

Clinical and Translational Research

Prognostic and immunological roles of heat shock protein A4 in lung adenocarcinoma

Xuan Wu, Shen-Ying Yang, Yi-Hua Zhang, Jin-Zhou Fang, Shuai Wang, Zhi-Wei Xu, Xiao-Ju Zhang

Specialty type: Oncology**Provenance and peer review:**

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): A

Grade B (Very good): 0

Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Sultana N, Bangladesh**Received:** September 11, 2023**Peer-review started:** September 11, 2023**First decision:** November 23, 2023**Revised:** December 3, 2023**Accepted:** December 29, 2023**Article in press:** December 29, 2023**Published online:** January 24, 2024**Xuan Wu, Shen-Ying Yang, Jin-Zhou Fang, Shuai Wang, Zhi-Wei Xu**, Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Zhengzhou University People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450008, Henan Province, China**Yi-Hua Zhang**, Graduate School, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang 453003, Henan Province, China**Xiao-Ju Zhang**, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Zhengzhou University People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450008, Henan Province, China**Corresponding author:** Xiao-Ju Zhang, Doctor, PhD, Academic Research, Additional Professor, Chief Physician, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Zhengzhou University People's Hospital, No. 7 Weiwu Road, Jinshui District, Zhengzhou 450008, Henan Province, China. zhangxiaoju@zzu.edu.cn**Abstract****BACKGROUND**

Heat shock protein A4 (HSPA4) belongs to molecular chaperone protein family which plays important roles within variable cellular activities, including cancer initiation and progression. However, the prognostic and immunological significance of HSPA4 in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) has not been revealed yet.

AIM

To explore the prognostic and immunological roles of HSPA4 to identify a novel prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target for LUAD.

METHODS

We assessed the prognostic and immunological significance of HSPA4 in LUAD using data from The Cancer Genome Atlas database. The association between HSPA4 expression and clinical-pathological features was assessed through Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Univariate/multivariate Cox regression analyses and Kaplan-Meier curves were employed to evaluate prognostic factors, including HSPA4, in LUAD. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was conducted to identify the key signaling pathways associated with HSPA4. The correlation between HSPA4 expression and cancer immune infiltration was evaluated using single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA).

RESULTS

Overexpressing HSPA4 was significantly related to advanced pathologic TNM stage, advanced pathologic stage, progression disease status of primary therapy outcome and female subgroups with LUAD. In addition, increased HSPA4 expression was found to be related to worse disease-specific survival and overall survival. GSEA analysis indicated a significant correlation between HSPA4 and cell cycle regulation and immune response, particularly through diminishing the function of cytotoxicity cells and CD8 T cells. The ssGSEA algorithm showed a positive correlation between HSPA4 expression and infiltrating levels of Th2 cells, while a negative correlation was observed with cytotoxic cell infiltration levels.

CONCLUSION

Our findings indicate HSPA4 is related to prognosis and immune cell infiltrates and may act as a novel prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target for LUAD.

Key Words: Heat shock protein A4; Lung adenocarcinoma; Tumor-infiltration; Prognosis; T helper cells

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Overexpressing heat shock protein A4 (HSPA4) was significantly related to advanced pathologic TNM stage, advanced pathologic stage, progression disease status of primary therapy outcome and female subgroups with lung adenocarcinoma. In addition, increased HSPA4 expression was also found to be related to worse disease-specific survival and overall survival. Gene set enrichment analysis indicated a significant correlation between HSPA4 and cell cycle regulation and immune response, particularly through diminishing the function of cytotoxicity cells and CD8 T cells. The single-sample gene set enrichment analysis algorithm showed a positive correlation between HSPA4 expression and infiltrating levels of Th2 cells, while a negative correlation was observed with cytotoxic cell infiltration levels.

Citation: Wu X, Yang SY, Zhang YH, Fang JZ, Wang S, Xu ZW, Zhang XJ. Prognostic and immunological roles of heat shock protein A4 in lung adenocarcinoma. *World J Clin Oncol* 2024; 15(1): 45-61

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v15/i1/45.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v15.i1.45>

