

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12489

**Title:** Immune profiling and cancer post transplantation

**Reviewer code:** 00505314

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-12 16:51

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-25 03:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written manuscript outlining the risk of post transplant malignancy, immunological markers and their possible clinical application.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12489

**Title:** Immune profiling and cancer post transplantation

**Reviewer code:** 00723046

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-12 16:51

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-29 03:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting review about the kidney transplantation recipients and secondary tumors: immune profile and immunosuppression treatment. I think it is serious and rigorous. I miss more discussion and conclusions. From my point of view there are too many bibliography references (more than 200...), I should reduce them to finally get no more than 50.