



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 46371

Title: Congenital Diarrhea in a Newborn Infant: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 00742117

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-02-20 07:38

Reviewer performed review: 2019-02-20 07:56

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A well written paper of a very rare case description. I wonder if the Author could tell us why this case cannot be termed "Congenital Primary Lactose Intolerance", i.e. differential diagnosis between the two conditions



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 46371

Title: Congenital Diarrhea in a Newborn Infant: A Case Report

Reviewer’s code: 04351557

Reviewer’s country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-02-20 14:05

Reviewer performed review: 2019-02-24 14:50

Review time: 4 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dr. Sadiq and colleagues described a case of neonatal diarrhea caused by MVID due to an unreported mutation. The case report is well presented and the topic is relevant. I have some minor comments: - Abstract, case summary, line 4: were both EGDS and colonoscopy performed? Duodenal biopsy taken from a colonoscopy is not feasible.. -



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Introduction, second paragraph: references needed. - Case presentation, History of Present Illness and Birth History, 3rd paragraph: please define the type of milk in addition to commercial names. When the authors write "hyperalimentation", do they refer to parenteral nutrition? If so, the obsolete term "hyperalimentation" should be replaced by PN. - Family history: were the parents consanguineous? What about the ethnic background? - Laboratory Examination: please provide conjugated and unconjugated serum bilirubin levels. On the 2nd paragraph, the sentence "The infant also continued to electrolyte abnormalities, most significantly hyponatremia and hypochloremia" should be rephrased and clarified. 3rd paragraph: please define the type of milk in addition to commercial names. Please provide the results (also macroscopic findings) of GI endoscopy (EGDS? was colonoscopy also performed?). - Outcome and Follow-up: please see the comment on "hyperalimentation". Were probiotics used? - Discussion: the authors mentioned associated conditions to MVID: any other association found in this patient? - Conclusion: please see the comment on "hyperalimentation".

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 46371

Title: Congenital Diarrhea in a Newborn Infant: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 03328331

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-02-21 15:14

Reviewer performed review: 2019-02-26 18:14

Review time: 5 Days and 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Authors presented a rare case; however, the flow of the manuscript could be better. 2. There is no reference in the introduction. Authors should highlight the importance of reporting this case in view of the available literature. How rare is it? How many cases were reported previously? Provide references here. 3. Case presentation may not be



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

divided in to numerous sub-headings. It could be better written in paragraphs. 4. On day 4, what was the status of vitals? Give more details of metabolic acidosis? Blood gas analysis? What electrolyte abnormalities were corrected? 5. Mother's milk was sent for culture but what about the baby's blood culture etc?? 6. In later paragraphs, authors have written leukocytosis etc but not in earlier details where it should be. 7. Authors should give the results of stool osmotic gap first in the case presentation instead of directly to the discussion. 8. References 3 & 4 have not been cited and ref 5 appeared after ref 2. Similarly, ref 8 written after ref 5.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 46371

Title: Congenital Diarrhea in a Newborn Infant: A Case Report

Reviewer’s code: 03253728

Reviewer’s country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-02-26 05:00

Reviewer performed review: 2019-02-27 09:12

Review time: 1 Day and 4 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This report describes an unusual cause of neonatal diarrhea. This is an interesting report. However, it is a tad long. The case report section may be curtailed a bit. Heading may be reduced. They are too many. Kindly check for uniformity of the tense in the report section. There are no legends for the figure. Please explain it with legend.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No