

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 18082

Title: Obese patients have similar short-term outcomes to non-obese in laparoscopic colorectal surgery

Reviewer's code: 00033377

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-07 11:03

Date reviewed: 2015-04-16 22:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall the study is clear, well-written and easy to read. However, the authors may try to clarify what is new from this study and reference other similar studies involving the outcomes of laparoscopic colorectal surgery in colon cancer patients. Most of the discussion is based on laparoscopic surgery in general. Abstract section: LOS has not been previously abbreviated Introduction: The last sentence: "The aim of this study was to determine whether obesity was an acceptable contraindication..." should be reworded. Acceptable risk/confer any differences in outcomes for example Methods: When we read and the study population is described it mentions a standardised approach to both...the reader is left guessing what this means until the ERAS protocol is described a couple paragraphs below. The protocol/anesthetic regime/surgical technique section should be brought up or mention that the standardized approach will be described below. On page 6 analgesia is misspelled Discussion second paragraph The sentence that mentions it has been well documented that one complications are significantly more common should be rearranged On that same paragraph. A reference should be attached to the sentence that reads this included more



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

postoperative complications, conversions to open procedures and an increased length of stay
Reference should also be attached to "and other symptoms in the discussion referring to improve
technology in the form of instruments and high-definition laparoscopes have been cited this factors"

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 18082

Title: Obese patients have similar short-term outcomes to non-obese in laparoscopic colorectal surgery

Reviewer's code: 03036328

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-07 11:03

Date reviewed: 2015-04-08 03:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I will start working on it shortly