

Reviewer 03475636

I have no conflicts of interest. there are several misused and misspelled words, the manuscript would benefit from additional copy edits.

Re: we have made some changes in spelling mistakes due to carelessness.

To mention as a few below: RE: "oth cPNETs and pPNETs are aggressive tumors, but they differ in their cell of origin." I believe you mean "Both", not "oth".

Re: yes, "both".

RE: "Adrenal PNET is very rare, and here are no well-established guidelines or treatment strategies for PNET. [10]". You mean "there" or "here"?

Re: yes, "there".

RE: "However, radical resection can not be guaranteed". "can not" should be "cannot"

Re: it has been changed into "cannot".

RE "(n=15 in Asian vs 1 in USA)" "USA" should "the United States"

Re: it has been changed into "the United States".

RE "an unique translocation" should be "a unique translocation"

Re: it has been changed into "a".

RE "FNA also increases the risk for tumor spread" "for" should be "of"

Re: it has been changed into "of".

Reviewer 00503228

Good paper; but you should have concentrated on the main differences existing in your case versus others. The most prominent factor is the relatively excellent outcome despite the big size in your patient versus the literature. So I recommend you to change the title to: "Long-term survival of a patient with a large adrenal primitive neuroectodermal tumor: a case report and literature review" Or something (e.g. favorable outcome of treatment...,);

Re: the title has been changed into "Long-term survival of a patient with a large adrenal primitive neuroectodermal tumor: a case report and literature review".

in the second place, you should give your patient's in as much detailed data as possible. For example you declared "The tumor markers and adrenal endocrinological examinations showed normal except for the elevated serum β 2-microglobulin." This is too much concise. You should give all detailed data of your measurements not only the hormones and adrenal markers, but also the electrolytes, urine exams, the physical examinations, blood pressures and so.

Re: the patient's detailed data have been added.

Try to find something specific in your case that could explain your patient's excellent outcome, and compare them to the literature data. If you are successful to find something that meaningfully can explain this, then your case report may receive large audience and citations. In the discussion section also try to go through this approach, and instead of general comments about the adrenal PNETs, you try to be specific on the details of your case.

Re: Thanks to the peer review. According to the proposal, we have revised the topic and supplemented the data of the patient's laboratory examination (tumor markers and adrenaline hormones) in detail. Since these indicators are basically normal and there are no obvious abnormalities in the laboratory reports in other literatures, we believe that the results of laboratory examinations are not closely related to the prognosis of tumors. As for the relatively excellent outcome in our patient versus other literatures, we believe that timely chemotherapy and close follow-up after operation are essential. Furthermore, salvage brachytherapy with Iodine-125 is an effective method for small metastases detected in time.