

89773_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 89773

Manuscript Type: META-ANALYSIS

Safety and effectiveness of butorphanol in epidural labor analgesia: A protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

butorphanol in labor analgesia

Guan-Cheng Tang, Man He, Zhen-Zhao Huang, Yan Cheng

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Epidural analgesia is the most effective analgesic method during labor. Butorphanol administered epidurally has been shown to be a successful analgesic method during labor. However, no comprehensive study has examined the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor.

AIM

To assess butorphanol's safety and efficacy for epidural labor analgesia.

METHODS

The PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Google Scholar databases will be searched from inception. Other types of literature, such as conference abstracts and references to pertinent reviews, will also be reviewed. We will include randomized controlled trials comparing butorphanol with other opioids combined with local anesthetics for epidural analgesia during labor. There will be no language restrictions. The primary outcomes will include the visual analog scale (VAS) score for the first stage of labor, fetal effects, and Apgar score. Two independent reviewers will evaluate the full texts, extract data, and assess the risk of bias. Publication bias will be evaluated using Egger's or Begg's tests as well as visual analysis of a funnel plot, and heterogeneity will be evaluated using the Cochran Q test, P values, and I² values. Meta-analysis, subgroup analysis, and sensitivity analysis will be performed using RevMan software version 5.4. This protocol was developed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) statement, and the PRISMA statement will be used for the systematic review.

RESULTS

This study provides reliable information regarding the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor.

CONCLUSION

To support clinical practice and development, This study provides evidence-based findings regarding the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor.

Key Words: epidural analgesia during labor, butorphanol, safety, Protocol, Meta-analysis

Tang GC, He M, Huang ZZ, Cheng Y. Safety and effectiveness of butorphanol in epidural labor analgesia: A protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; In press

Core Tip: Because κ -receptors appear to be involved in visceral pain modulation, butorphanol, which has a strong visceral component, has been recommended as an effective treatment for labor-related pain. Nevertheless, no study has comprehensively examined the effectiveness and safety of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor. The safety and effectiveness of butorphanol for epidural analgesia during labor will be thoroughly and systematically investigated in this study. Future research and clinical practice will benefit from the conclusions of the present study.

INTRODUCTION

The pain that a woman feels during delivery is regarded as one of the most excruciating sensations she can experience, and it can have a severe influence on both maternal and fetal physiology^[1]. The use of neuraxial analgesic treatments during labor increases patient satisfaction and decreases pain levels without affecting maternal cardiovascular or lung function or fetal physiology^[2]. The most effective analgesic technique during

childbirth is epidural analgesia^[3]. Previous research has demonstrated that combining epidural narcotics with local anesthetics results in a quicker onset and longer duration of analgesia^[4]. Butorphanol is a lipid-soluble narcotic that has modest agonistic and antagonistic effects as well as significant κ -receptor agonism. Butorphanol, which has a strong visceral component, has been proposed to be effective at reducing pain during labor because κ -receptors appear to be involved in visceral pain regulation^[5-10]. However, no study has comprehensively examined the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This systematic review will be conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines^[11]. We will construct a PRISMA flow chart to illustrate the study selection process (Figure 1). This protocol was developed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocol (PRISMA-P) guidelines. The PRISMA checklist was used to ensure the quality of the protocol, as shown in Additional file 1^[12]. Our protocol has been registered in the international prospective register of a systematic review with registration number PROSPERO CRD42022383830. Any amendments to the currently registered protocol will be submitted to the PROSPERO database along with the reasons for such changes. The amended version of the protocol will then be made public through the database.

Eligibility criteria

Types of studies: This systematic review will include randomized controlled trials (RCTs) examining the use of butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor.

Types of participants: We will include parturients aged 18 years and older from any country who have received an epidural analgesic during labor.

Types of interventions: All subjects in the experimental group will have received butorphanol in combination with local anesthetics such as bupivacaine or ropivacaine.

All participants in the control group will have received epidural analgesia during labor with or without opioids mixed with local anesthetics.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes: 1. Visual analog scale score for the first stage of labor. 2. Fetal effects and Apgar scores.

Secondary outcomes: Duration of the first stage of labor, duration of the second stage of labor, incidence of side effects, vaginal delivery rate, and degree of motor block.

Information sources

Search strategy: The PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Google Scholar electronic databases will be searched from inception. The databases will be searched without language or publication status restrictions. All database searches will be tailored to the specific database using a combination of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and free terms. The following terms will be used: butorphanol, parturient, epidural anesthetic, epidural labor analgesia, and randomized controlled trial. Table 1 presents the search strategy for PubMed. Similar search algorithms will be developed for additional datasets. To prevent missing any potentially eligible research, we will search gray literature such as conference abstracts and evaluated references.

RESULTS

Data gathering and analysis

Options for examination: Two authors will separately and sequentially screen the titles and abstracts of all identified entries. All irrelevant records will be removed. After that, we will get the full texts of all the other articles that fit the requirements and evaluate them all to see if they should be included. A third author will settle any disputes that arise throughout the verification process. A flowchart illustrating the complete study selection procedure is provided, and any studies that are missed will be noted.

Data extraction

To decrease the potential of bias, two writers will independently extract data from the included studies using a standardized data extraction form. Any differences between the two writers will be settled by discussion with a third author. The following information will be extracted: first author, year of publication, inclusion and exclusion criteria, race, age, sample size, study methodology, treatment specifics, outcome measures, safety, and any other pertinent information. We will contact the original writers to get or clarify any missing or confusing information.

