

Name of the Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

**Format for Manuscript Submission: Editorial**

**Title: The future of processing and facilitating Change and Learning**

**Authors: Henriette Löffler-Stastka, Dagmar Steinmair**

**Reviewer 1:**

Specific comments to authors

Dear Author, I read your manuscript "The future of processing and facilitating change and learning" with great interest. While the manuscript discusses an appealing topic, I came up with some issues: 1. First of all, the manuscript benefits from a good English form, but the general language is a bit hard to understand. I recommend replacing some hard-to-understand sentences with simpler ones. 2. In the fifth paragraph of the main text, a brief comparison has been made among different types of studies. Since the Cohort studies stay lower in the level of evidence pyramid, it is better to mention them apart from the RCTs and systematic reviews. Also, as both cohort and case-control studies benefit from predefined study designs, they are all-way above and somehow non-comparable to the case series and expert opinions in this context. 3. Some parts of the manuscript lacks integrity (e.g., the paragraph discussing Evidence-Based Medicine). Replacing these paragraphs or discussing more on the topic could be helpful. 4. The ethical issues are one of the limitations for the mentioned research fields, which could be discussed further in the manuscript.

**Answer to Reviewer 1:**

24 April 2021

Dear Reviewer,

Thank you very much for your thoughtful and constructive comments, concerns, and suggestions on our manuscript. We appreciate your effort that helped to improve the latest version of the manuscript.

We have been able to incorporate changes to reflect the suggestions provided by your review. Here is a point-by-point response to your comments.

- 1) We reviewed grammar, sentence structure and word choice in our writing and adapted the manuscript accordingly.
- 2) We agree with your comment on the comparison among different types of studies and adapted the manuscript.
- 3) Thank you for your suggestion regarding integrity of paragraphs, we proofread the manuscript and adapted paragraphs accordingly.
- 4) Thank you for pointing this out- we agree with the ethical limitations of the mentioned research fields.

We provided a version of the manuscript with the incorporated changes and a version with tracked changes.

We are grateful for your insightful comments on our paper  
Thank you for consideration of our paper,

Sincerely