

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7271

Title: Hepatitis B Virus and Hepatitis C Virus Infection Are Not Risk of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma in Taiwan

Reviewer code: 00070481

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-13 10:09

Date reviewed: 2013-11-17 15:47

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

PDAC is an important cancer which faced early diagnosis and treatment questions. This manuscript may be useful for the early diagnosis for PDAC.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7271

Title: Hepatitis B Virus and Hepatitis C Virus Infection Are Not Risk of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma in Taiwan

Reviewer code: 00183339

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-13 10:09

Date reviewed: 2013-11-30 14:20

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper deals with the role of HBV and HCV infections in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. The authors found that HBV and HCV infections are not associated with risk of PDCA. The manuscript is well presented and of interest and the design of this study is appropriate. The study was done well and their results can contribute to knowledge of this topic. But there are minor problems in the manuscript which should be resolved: 1.It is better to correct the Aim part of the Abstract as "To investigate whether HBV and HCV infections increase the risk for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) in a high endemic area for HBV". 2.Introduction is too long and should be re-written with the focus on the aim of the study. 3.What is the prevalence of HBV and HCV infections in Taiwan? 4.The discussion is very long and could be reduced in size. 5.There are grammatical errors throughout the manuscript which should be corrected.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7271

Title: Hepatitis B Virus and Hepatitis C Virus Infection Are Not Risk of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma in Taiwan

Reviewer code: 02444976

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-13 10:09

Date reviewed: 2013-12-26 12:03

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | language polishing | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper has a good concept, is well designed and statistically sound. The conclusion is important and makes a contribution to our understanding of the subject. My only comment is that there is a need for minor English revision.