Risk of bias assessment

Using the Cochrane risk of bias methodology for RCTs, we will evaluate the included studies' risk of bias. Seven domains will be used to assess the bias risk. Every study will be assigned a risk of bias classification: low, unsure, or high. Before a decision is reached, a third author will debate any discrepancies between the two writers who will independently examine the probability of bias.

Data synthesis

We will perform a statistical analysis using RevMan 5.4 software. For dichotomous data, odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals will be utilized, but for continuous data, mean differences or normalized mean differences and 95% confidence intervals will be employed. As shown below, we will utilize I^2 statistics to evaluate any possible heterogeneity among the included research. When there is moderate heterogeneity, as indicated by an I^2 of 50%, a fixed effects model will be applied. When there is substantial heterogeneity, as indicated by an $I^2 > 50\%$, a random effects model will be applied. The same treatments, controls, and outcomes will be used in a meta-analysis if there is little variation among the qualifying trials. In order to identify the source of any evident heterogeneity, a subgroup analysis will be carried out.

Subgroup analysis

Based on the study and patient characteristics, study quality, treatments, controls, and outcomes, subgroup analyses will be carried out.

Sensitivity analysis

By excluding subpar research, a sensitivity analysis will be carried out to evaluate the consistency of the results.

Publication bias assessment

Egger's or Begg's tests will be used to measure the funnel plot's asymmetry in order to determine the likelihood of publication bias. Since the test power is sometimes insufficient to distinguish between chance and true asymmetry in such circumstances, the test for funnel plot asymmetry will not be used when the meta-analysis includes less than ten primary studies. The trim and fill method will be used to address any potential publishing bias if there is a significant amount of it. In addition, the degree to which the funnel plot's significant asymmetry is susceptible to additional biases that could account for it will be assessed.

DISCUSSION

During labor, lipid-soluble opioids are often used in conjunction with local anesthetics to improve epidural analgesia. This allows for a lower dose of local anesthetic with a decreased risk of motor block^[12-14]. Fentanyl and sufentanil are widely used as epidural analgesics but carry the risks of delayed respiratory depression, pruritus, and vomiting^[15]. These adverse reactions have prompted a search for alternative drugs or methods to provide labor pain relief^[16]. Epidural butorphanol has been used effectively for analgesia during labor and after cesarean section^[5,17-19], but no neurotoxic effects have been reported in humans. However, no comprehensive study has been published on the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor. As a result, this study systematically and completely explored the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor. The findings of this study will be valuable for clinical practice as well as for future research.

CONCLUSION

To support clinical practice and development, This study provides evidence-based findings regarding the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Butorphanol has been used successfully as an epidural analgesic during labor. However, no study has comprehensively examined the safety and effectiveness of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor.

Research motivation

To assess butorphanol's safety and efficacy for epidural labor analgesia.

Research objectives

To provide a safe and reliable theoretical basis for the use of butorphanol in epidural labor analgesia.

Research methods

Six databases will be searched to identify find relevant randomized controlled trials. The visual analog scale (VAS) score during the first stage of labor, fetal effects, and Apgar score will be the primary outcomes.

Research results

This study will provide trustworthy data on the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor.

Research conclusions

This study provides evidence-based verification of the safety and efficacy of using butorphanol as an epidural analgesic during labor, thus providing guidance for clinical practice.

Research perspectives

Butorphanol dose in combination with opioids for epidural labor analgesia.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

11%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	journals.lww.com Internet	24 words — 1%
2	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet	16 words — 1%
3	doi.org Internet	15 words — 1%
4	www.researchgate.net Internet	15 words — 1%
5	hrbopenresearch.s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com Internet	14 words — 1%
6	www.oncotarget.com Internet	13 words — 1%
7	www.sciencegate.app Internet	13 words — 1%
8	nrl.northumbria.ac.uk Internet	12 words — 1%
9	Huiru Feng, Yang Liu, Xue Wang, Chunxiu Wang, Tianlong Wang. "Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of neuroinflammation and postoperative neurocognitive disorders	11 words — 1%

in patients undergoing orthopaedic surgery: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis", BMJ Open, 2023

Crossref

10 Yafen Gao, Zhixian Chen, Yu Huang, Shujun Sun, Dong Yang. "Comparison of dexmedetomidine and opioids as local anesthetic adjuvants in patient controlled epidural analgesia: a meta-analysis", Korean Journal of Anesthesiology, 2024

Crossref

11 words — 1%

11 link.springer.com

Internet

11 words — 1%

12 www.frontiersin.org

Internet

11 words — 1%

13 www.wjgnet.com

Internet

11 words — 1%

14 Qibiao Wu, Xiaojun Yao, Hongwei Chen, Zhengtang Liu et al. "Long-term aspirin use for primary cancer prevention: An updated systematic review and subgroup meta-analysis of 29 randomized clinical trials", Journal of Cancer, 2020

Crossref

10 words — 1%

15 Wei-feng Wang, Lin-hong Yang, Hai-jiang Yu, Shu-hong Zhang, Jian-qi Xiao. "Acupuncture for hearing loss after traumatic brain injury", Medicine, 2019

Crossref

10 words — 1%

16 ses.library.usyd.edu.au

Internet

10 words — 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES	ON	EXCLUDE SOURCES	< 1%
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON	EXCLUDE MATCHES	< 10 WORDS