

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35852

Title: Cystic Metastasis from a Mucinous Adenocarcinoma of Duodenum Mimicking Type II Choledochal Cyst: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 02822478

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Ke Chen

Date sent for review: 2017-08-12

Date reviewed: 2017-08-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Kim et al have described a case report of mucinous adenocarcinoma of the duodenum with a pericholedochal lymph node metastasis showing cystic degeneration mistaken for a choledochal cyst. The findings are not novel however, the manuscript is well-written with good images. I would suggest deletion of the comment '...even with the retrospective review by a board-certified abdominal radiologist with 9 years of clinical experience.'

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35852

Title: Cystic Metastasis from a Mucinous Adenocarcinoma of Duodenum Mimicking Type II Choledochal Cyst: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 02537509

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Ke Chen

Date sent for review: 2017-08-12

Date reviewed: 2017-08-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors reported a interesting case of mucinous ADC of the duodenum. The approach in the description is correct. I think that this case report is worth publishing in the WJG.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35852

Title: Cystic Metastasis from a Mucinous Adenocarcinoma of Duodenum Mimicking Type II Choledochal Cyst: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 03316969

Reviewer's country: Poland

Science editor: Ke Chen

Date sent for review: 2017-08-12

Date reviewed: 2017-08-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, Kim et al presented a case report titled as „Cystic Metastasis from a Mucinous Adenocarcinoma of Duodenum Mimicking Type II Choledochal Cyst: A Case Report”. The findings are not completely new but are interesting and I believe add some contribution to the literature. The manuscript is well prepared and written however the pictures should be better described. The language is very smooth. It is valuable manuscript especially for young surgeons and oncologists.