

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6701

Title: Laparoscopic NOSE-Colectomy: a systematic review

Reviewer code: 02444774

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-10-28 13:28

Date reviewed: 2014-01-24 23:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It was a nicely written systematic review. Just some minor comments hopefully can further improve it. 1. Would this new approach increase the risk of local or distant recurrence? 2. There were too many tables, please kindly try to combine some of them (e.g. combine 1 with 2, 3 with 4, 5 with 6; also combine 1, 3 and 5; and 2, 4 and 6).

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6701

Title: Laparoscopic NOSE-Colectomy: a systematic review

Reviewer code:

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-10-28 13:28

Date reviewed: 2014-01-24 23:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comments: The subject of this article is very interesting, actual and it is the beginning probably of major changes in surgery in the future. The text is fluent, logic and there are no ethic matters involved.

Specific comments: 1..Abstract: could be more specific, including besides the aim, material and methods, results and conclusion (summary) 2..Materials and methods, results and discussion are very well conceived, clear and understandable. 3..References: appropriate, relevant and as we are talking about new and innovative techniques they are of course updated. 4..Tables and figures : well done. **Classification of the manuscript:** grade B, considering the abstract. **Language :** grade B due to minor orthography mistakes (I noticed 2 in Introduction) **Conclusion :** I consider this a very interesting article and I advise to be published.