

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21308

Title: Five-year sequential changes in secondary antibiotic resistances of *Helicobacter pylori* in Taiwan

Reviewer's code: 02904668

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-07-07 09:21

Date reviewed: 2015-07-09 23:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting paper looking at antibiotic resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* in patients who failed the first line of treatment. The authors are to be commended on their efforts to address such an important public health issue. I have only some minor comments: 1. Introduction, line 5: please check "mean seroprevalence rate". It is not clear if you are referring to prevalence or incidence. 2. Although for this is intuitive for most of the clinicians, some other general readers may not be familiarised with the components of standard triple therapy and listing them in the introduction could be helpful. 3. Regarding the exclusion criteria, the use of antibiotics is so widespread that seem unlikely that an individual has never been exposed during his/hers lifetime. Could you please provide more details about the exclusion of isolates from patients who were previously treated for other diseases with antibiotics? 4. The information contained in table 1 is also contained in table 2, except the number of subjects. I would suggest including only one table, will all the information. 5. Discussion, paragraph 5: please clarify what is meant by "time ratio". 6. Please provide a footnote explaining the meaning of the horizontal line around 15%.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21308

Title: Five-year sequential changes in secondary antibiotic resistances of *Helicobacter pylori* in Taiwan

Reviewer's code: 00506058

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-07-07 09:21

Date reviewed: 2015-07-16 15:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer report on manuscript number 20150705130732 Title: Five-year sequential changes in antibiotic resistances of *Helicobacter pylori* among patients who failed first line therapy The paper discusses the resistance to antibiotic therapy among H. Pylori-treated subjects in Taiwan. The manuscript deals with an important issue. The study is well written and the authors presented their data and conclusions clearly. Comments In the introduction section: "The prevalence of antibiotic resistance for *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) varies from countries to countries and may be partly determined by the geographical factors". The authors should clarify what is meant by the geographical factors? and provide references for this. Some typing and grammatical mistakes are noted: "Antibiotics resistance "....." Antibiotic resistance " "The resistances of amoxicillin and tetracycline"...." The resistance to amoxicillin and tetracycline" "did not increased".... "did not increase" "None of these antibiotics resistances"..... "None of these antibiotic resistances"..... "ntibiotics resistance of *H. pylori* in Taiwan" "in Taiwan remained a problem for effective eradication in Taiwan" "Furthermore, the impact of increase levofloxacin resistance to efficacy" "Current study



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

encounters some limitations" "Our results showed that theHigh resistance" "2010 but did not increased" "antibiotics resistances"