

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript NO: 67145

Title: Validity and Reliability of the Dutch Version of the Displaced Aggression Questionnaire

Reviewer's code: 02703298

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-28 11:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-30 10:25

Review time: 1 Day and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have assessed the paper with the name of "Validity and Reliability of the Dutch Version of the Displaced Aggression Questionnaire". My opinions are as follows; Title: Main idea is clear and understandable. Abstract: Abstract is readable. Introduction: Previous pertinent literature cited and discussed. Therefore, introduction is adequate. The necessity for the study is clearly indicated. Methods: Study design is appropriate to achieve study aim. Also, the method of study is reproducible. Results: Fluently and clearly presented. Discussion: Discussion is sufficient. Limitations of the study were given. Also, Suggestions for future work on this subject have been given. References: References are updated and accurate. Also references are relevant and comprehensive.