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer remains a highly prevalent and deadly disease, causing the most cancer-related deaths globally. It is estimated that there are 2 million new diagnosed cases and more than 1.7 million deaths from lung cancer each year[1]. Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), the most prevalent histological subtype of lung cancer, exhibits significant morphological diversity and consists of tumor cells representing various histological subtypes[2]. The discovery of actionable oncogenic mutations has revolutionized cancer treatment, leading to the development of targeted therapies that specifically inhibit driver mutations. For instance, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-tyrosine kinase inhibitors have demonstrated superior tumor response and longer progression-free survival in patients with EGFR-mutated LUAD compared to traditional chemotherapy[3-5]. However, despite these advancements, advanced-stage LUAD remains largely incurable due to the emergence of therapeutic resistance mechanisms[6-8]. Identifying new oncogenic driver genes, and understanding their roles can assist us better comprehend the biological characteristics of the disease and provide new targets for precision therapy[9]. Through analyzing large-scale tumor genomic data, the researchers can find new oncogenic driver genes and further study their roles to promote the development of personalized treatments and make therapies more precise and effective[10,11]. Additionally, the search for novel prognostic markers is also important avenue to help predict the outcomes and disease progression, and make early predictions of treatment efficacy and prognosis, thereby adjusting treatment strategies and developing personalized treatment plans[12]. Some prognostic biomarkers, such as tumor staging and gene expression profiles, are already widely used in clinical practice[13]. However, due to the high heterogeneity of LUAD, existing prognostic biomarkers are not sufficient to accurately predict disease progression and prognosis for every individual patient. Therefore, the search for novel prognostic biomarkers is crucial for guiding treatment strategies and evaluating treatment efficacy in LUAD[14]. Elevated levels of structurally unstable proteins contribute to the genetic instability and continuous proliferation observed in cancer cells[15]. Additionally, cancer cells experience heightened metabolism and protein synthesis, leading to a persistent state of cellular stress that must be counterbalanced[16,17]. As a result, tumor cells heavily rely on the maintenance of protein homeostasis through molecular chaperones. As we all know, the 70-kDa heat shock proteins (HSP70s), which are present throughout the cell, play a vital role in the folding and remodeling of all cellular proteins[18,19]. In turn, the HSP70s released by cancer cells can affect the malignant characteristics through receptor-mediated signaling pathways[20,21]. Several studies have demonstrated an upregulation of HSP70 expression in various human malignant tumors[22,23]. Heat shock protein A4 (HSPA4) is a heat shock protein that acts as a co-chaperone for HSP70. HSPA4 overexpression has been associated with increased cell survival, enhanced metastatic potential, and resistance to apoptosis, and acts as potential prognostic markers in various cancers[24-26]. HSPA4 has been found to regulate the function of many immune

cells involved in the anti-tumor immune response, and modulates the activity of macrophages, dendritic cells, and natural killer cells, among others, influencing their ability to recognize and eliminate cancer cells[26,27]. However, the functional role of HSPA4 in LUAD has not been explored. Therefore, we conducted this comprehensive study to explore the prognostic and immunological significance of HSPA4 and the potential molecular mechanisms involved in LUAD development, in order to provide novel prognostic markers and potential therapeutic targets for this malignancy disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data collection and preparation

RNA-Seq profile and related clinical information of 539 LUAD samples and 59 adjacent normal tissues were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (<https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/>). Then, the RNA-seq data in FPKM format was transformed to TPM format. Our analysis strictly adhered to the publication guidelines provided by the TCGA database.

Differentially expressed gene analysis

The LUAD samples included in this study were assigned into two groups according to the median value of HSPA4 expression. Subsequently, we performed further analysis using the student's t-test through the DESeq2 R package (version 3.6.3) to identify genes that showed differential expression between these two groups. To determine significant differential expression, we applied a threshold of adjusted *P* value < 0.05 and $|\log_2\text{-fold change (FC)}| > 1.5$. Genes that met the criteria were considered as the differentially expressed genes (DEGs).

Enrichment analysis

To explore the functional implications of DEGs between the above high HSPA4 expression group and the low HSPA4 expression group, we conducted gene ontology (GO) and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) functional enrichment analyses. These analyses were conducted using the ClusterProfiler package in R (version 3.6.3). For GO analysis, which encompasses cellular component, molecular function, and biological process, we identified significantly enriched GO terms associated with these DEGs. GSEA, on the other hand, is a computational method that assesses whether a defined gene set shows concordant differences and statistically significant between two biological states. In our study, we utilized GSEA to identify signaling pathways and gene sets that were enriched in each phenotype. To sort the enriched pathways, we employed the normalized enrichment score and adjusted *p*-value. In both GO analysis and GSEA, we considered gene sets with an adjusted *P* value < 0.05 and a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.25 as significantly enriched, indicating their potential relevance to the phenotypic differences observed.

Immune infiltration analysis

To examine the association between HSPA4 and the hub genes of 24 different types of the immune cells, we employed single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) within the R GSVA package. This approach allowed us to assess the correlation between HSPA4 expression status and immune cell signatures. Additionally, we systematically analyzed the published literature to investigate the immune infiltrates associated with HSPA4. To compare the immune cell infiltrates between the HSPA4 high and low expression groups, we utilized two statistical methods. Firstly, we conducted the Spearman correlation analysis to evaluate the relationship between HSPA4 expression and the abundance of immunocytes. Secondly, we applied the Wilcoxon rank-sum test to determine any significant differences in immune cell infiltrates between the two expression groups of HSPA4. By employing these methods, we aimed to gain insights into the potential role of HSPA4 in modulating immune cell infiltrates and its implications in the context of the published literature.

Protein-protein interaction network

To explore the protein-protein interactions (PPIs) among co-regulated DEGs, we utilized the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) database (<http://string-db.org>). The PPI network was constructed based on the functional interactions between the proteins encoded by these DEGs. In our study, we set a combined score threshold of 0.7 to determine the reliability of the protein interactions. The STRING database provides a comprehensive score for each protein pair relationship, ranging from 0 to 1. The higher total score always indicates the more reliable PPI networks. To visualize and analyze the PPI network, we utilized the STRING database interface, which offers interactive visualizations and various tools for exploring the functional associations among proteins. By employing the STRING database, we aimed to gain insights into the protein interactions and functional associations among the co-regulated DEGs in our study.

Validation analysis

We evaluated the prognostic value of HSPA4 expressions in three independent datasets (GSE31210, GSE13213, and HARVARD-LC).

