

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 79138

Title: Diabetes mellitus is not associated with worse short term outcome in patients

older than 65 years old post-liver transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05126185 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-21 05:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-27 06:19

**Review time:** 6 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



# Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am really grateful for reviewing this manuscript. In my opinion, this manuscript can be published once some revision is done successfully. This study used Kaplan-Meier curves, log-rank tests and 148 patients to compare survival rates between those with and without liver transplanation. I would like to point out that this is a great achievement. However, I would like to suggest the authors to address the issue of random forest survival analysis in Discussion. Random forest survival analysis is considered to represent the rapid rise of artificial intelligence in medicine, which surpasses traditional statistical approaches in terms of accuracy and explainable utility.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 79138

Title: Diabetes mellitus is not associated with worse short term outcome in patients

older than 65 years old post-liver transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06398381 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-05 08:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-13 15:24

**Review time:** 8 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

You should focus more on the primary title and adequately explain your title in the introduction section. The general quality of the text is good, but I consider that the introduction could have used additional support, and the discussion section might have made better use of recent updates and explain limitations part better.