

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24139

Title: 20 years of human immunodeficiency virus care at the Mayo Clinic - Past, present and future

Reviewer's code: 00506495

Reviewer's country: Israel

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-01-12 13:39

Date reviewed: 2016-01-27 03:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. This is an international journal. Most readers are not familiar with the USA state abbreviations. Please specify the meaning of MN and all other state abbreviations. 2. The expression "Women of color", while I assume what it means, may not be clear to many readers - please rephrase. 3. Page 4, third paragraph: no need to again specify "people living with HIV (PLHIV)". 4. Page 5, fifth paragraph - what about the development of resistant strains to antiretrovirals - was that not a significant complexity worth mentioning/discussing? 5. Page 6, last paragraph - detail cART. 6. Bottom of page 7 - the definition "poverty level" needs to be clarified. Is this a state based definition? What happens with those who are not less than 300% of the "poverty level"? Private insurance? Please expand. 7. Page 8, second paragraph - what does "340B contract pharmacy" mean? 8. Page 10, 2nd paragraph - Define enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (EIA). 9. Page 10, bottom of 2nd paragraph - what are the national levels that were far surpassed? Please add and give relevant reference. This is key to strengthen the claim of this manuscript; i.e. that the Mayo Clinic multidisciplinary approach and care cascade have such an "effect". 10. Conclusion - I think the main



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

conclusion is that a multidisciplinary approach as that executed in the Mayo Clinic should be implemented by others to increase the rate of successful treatment and care – this is not clearly stated in the conclusion section, but rather a “self-applause statement” is given, referring to unrelated issues, such as “participating in groundbreaking research”. Please revise.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24139

Title: 20 years of human immunodeficiency virus care at the Mayo Clinic - Past, present and future

Reviewer's code: 00731613

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-01-12 13:39

Date reviewed: 2016-01-25 03:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is useful as a guide for management of HIV patients in general. However certain queries arise on reviewing the manuscript which requires clarification. 1) In the event of multidisciplinary interaction with a HIV positive patient, how is patient confidentiality maintained since many individuals have access to the patient data? 2) The authors are requested to elaborate on the investigation protocol at the first visit as well as the follow-up visits. 3) It would be useful to elaborate on the differences between the protocol followed at the Mayo clinic and elsewhere.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24139

Title: 20 years of human immunodeficiency virus care at the Mayo Clinic - Past, present and future

Reviewer's code: 00202286

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-01-12 13:39

Date reviewed: 2016-02-03 05:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this article, the authors review the experience of 20 years of HIV care in the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, MN. They describe the multidisciplinary approach in the care of the patients. Some risk factors found in the MN population are underlined. The article is well written and interesting. The authors should avoid repeating the full word when the abbreviation has been previously given (i.e., PLHIV).