Statistical analysis

We performed the analyses in this study by R 3.6.3. First, Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test were performed to evaluate HSPA4 expression between LUAD tissues and the adjacent normal lung tissues. The correlation

Table 1 Demographic and clinicopathological parameters of high and low HSPA4 expression group patients in The Cancer Genome Atlas - lung adenocarcinoma, *n* (%)

Characteristics	Low expression of HSPA4	High expression of HSPA4	<i>P</i> value
<i>n</i>	269	270	
Pathologic T stage			0.141
T1	99 (18.5)	77 (14.4)	
T2	137 (25.6)	155 (28.9)	
T3 & T4	33 (6.2)	35 (6.5)	
Pathologic N stage			0.028
N0	189 (36.1)	161 (30.8)	
N1	41 (7.8)	56 (10.7)	
N2 & N3	31 (5.9)	45 (8.6)	
Pathologic stage			0.012
Stage I	163 (30.7)	133 (25)	
Stage II	58 (10.9)	67 (12.6)	
Stage III & Stage IV	43 (8.1)	67 (12.6)	
Gender			0.410
Female	149 (27.6)	140 (26)	
Male	120 (22.3)	130 (24.1)	
Primary therapy outcome			< 0.001
PD	22 (4.9)	49 (10.9)	
SD & PR	22 (4.9)	22 (4.9)	
CR	186 (41.4)	148 (33)	
OS event			0.002
Alive	190 (35.3)	157 (29.1)	
Dead	79 (14.7)	113 (21)	

CR: Complete response; PD: Progressive disease; SD: Stable disease; PR: Partial response; OS: Overall survival.

between clinicopathological factors and HSPA4 expression was assessed by Welch one-way ANOVA. Univariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to evaluate the effect of clinicopathological features on HSPA4 expression. Moreover, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses in this study were used to evaluate the prognostic significance of clinicopathological features and HSPA4 expression on survival of LUAD patients. The prognostic significance of HSPA4 was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier curves. Two-tailed $P \leq 0.05$ was considered as the statistical significance.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

The clinical information, including the age, gender, T stage, pathologic stage, primary therapy outcome, and overall survival (OS) event, of 539 LUAD samples was shown in **Table 1**. After excluding the samples without complete information, a total of 539 samples were analyzed in this study. The result of Fisher's exact test revealed that HSPA4 was significantly associated with the OS event of LUAD patients ($P = 0.02$). And the result of chi-square test showed that HSPA4 significantly correlated with N stage ($P = 0.028$), pathologic stage ($P = 0.012$), and primary therapy outcome ($P < 0.001$). However, no significant correlation was observed between HSPA4 expression and other clinicopathological variables.

Differential expression analysis of HSPA4 in LUAD

Based on the HTSeq-Counts data of HSPA4-related genes obtained from TCGA, we conducted a differential expression analysis to identify DEGs using a cut-off criterion of $|\log_{2}FC| > 1.5$ and adjusted P value < 0.05 . A total of 150 DEGs were detected, with 40 genes upregulated and 110 genes downregulated. To visually represent the expression patterns of these





Figure 1 The results of differentially expressed genes analysis. A: The volcano plot of differentially expressed RNAs; B and C: The different expressions of HSPA4 between lung adenocarcinoma and adjacent normal tissues; D: Heatmap of the 25 genes correlated to HSPA4. Statistical significance is expressed as $P < 0.0001$.

DEGs, we created a volcano plot (Figure 1A). Further analyses were conducted to explore the expression of HSPA4 specifically. Both unpaired and paired differential expression analyses were conducted to compare HSPA4 expression between the normal and LUAD groups. The results indicated that HSPA4 was significantly upregulated in tumor samples compared with normal tissues (Figure 1B and C). To investigate the correlation between HSPA4 and other genes, we generated a heatmap depicting the expression levels of HSPA4 along with 25 selected genes (Figure 1D). This heatmap provides a visual representation of the relationship between HSPA4 and these genes.

In addition, we also evaluated the protein level of HSPA4 between LUAD samples and adjacent normal tissues based on the UALCAN database which provides protein expression analysis option using data from CPTAC and ICPC datasets. The results showed that both the total- and phosphor-protein levels of HSPA4 were significantly increased in LUAD tissues than those in adjacent normal tissues (Supplementary Figure 1).



DOI: 10.5306/wjco.v15.i1.45 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2024.

Figure 2 Enrichment analysis of HSPA4 in lung adenocarcinoma. A: Biological process enrichment related to HSPA4-related genes; B: A network of HSPA4 and its 10 potential co-interaction proteins; C and D: The results of enrichment analysis from gene set enrichment analysis.

Functional enrichment analysis of DEGs

GO analysis suggested that the DEG-related HSPA4 had important regulation on neutrophil extracellular trap formation, alcoholism, systemic lupus erythematosus, olfactory receptor activity, protein-DNA complex, DNA packaging complex, nucleosome, protein-DNA complex assembly, nucleosome organization, nucleosome assembly, protein heterodimerization activity, and bitter taste receptor activity (Figure 2A). And, the PPI network of HSPA4 and the potential co-expression genes in HSPA4-related DEGs were exhibited in Figure 2B.

