

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 57876

Title: Enteroscopy in children and adults with inflammatory bowel disease

Reviewer's code: 02941469

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-30 19:38

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-03 22:38

Review time: 3 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author Thank you for your contribution in the field of enteroscopy of small bowel IBD. I find this article very interesting and a good review summary of many of the previous device assisted enteroscopy studies in adults and pediatric patients of IBD. I believe the core tip and abstract was is nicely matching the content of the study. Please find enclosed the reviewed manuscript in Word format tacked changes sent to the editor: Enteroscopy in children and adults with Inflammatory Bowel Disease Giovanni Di Nardo, Gianluca Esposito, Chiara Ziparo, Federica Micheli, Luigi Masoni, Maria Pia Villa, Pasquale Parisi, Maria Beatrice Manca, Flavia Baccini and Vito Domenico Corleto. I have few comments to the author about the manuscripts outlined below: 1. I think the titles or subtitles in the article did not match the text below with talking about suspected IBD under the section of known IBD and vice versa. It can be modified to be "enteroscopy in suspected IBD in children, etc. " Enteroscopy in suspect IBD in adults", "Enteroscopy in combined suspected and known IBD population". It would be less confusing if we can mention adults or children in text. Example: page 7, line 18. 2. I would change the subtitles in the discussion and conclusion as suggested in the attached reviewed version. The conclusion should start on page 16 line 7. The title conclusion in the manuscript should be Discussion. 3. In page 3,line 29, specify please which population of patients has low specificity of the capsule endoscopy given the variability of this parameter between published studies. It has a widespread indication for CE and every indication may have different specificity. 4. There was an error in the reference of table on page 14 the last line. It should be table 2 not 3. 5. Spellings error as follow. • The word ulcerative on page 3 line 4. • The word multicenter page 8 line 3 and all throughout the article. • The word re-dilatation in the discussion section page 12 line 16 and 19. • The word maneuver page 6, line 4. • The word analyzed on page 11, line 8 repeated also in other sections. • The word than in page10, line 6. • Add the noun' it"

page15, line 25. Example: it needs.... • The word localization in page16 line 12 6. The diagnostic yield percentages in the text do not match the table. Can you elaborate specifically on the diagnostic yield on page 9, second paragraph, line 15 by Tun et al. If any results are calculated by the authors as mentioned in page 16 line 5, it is worth mentioning in the text. It is important to specify the definition of diagnostic yield? Is it any finding or IBD specific diagnosis? 7. I think it will be visually helpful to add these algorithms of enteroscopy use in children with suspected or known IBD in beautifully written review like this that is either suggested by the author or published previously (The algorithm were mentioned on page 10 and page13 in suspected and known IBD). 8. There is duplicated reference 29 and 42 which is the same. Re arrange the references after that accordingly. 9. Tables changes: • I would suggest specifying the impact on patient management and specify if there is any change in diagnosis, change in therapy, or intervention is needed in each of the studies because of its relevance clinically. In the table 2, page 26. • I suggest adding the reference number at each study under the author, journal, year in table 1, 2 and 3. • In Table 2, Navaneethan 2014 study in table it is mentioned retrospective but it not matching the text. Please verify is it retrospective or prospective? • Please add the management impact for the suggested studies (Navaneethan 2014 and Christian 2016) because some of these therapeutic changes are mentioned in the original article. I don't think NA(not applicable) is accurate. • I would suggest adding another column stating clinical therapeutic impact either(confirmed Crohn's diagnosis, change in medical therapy, or therapeutic intervention, etc) in management of the patients in pediatric IBD. It is worth summarizing that for the reader in the table if it is available to meet the study objectives to achieve therapeutic decisions and to plan the follow-up.