

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16742

Title: The different role of CE and DBE in the obscure small intestinal diseases

Reviewer's code: 01438991

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-01-29 22:18

Date reviewed: 2015-02-02 15:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Zhihong et al. have reported the efficacy and the different roles of capsule endoscopy (CE) and double balloon endoscopy (DBE). They analyzed 88 patients retrospectively who received CE followed by DBE. They found that the lesion detection rates of CE and DBE were around the same, however, CE was superior in the diagnosis of the scattered small ulcers and vascular malformation, and DBE was superior in the diagnosis of the larger tumors, hemangiomas and diverticular with bleeding ulcers. Their finding is interesting and useful for using these examination modality for diagnosis. However, some points should be clarified. 1, The selected patients received CE followed by DBE. Were there any positive selections whose lesions were difficult to diagnose by CE, and the doctor decided to analyze with DBE? 2, The negative detection by DBE can be divided into 2 kinds, one is that DBE did not reach the lesion, and the other is surely mis-diagnosed with DBE. These numbers of cases should be analyzed separately. 3, In Table3, the total patients number of each age group should be written.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16742

Title: The different role of CE and DBE in the obscure small intestinal diseases

Reviewer's code: 00503618

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-01-29 22:18

Date reviewed: 2015-02-01 21:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There is good information in the study. However, the manuscript needs much revision to provide proper grammar, syntax and style.