To further explore the underlying biological function of HSPA4, GSEA analysis between the low and the high HSPA4 expression groups were executed to identify the GO terms and KEGG pathways related to HSPA4. A total of 425 pathways were significantly different in the enrichment of GO terms and KEGG signaling pathways within the high HSPA4 expression samples (FDR < 0.25 and adjusted $P < 0.05$). The GSEA analysis revealed that retinoblastoma gene in cancer, mitotic spindle checkpoint, ATR pathway, PLK1 pathway, and cell cycle were positively associated with high expression levels of HSPA4 (Figure 2C). HDAC5 deacetylate histones, DNA methylation, ERCC6 CSB and EHMT2 G9A positively regulate RRNA expression, activated PKN1 stimulates transcription of AR androgen receptor regulated genes KLK2 AND KLK3, SIRT1 negatively regulates RRNA expression were negatively associated with high expression levels of HSPA4 (Figure 2D). These results showed that the signaling pathways that regulated the immunoglobulin complex, DNA methylation, and cell cycle control were strongly related to HSPA4 expression.

Table 2 The COX regression analysis about clinicopathological characteristics associated with the survival of lung adenocarcinoma patients

Characteristics	Total (N)	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
		Hazard ratio (95%CI)	P value	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	P value
Pathologic T stage	527				
T1	176	Reference		Reference	
T2	285	1.507 (1.059-2.146)	0.023	1.086 (0.726-1.624)	0.687
T3 & T4	66	3.095 (1.967-4.868)	< 0.001	1.571 (0.827-2.984)	0.168
Pathologic N stage	514				
N0	345	Reference		Reference	
N1	96	2.293 (1.632-3.221)	< 0.001	1.293 (0.653-2.560)	0.461
N2 & N3	73	2.993 (2.057-4.354)	< 0.001	1.774 (0.906-3.471)	0.094
Pathologic stage	522				
Stage I	292	Reference		Reference	
Stage II & stage III & stage IV	230	2.889 (2.141-3.897)	< 0.001	1.587 (0.789-3.193)	0.195
Age	520				
≤ 65	257	Reference			
> 65	263	1.216 (0.910-1.625)	0.186		
Gender	530				
Female	283	Reference			
Male	247	1.087 (0.816-1.448)	0.569		
Number pack years smoked	363				
< 40	183	Reference			
≥ 40	180	1.073 (0.753-1.528)	0.697		
HSPA4	530				
Low	266	Reference		Reference	
High	264	1.476 (1.104-1.972)	0.009	1.356 (0.967-1.901)	0.078


Correlation between HSPA4 expression and immune cell infiltration

The correlation between the HSPA4 expression and the immune cell infiltrates quantified as the ssGSEA score was evaluated by Spearman correlation analysis. Both the infiltration levels of T cells and CD8 T cells display a significantly negative correlation with HSPA4 expression ($P = 0.001$) (Figure 3A and B). The infiltration levels of B cells and cytotoxic cells also display a significantly negative correlation with HSPA4 expression ($P < 0.001$) (Figure 3C and D). On the other hand, the infiltration levels of Th2 cells and T helper cells showed a significantly positive correlation with HSPA4 expression ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 3E and F). Th2 cells, Tcm, T helper cells, dendritic cell (DC), aDC, neutrophils, macrophages, and eosinophils, have also shown a positive relation with HSPA4. Treg, natural killer (NK) CD56dim cells, NK cells, Tgd, mast cells, Tem, Th1 cells, iDC, Th17 cells, CD8 T cells, T cells, NK CD56bright cells, cytotoxic cells, TFH, pDC, and B cells were negatively related to HSPA4 expression (Figure 3G). These above results showed the significant value of HSPA4 in immune cell infiltration of LUAD tissues. A heatmap was used to evaluate and visualize the varying degrees of correlation between 24 subtypes of tumor-infiltrating immune cells (Figure 3H).

Correlation between HSPA4 expression and clinicopathological variables

The result of Welch one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction analysis demonstrated that increased HSPA4 expression was significantly associated with advanced pathologic TNM stages (Figure 4A-C). And, the t-test also showed that high HSPA4 expression indicated advanced pathologic stage, and PD of primary therapy outcome (Figure 4D and E). Thus, increased HSPA4 expression indicated advanced tumor stage and poor primary therapy outcome. In addition, the female patients with LUAD had increased HSPA4 expression levels compared with male patients with LUAD (Figure 4F).

In this Cox regression analysis model, the univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that the TN stage, pathologic stage, and HSPA4 expression significantly correlated with poor survival of patients with LUAD ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2).







Figure 3 The results of analysis between HSPA4 expression and immune infiltration. A: The negative correlation between HSPA4 expression and T cells; B: CD8 T cells; C: B cells; D: Cytotoxic cells; E: The negative correlation between HSPA4 expression and Th2 cells; F: T helper cells; G: Correlation between HSPA4 expression level and the relative abundances of 24 immune cells; H: Heatmap of 24 immune infiltration cells in lung adenocarcinoma.

Multivariate Cox regression analysis indicated that T, N stage, pathologic stage and HSPA4 expression were independent factors for the survival of LUAD patients ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 5A).

Additionally, we evaluated the association between survival status and distribution of HSPA4 expression, and expression profile among LUAD samples. The plot features blue dots to depict surviving samples, while red dots represent dead samples. The upper line signifies the median risk score, with the left side denoting low-risk group characterized by less HSPA4 expression (Figure 5B). Conversely, right side of dotted line indicates high-risk samples, displaying higher levels of HSPA4 expression. As the risk score increases, there is a gradual rise in the number of orange dots, indicating an increase in deceased LUAD patients. This observation suggests that individuals categorized in the high-risk group face inferior survival outcomes and a heightened risk of mortality.

