

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17843

Title: Nomograms for colorectal cancer: a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 03270692

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-03-28 16:21

Date reviewed: 2015-04-16 17:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Journal: World J Gastroenterology Manuscript Title: Nomograms for colorectal cancer: a systematic review Authors: Kawai et al. Comments to the authors In this study, the authors performed a systemic review that focuses on currently available CRC-associated nomograms, including those predicting oncological prognosis, short-term outcome of treatments such as surgery or neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy, and future development of CRC. In general, this is a interest and well-written review and may have a potential significance for clinical practice of CRC.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17843

Title: Nomograms for colorectal cancer: a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 01749224

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-03-28 16:21

Date reviewed: 2015-04-29 19:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting paper with a good review of a frequently disperse information. I suggest some changes to be improved: a) A CONSORT flow-chart detailing how studies were selected or eliminated (174 -> 41 -> 28) b) A clear recommendation of which ones are (or not) applicable in clinical practice c) Specifying in the tables which parameters are included in each nomogram d) Future directions in this field (needs and hopes, integration of biological parameters...)