



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78302

**Title:** Role of octreotide in small bowel bleeding

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 04721358

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author’s Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-06-20 06:19

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-06-26 15:21

**Review time:** 6 Days and 9 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The Authors reviewed and discussed the Role of Octreotide in Small Bowel Bleeding. The topic is interesting and the review is well-written. I have only minor points to discuss: 1-Is therapy with Octreotide an alternative to other treatment (such as surgery) or a part of multimodality management of this dangerous condition? What is the role of Octreotide in emergency condition? 2- As the authors stated in the manuscript, treatment with Octreotide should be continued for a long period of time. What about the side effects and the costs of this long drug administration?



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78302

**Title:** Role of octreotide in small bowel bleeding

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05531699

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-06-27 08:05

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-07-01 14:14

**Review time:** 4 Days and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The Authors have conducted an interesting review on the role of octreotide in small bowel bleeding. The review is very comprehensive and can be of interest in the field. I can offer the following comments, minor in their nature: - did the Authors conduct any systematic search of literature to identify suitable references? this should be stated and discussed - can the Authors expand on the Conclusion section, by providing further ideas and suggestions on the role of octreotide in small bowel bleeding? - I feel that some level of overlap/copy-and-paste from other sources exist (see for instance the case report at ref [39]: do we need information on the actual dates of diagnosis and intervention?). This should not be seen as plagiarism, and it is quite common. However, can the Authors have a further look at this?



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78302

**Title:** Role of octreotide in small bowel bleeding

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02978155

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-06-27 20:41

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-07-05 14:49

**Review time:** 7 Days and 18 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a well-written paper reviewing the role of Octreotide in Small Bowel Bleeding. The title reflect the main subject. The abstract well synthesizes the main topics. Keywords are appropriate. The objectives of the review are well explained. and the results well summarized the knowledge on the topic. References are updated. Language and grammar are accurate. Only one typo I found: in the chapter on "Gastrointestinal Angiodysplasia", Diagnosis, the authors wrote "Another imaging modality that can be used for diagnosis in wireless capsule endoscopy (WCE), ..." I think they should write "Another imaging modality that can be used for diagnosis is wireless capsule endoscopy (WCE)... Finally I suggest to use the term "Gastrointestinal telangiectasia" instead of "Gastrointestinal Angiodysplasia".