Furthermore, to assess the potential clinical use of HSPA4 expression, we developed a nomogram with the HSPA4 expression and traditional clinical prognostic factors, including TN stage, pathologic stage, and age, to predict the survival status in patients with LUAD (Figure 5C).

The Kaplan–Meier survival curve was drawn to assess the prognostic value of HSPA4 in patients with LUAD by R package survminer. LUAD samples were subsequently assigned into low and high HSPA4 expression groups based on the median expression value. The increased HSPA4 expression group tend to be significantly related to poor overall



DOI: 10.5306/wjco.v15.i1.45 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2024.

Figure 4 Correlation between the HSPA4 expression and different clinicopathologic characteristics. A: Correlation between the HSPA4 expression and the pathologic T stage; B: N stage; C: M stage; D: Pathologic stage; E: Primary therapy outcome; F: Gender. Statistical significance is expressed as ^a $P < 0.05$, ^b $P < 0.01$, ^c $P < 0.0001$.

survival (HR = 1.51, $P = 0.056$) and disease specific survival (HR = 1.40, $P = 0.071$) (Figure 6A and B).

The increased HSPA4 expression was also related to worse survival in the T2 subgroup of T stage ($P = 0.038$), M0 subgroup of M stage ($P = 0.006$), age > 65 subgroup ($P = 0.003$), female subgroup ($P = 0.021$), smoker subgroup ($P = 0.03$), and stage III subgroup of pathologic stage ($P = 0.061$) (Figure 6C-H).

Data validation

In all three independent datasets, Kaplan–Meier survival curves showed that high HSPA4 mRNA expression group exhibited a significantly poor survival compared to the low HSPA4 mRNA expression group ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 7).

HSPA4 methylation in patients with LUAD

The MethSurv tool was utilized to examine the association between DNA methylation levels of HSPA4 and the prognostic value of individual CpG sites. The analysis identified 13 methylation CpG sites, with cg05996250 and cg07474441 displaying the highest levels of DNA methylation (Figure 8). Among these CpG sites, seven of them, namely cg02067788, cg23946014, cg05996250, and cg07474441, exhibited a significant correlation with prognosis ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 8). Patients with decreased methylation levels of these CpG sites in HSPA4 experienced poorer overall survival compared to those with elevated HSPA4 methylation levels. Since increased methylation level means low expression of HSPA4, which indicates that patients with elevated expression of HSPA4 have a poor prognosis, which is consistent with the results in Figures 6 and 7.

DISCUSSION

LUAD is a common malignant tumor with prognosis influenced by various factors. Treatment options for patients with LUAD are limited, especially for those without actionable drug targets. However, some immunotherapy approaches, including immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), and adoptive cellular therapy, have been shown effective for some LUADs. Nevertheless, there are also many challenges, including the lack of initial response and resistance overtime to ICIs in certain subgroups of cancers, that need to be addressed in order to broaden the application[28]. Therefore, it is necessary to study the molecular mechanisms underlying ICIs resistance to develop strategies to enhance Immuno-




Figure 5 The prognostic value of HSPA4 in lung adenocarcinoma. A: Multivariate Cox regression visualized in the forest plot; B: HSPA4 expression distribution and survival status. 0: dead, 1: alive; C: Nomogram with the HSPA4 expression and traditional clinical prognostic factors.




Figure 6 The prognostic value of HSPA4 in the different subgroups. A and B: The prognostic value of HSPA4 in overall survival and disease specific survival of lung adenocarcinoma patients; C–H: High expression of HSPA4 was associated with worse overall survival in different subgroups.

therapy response.

In this study, we found that LUAD patients with high HSPA4 expression had worse overall survival and disease-specific survival, which is consistent with previous research evidences, supporting HSPA4 as a potential biomarker for evaluating the prognosis of LUAD patients. Previous evidences have shown that abnormal expression of HSPA4 is related to the occurrence and development of various tumors[23,29].

In addition, further subgroup analysis showed a positive correlation between high HSPA4 expression and worse survival in the T2 stage, M0 stage, age > 65 years, female, smoker, and pathological stage III subgroups. This indicates that HSPA4 may have promising predictive value in these specific subgroups and provide important information for individualized treatment decisions. For example, more aggressive treatment strategies may be needed for those with higher pathological stages in response to the malignant biological behavior represented by high HSPA4 expression.

When it comes to immune regulation in LUAD, the functional enrichment analysis demonstrated that HSPA4 plays crucial roles in the antigen presentation process, which is essential for the activation of T cells and the initiation of an immune response against cancer cells. It assists in the proper folding and assembly of antigens, facilitating their presentation on major histocompatibility complex molecules. This process enables immune cells to recognize and target cancer cells more effectively[30]. Furthermore, in our study, HSPA4 has been found to regulate the function of various immune cells involved in the anti-tumor immune response. It modulates the activity of dendritic cells, macrophages, and natural killer cells, among others, influencing their ability to recognize and eliminate cancer cells. HSPA4 can promote antigen cross-presentation by DCs and enhance the cytotoxicity of natural killer cells, thus improving the immune response against cancer. HSPA4 expression in the tumor microenvironment can influence immune cell infiltration. It has




Figure 7 The prognostic value of HSPA4 in three independent datasets. A: The prognostic value of HSPA4 in GSE31210 database; B: GSE13213 database; C: HARVARD-LC database.

been observed that high levels of HSPA4 are associated with decreased infiltration of immune cells, such as cytotoxic T cells and CD 8 T cells, into tumors. This infiltration is linked to improved prognosis in certain cancers[31].


