from those who “were had” (?) cirrhosis, had chronic...” Other minor typing errors are throughout the text. Please correct this. Another criticism of one reviewer was that the title should be re-evaluated whom I agree. As a suggestion “a guide for symptoms, diagnosis, and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma” would be a possible option. Overall, the authors have substantially improved their article but did not answer all reviewer comments satisfactorily. Therefore revision of this review article is needed before finally accepting the manuscript for publication.