

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4297

Title: Immunological-based assays for specific detection of shrimp viruses

Reviewer code: 02451071

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-06-26 16:42

Date reviewed: 2013-08-20 09:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors were giving an overview of the immunological assays for specific detection of shrimp viruses. The advantages and disadvantages of these assays mentioned in this text are discussed and potential perspective is stated. Generally this work is good and writing is accepted. However, further polishing is required for the language. In addition, the first part of the Abstract should be shortened or deleted, because it should not confused with Introduction. Also, please pay more attention to the format, which should follow the requirements of the Journal, even for a commentary or mini-review.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4297

Title: Immunological-based assays for specific detection of shrimp viruses

Reviewer code: 00504077

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-06-26 16:42

Date reviewed: 2013-08-20 14:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It would be more benefit if you can add figures to clear you ideas.