Overall, HSPA4 plays a multifaceted role in immune regulation in LUAD. It facilitates antigen presentation, promotes immunogenic cell death, modulates immune cell function, and influences the tumor microenvironment. Further research is still needed to fully understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the correlation between HSPA4 and immune regulation in LUAD.

Subsequently, we developed a Nomogram model that combines HSPA4 expression with traditional clinical prognostic factors such as TNM stage, pathological stage, and age. This Nomogram model provides clinicians with an intuitive tool to more accurately assess the prognosis of LUAD patients and tailor individualized treatment strategies. The comprehensive predictive model integrating clinical and molecular biology information allows for a more comprehensive evaluation of patient prognostic risk and provides robust support for clinical decision-making. The HSPA4 expression and the combined nomogram model were of great significance in prognostic prediction for LUAD patients. The increased HSPA4 expression had been shown to indicate an immunosuppressive microenvironment, which maybe contribute to the immunotherapy resistance in the treatment of LUAD patients. The HSPA4 inhibitor addition may enhance the therapeutic response to immune checkpoint blockade, thereby optimizing treating strategies and drug application.

Although this study provides preliminary exploration of the potential of HSPA4 as a prognostic biomarker for LUAD patients, there are still some limitations. Firstly, our study is based on retrospective analysis and may have selection bias. Further prospective and multicenter validation studies will help better define the prognostic value of HSPA4. Moreover, this study only focused on the expression level of the HSPA4 gene and did not investigate its regulatory mechanisms and functions. Future research can delve into the biological characteristics of HSPA4 to reveal the specific role in the initiation and progression of LUAD.

CONCLUSION

Above all, this study suggests that HSPA4 is a potential prognostic indicator, with high expression correlating with worse prognosis in LUAD patients. The expression level of HSPA4 may have differential predictive value in different subgroups and can be used in combination with traditional clinical prognostic factors through the Nomogram model. The findings provide new clues for better evaluating prognostic risk and devising individualized treatment strategies for LUAD patients and serve as useful references for relevant clinical practice and research. Future studies should explore the biological functions and regulatory mechanisms of HSPA4 and validate its clinical applicability as a prognostic biomarker.



DOI: 10.5306/wjco.v15.i1.45 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2024.

Figure 8 Visualization between the methylation level and HSPA4 expression.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Heat shock 70 kDa protein 4 (HSPA4) is a member of the HSP110 family. Previous studies showed HSPA4 is significantly associated with prognosis and immune regulation in different cancer types. However, the role of HSPA4 in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) has not been revealed yet.

Research motivation

We tried to explore the prognostic and immunological roles of HSPA4 in the Bio informatics methods based on the public databases. We confirmed the role of HSPA4 in LUAD prognosis and verified the correlation between HSPA4 and immune regulation. This study can assist us better comprehend the biological characteristics of the disease and provide new therapeutic target for precision therapy.

Research objectives

We aim to comprehensively analyzed the correlation between HSPA4 expression and the clinical characteristic, prognosis and immunology based on the RNA-seq data from the public database. We showed HSPA4 is significantly associated

with prognosis and immune regulation and is a potential prognostic and new therapeutic target in LUAD.

Research methods

The primary research method used in my study was bioinformatics. This technique is an advanced technology used in recent years to study genetics and genomics. It is widely used due to its ability to analyze large datasets and identify patterns and relationships that might be difficult to detect using other methods. The novelty of bioinformatics lies in its ability to integrate multiple technologies and methodologies, such as computer science, statistics, and molecular biology, to provide a comprehensive understanding of biological systems. In my study, I applied various bioinformatics tools and algorithms to analyze genomic data and identify potential genetic targets for further investigation.

Research results

The study found that overexpression of HSPA4 was significantly associated with advanced disease stage, progression disease status, and worse survival outcomes in LUAD. Additionally, HSPA4 expression was correlated with alterations in cell cycle regulation, immune response, and the balance of infiltrating immune cells within the tumor microenvironment. These findings suggest that HSPA4 may play a role in tumor progression and immune evasion in LUAD. However, this study only focused on the expression level of the HSPA4 gene and did not investigate its regulatory mechanisms and functions. Future research can delve into the biological characteristics of HSPA4 to reveal the specific role in the initiation and progression of LUAD.

Research conclusions

This study proposed that HSPA4 may play a role in tumor progression and immune evasion in LUAD. This study comprehensively analyzed the correlation between HSPA4 expression and the clinical characteristic, prognosis and immunology in bioinformatics method based on the RNA-seq data from the public database.

Research perspectives

The regulatory mechanisms and functions of HSPA4 and the specific role in the initiation and progression of LUAD are direction of the future research.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Wu X and Yang SY contributed equally to this work; Wu X, Yang SY and Zhang XJ designed the research study; Wu X, Yang SY and Fang JZ performed the analysis; Wu X, Yang SY, and Wang S analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; all authors have read and approve the final manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhengzhou University People's Hospital.

Clinical trial registration statement: Since our paper is not a clinical research study but a bioinformatics analysis to assess the prognostic and immunological significance of HSPA4 in LUAD using data from public database. So, it is not applicable to provide the Clinical Trial Registration Statement.

Informed consent statement: Since our paper is not a clinical research study but a bioinformatics analysis to assess the prognostic and immunological significance of HSPA4 in LUAD using data from public database. So, it is not applicable to provide the Signed Informed Consent Form(s) or Document(s).

