

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20796

Title: Promising biological therapies for ulcerative colitis: A review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 02441737

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-22 15:16

Date reviewed: 2015-07-04 04:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments of the manuscript: Promising Biological Therapies For Ulcerative Colitis. From the authors: Hirotada Akiho, Azusa Yokoyama, Shuichi Abe, Yuichi Nakazono, Masatoshi Murakami, Yoshihiro Otsuka, Kyoko Fukawa, Mitsuru Esaki, Yusuke Niina, Haruei Ogino. It is recommended the title: Promising Biological Therapies For Ulcerative Colitis: A review of the literature. It is an article of interest due to the limited literature on the subject. However, below there are some recommendations for authors to improve their manuscript. Abstract: Introduction: It is recommended that the authors, to describe the chemical formula of the novel drugs to treat UC; to explain details of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics for each drug; so that they can predict potential beneficial effects to treat UC and possible side effects of every drugs described in the manuscript. Methodology: Summarizing Table 1 to make it more legible and understandable. Draw up a Table 1, showing the family of each group of drugs, and present both positive and negative outcomes associated with them. For in quantitative terms it can be done to a meta-analysis of the results presented. It is advisable to develop a figure or scheme in which the display mechanism by which these novel drugs act in patients with UC; also present the different patterns of absorption,



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

metabolism and distribution and their mechanisms of action. Conclusions: Drawing conclusions based on the percentage of patients who improve with each of the drugs described; the beneficial effects and side effects described. It is recommended that authors increase the number of articles that support the conclusions presented in this manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20796

Title: Promising biological therapies for ulcerative colitis: A review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 00029041

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-22 15:16

Date reviewed: 2015-08-19 11:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent short review. Additional description is needed about side effects of biological therapies.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20796

Title: Promising biological therapies for ulcerative colitis: A review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 00036194

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-22 15:16

Date reviewed: 2015-08-13 11:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-Should not mention Crohn's disease as the review is only about ulcerative colitis -Faecal calprotectin can, and SHOULD, be used in monitoring -More detail on important side effects and limitations would be helpful -I found the discussion of the ULTRA 1 and 2 trials confusing -What is NONRESPONDER IMPUTATION? -Is etrolizumab gut specific?