

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86385

Title: Importance of Accurate Diagnosis of Congenital Agenesis of the Gallbladder from

Atypical Gallbladder Stone Presentations: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05476795 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-28 00:17

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-07 03:21

**Review time:** 9 Days and 3 Hours

|                             | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:                          |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality          | Good  |
|                             | [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish                                       |
| Novelty of this manuscript  | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair                          |
| this manuscript             | [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation  |



| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance  |
|--|---|
| Language quality   | [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion   | [ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection                                  |
| Re-review  | [ ]Yes [Y]No  |
| Peer-reviewer statements                                     | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No   |

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- if CAGB can only be diagnosed with MRCP, are there any special symptoms that might be used as a benchmark for physical examination?



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86385

Title: Importance of Accurate Diagnosis of Congenital Agenesis of the Gallbladder from

Atypical Gallbladder Stone Presentations: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03413953 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MCh, MS

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-26

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-11 08:55

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-21 07:56

**Review time:** 9 Days and 23 Hours

|                             | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:                          |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality          | Good  |
|                             | [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish                                      |
| Novelty of this manuscript  | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair                          |
| this manuscript             | [ Y] Grade D: No creativity or innovation   |



| Scientific significance of the | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| conclusion in this manuscript  | [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance  |
| Language quality               | [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion                     | [ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection                                  |
| Re-review                      | [ ]Yes [Y]No   |
| Peer-reviewer statements       | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No  |

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Certain minor revisions of spelling, grammar and space can be done and submitted...