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/[Supplementary material](#).

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Xuan Wu [0000-0001-8539-6611](#); Xiao-Ju Zhang [0000-0002-2833-8746](#).

S-Editor: Liu JH

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Zhang XD

REFERENCES

- 1 **Koundouros N**, Pouligiannis G. Reprogramming of fatty acid metabolism in cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2020; **122**: 4-22 [PMID: 31819192 DOI: 10.1038/s41416-019-0650-z]
- 2 **Sun N**, Zhao X. Therapeutic Implications of FABP4 in Cancer: An Emerging Target to Tackle Cancer. *Front Pharmacol* 2022; **13**: 948610 [PMID: 35899119 DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2022.948610]
- 3 **Hotamisligil GS**, Bernlohr DA. Metabolic functions of FABPs--mechanisms and therapeutic implications. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2015; **11**: 592-605 [PMID: 26260145 DOI: 10.1038/nrendo.2015.122]
- 4 **Lei C**, Fan B, Tian J, Li M, Li Y. PPAR γ regulates fabp4 expression to increase DHA content in golden pompano (*Trachinotus ovatus*) hepatocytes. *Br J Nutr* 2022; **127**: 3-11 [PMID: 33663633 DOI: 10.1017/S0007114521000775]
- 5 **Zeng J**, Sauter ER, Li B. FABP4: A New Player in Obesity-Associated Breast Cancer. *Trends Mol Med* 2020; **26**: 437-440 [PMID: 32359475 DOI: 10.1016/j.molmed.2020.03.004]
- 6 **Mukherjee A**, Chiang CY, Daifotis HA, Nieman KM, Fahrman JF, Lastra RR, Romero IL, Fiehn O, Lengyel E. Adipocyte-Induced FABP4 Expression in Ovarian Cancer Cells Promotes Metastasis and Mediates Carboplatin Resistance. *Cancer Res* 2020; **80**: 1748-1761 [PMID: 32054768 DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1999]
- 7 **Tian W**, Zhang W, Zhang Y, Zhu T, Hua Y, Li H, Zhang Q, Xia M. FABP4 promotes invasion and metastasis of colon cancer by regulating fatty acid transport. *Cancer Cell Int* 2020; **20**: 512 [PMID: 33088219 DOI: 10.1186/s12935-020-01582-4]
- 8 **Dum D**, Ocokeoljic A, Lennartz M, Hube-Magg C, Reisch V, Höflmayer D, Jacobsen F, Bernreuther C, Lebok P, Sauter G, Luebke AM, Burandt E, Marx AH, Simon R, Clauditz TS, Minner S, Menz A, Büscheck F, Gorbokon N, Steurer S, Blessin NC, Krech T. FABP1 expression in human tumors: a tissue microarray study on 17,071 tumors. *Virchows Arch* 2022; **481**: 945-961 [PMID: 35951102 DOI: 10.1007/s00428-022-03394-5]
- 9 **Xu B**, Chen L, Zhan Y, Marquez KNS, Zhuo L, Qi S, Zhu J, He Y, Chen X, Zhang H, Shen Y, Chen G, Gu J, Guo Y, Liu S, Xie T. The Biological Functions and Regulatory Mechanisms of Fatty Acid Binding Protein 5 in Various Diseases. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 2022; **10**: 857919 [PMID: 35445019 DOI: 10.3389/fcell.2022.857919]
- 10 **O'Sullivan SE**, Kaczocha M. FABP5 as a novel molecular target in prostate cancer. *Drug Discov Today* 2020 [PMID: 32966866 DOI: 10.1016/j.drudis.2020.09.018]
- 11 **Tang Z**, Kang B, Li C, Chen T, Zhang Z. GEPIA2: an enhanced web server for large-scale expression profiling and interactive analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019; **47**: W556-W560 [PMID: 31114875 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkz430]
- 12 **Li Y**, Zhao ZG, Luo Y, Cui H, Wang HY, Jia YF, Gao YT. Dual targeting of Polo-like kinase 1 and baculoviral inhibitor of apoptosis repeat-containing 5 in TP53-mutated hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2020; **26**: 4786-4801 [PMID: 32921957 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i32.4786]
- 13 **Zhu W**, Zhang Q, Liu M, Yan M, Chu X, Li Y. Identification of DNA repair-related genes predicting pathogenesis and prognosis for liver cancer. *Cancer Cell Int* 2021; **21**: 81 [PMID: 33516217 DOI: 10.1186/s12935-021-01779-1]
- 14 **Ohata T**, Yokoo H, Kamiyama T, Fukai M, Aiyama T, Hatanaka Y, Hatanaka K, Wakayama K, Orimo T, Kakisaka T, Kobayashi N, Matsuno Y, Taketomi A. Fatty acid-binding protein 5 function in hepatocellular carcinoma through induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Cancer Med* 2017; **6**: 1049-1061 [PMID: 28374947 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.1020]
- 15 **Boettcher S**, Miller PG, Sharma R, McConkey M, Leventhal M, Krivtsov AV, Giacomelli AO, Wong W, Kim J, Chao S, Kurppa KJ, Yang X, Milenkovic K, Piccioni F, Root DE, Rücker FG, Flamand Y, Neuberger D, Lindsley RC, Jänne PA, Hahn WC, Jacks T, Döhner H, Armstrong SA, Ebert BL. A dominant-negative effect drives selection of TP53 missense mutations in myeloid malignancies. *Science* 2019; **365**: 599-604 [PMID: 31395785 DOI: 10.1126/science.aax3649]
