

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10759

**Title:** Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) programme versus conventional postoperative care for patients undergoing emergency surgery for obstructing colorectal cancer: a case-matched series

**Reviewer code:** 02537782

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-18 17:39

**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-18 23:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors showed the safety and feasibility of ERAS programme in taking care of the post-surgery patients. The manuscript was well written and the results were reliable. The work provided convincing evidence of the advantages of ERAS programme, while the limited case number and inclusion criteria impaired the significance of the study.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10759

**Title:** Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) programme versus conventional postoperative care for patients undergoing emergency surgery for obstructing colorectal cancer: a case-matched series

**Reviewer code:** 00044333

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-18 17:39

**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-27 00:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors showed the usefulness of ERAS programme for patients undergoing emergency surgery for obstructive colorectal cancer, compared to conventional postoperative care. Although this is retrospective case-matched study performed by limited number of doctors in single center, this is the first study performed in the set of emergency surgery for obstructive colorectal cancer, and these weaknesses are mentioned in discussion. This study is meaningful and the manuscript is well organized.