

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60746

Title: Proliferative Glomerulonephritis with Monoclonal IgG Deposits in a Young Female: a Rare Case

Reviewer's code: 03522829

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-11

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-09 23:27

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-16 21:09

Review time: 6 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This proposal was planned to present an unusual a rare case of PGNMID that was misdiagnosed as MsPGN or membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (MPGN). This plan, in general, is an interesting subject and a well-organized manuscript. However, the discussion in this case is poor. Therefore, I recommend publication after major revisions as the following: 1-The authors should mention that the PGNMID is reversible or irreversible in this case. 2- In which basis the end points for outcomes and follow up in this case were selected? 3- The results of free light chain are missing (figure 4). 4- Please, add reference for that " The BCD scheme is one treatment for PGNMID". 5- Could authors shed light on the role played in this scheme by each B, C and D? 6- Please, refer that bortezomib may induced acute interstitial nephritis; Reference: Cheungpasitporn W, et al., Bortezomib-induced acute interstitial nephritis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2015 Jul;30(7):1225-9. 7- Please discuss the variations between the current BCD protocol and the previous research in the elderly PGNMID case of dual therapy bortezomib and dexamethasone.; Reference: Noto R, et al., Successful treatment with bortezomib and dexamethasone for proliferative glomerulonephritis with monoclonal IgG deposits in multiple myeloma: a case report. *BMC Nephrol*. 2017 Apr 6;18(1):127. 8- The authors should have the potential reason for the positive deposition of IgG (3+) and Kappa (3+) in the discussion of the present case? 9- Please add a diagrammatic figure to summarize the correct diagnosis of PGNMID according to the latest proposal to resolve the misdiagnosis as MsPGN or MPGN.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60746

Title: Proliferative Glomerulonephritis with Monoclonal IgG Deposits in a Young Female: a Rare Case

Reviewer's code: 03522829

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-11

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-04 21:45

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-04 22:30

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

authors have successfully addressed all comments