- 16 **Dearth LR**, Qian H, Wang T, Baroni TE, Zeng J, Chen SW, Yi SY, Brachmann RK. Inactive full-length p53 mutants lacking dominant wild-type p53 inhibition highlight loss of heterozygosity as an important aspect of p53 status in human cancers. *Carcinogenesis* 2007; **28**: 289-298 [PMID: 16861262 DOI: 10.1093/carcin/bgl132]
- 17 **Lu Y**, Wu M, Xu Y, Yu L. The Development of p53-Targeted Therapies for Human Cancers. *Cancers (Basel)* 2023; **15**: 3560 [PMID: 37509223 DOI: 10.3390/cancers15143560]
- 18 **Farrell M**, Fairfield H, Karam M, D'Amico A, Murphy CS, Falank C, Pistofidi RS, Cao A, Marinac CR, Dragon JA, McGuinness L, Gartner CG, Iorio RD, Jachimowicz E, DeMambro V, Vary C, Reagan MR. Targeting the fatty acid binding proteins disrupts multiple myeloma cell cycle progression and MYC signaling. *Elife* 2023; **12** [PMID: 36880649 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.81184]
- 19 **Tontonoz P**, Graves RA, Budavari AI, Erdjument-Bromage H, Lui M, Hu E, Tempst P, Spiegelman BM. Adipocyte-specific transcription factor ARF6 is a heterodimeric complex of two nuclear hormone receptors, PPAR gamma and RXR alpha. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1994; **22**: 5628-5634 [PMID: 7838715 DOI: 10.1093/nar/22.25.5628]
- 20 **Icard P**, Fournel L, Wu Z, Alifano M, Lincet H. Interconnection between Metabolism and Cell Cycle in Cancer. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2019; **44**: 490-501 [PMID: 30655165 DOI: 10.1016/j.tibs.2018.12.007]
- 21 **Chiappa M**, Petrella S, Damia G, Broggin M, Guffanti F, Ricci F. Present and Future Perspective on PLK1 Inhibition in Cancer Treatment. *Front Oncol* 2022; **12**: 903016 [PMID: 35719948 DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2022.903016]
- 22 **Wheatley SP**, Altieri DC. Survivin at a glance. *J Cell Sci* 2019; **132** [PMID: 30948431 DOI: 10.1242/jcs.223826]
- 23 **Jia H**, Zhang X, Liu X, Qiao R, Liu Y, Lv S, Zhu H, Wang J, Kong Q, Zhang H, Zhang Z. FABP5, a Novel Immune-Related mRNA Prognostic Marker and a Target of Immunotherapy for Multiple Myeloma. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2021; **8**: 667525 [PMID: 34249967 DOI: 10.3389/fmed.2021.667525]
- 24 **Field CS**, Baixauli F, Kyle RL, Puleston DJ, Cameron AM, Sanin DE, Hippen KL, Loschi M, Thangavelu G, Corrado M, Edwards-Hicks J, Grzes KM, Pearce EJ, Blazar BR, Pearce EL. Mitochondrial Integrity Regulated by Lipid Metabolism Is a Cell-Intrinsic Checkpoint for Treg Suppressive Function. *Cell Metab* 2020; **31**: 422-437.e5 [PMID: 31883840 DOI: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.021]
- 25 **Zhang M**, Dai W, Li Z, Tang L, Chen J, Chen C. HSPA4 Knockdown Retarded Progression and Development of Colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Manag Res* 2021; **13**: 4679-4690 [PMID: 34163243 DOI: 10.2147/CMAR.S310729]
- 26 **Shang BB**, Chen J, Wang ZG, Liu H. Significant correlation between HSPA4 and prognosis and immune regulation in hepatocellular carcinoma. *PeerJ* 2021; **9**: e12315 [PMID: 34754620 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12315]
- 27 **Shen B**, Zhang G, Liu Y, Wang J, Jiang J. Identification and Analysis of Immune-Related Gene Signature in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Genes (Basel)* 2022; **13** [PMID: 36292719 DOI: 10.3390/genes13101834]

- 28 **Morad G**, Helmink BA, Sharma P, Wargo JA. Hallmarks of response, resistance, and toxicity to immune checkpoint blockade. *Cell* 2021; **184**: 5309-5337 [PMID: 34624224 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.09.020]
- 29 **Gu Y**, Liu Y, Fu L, Zhai L, Zhu J, Han Y, Jiang Y, Zhang Y, Zhang P, Jiang Z, Zhang X, Cao X. Tumor-educated B cells selectively promote breast cancer lymph node metastasis by HSPA4-targeting IgG. *Nat Med* 2019; **25**: 312-322 [PMID: 30643287 DOI: 10.1038/s41591-018-0309-y]
- 30 **Jhunjhunwala S**, Hammer C, Delamarre L. Antigen presentation in cancer: insights into tumour immunogenicity and immune evasion. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2021; **21**: 298-312 [PMID: 33750922 DOI: 10.1038/s41568-021-00339-z]
- 31 **Oh DY**, Fong L. Cytotoxic CD4(+) T cells in cancer: Expanding the immune effector toolbox. *Immunity* 2021; **54**: 2701-2711 [PMID: 34910940 DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.11.015]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

