



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29080

Title: Capsule endoscopy and single-balloon enteroscopy in small bowel diseases: Competing or complementary?

Reviewer's code: 03478442

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-08-22 16:07

Date reviewed: 2016-09-12 04:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting retrospective study in a large number of patients about the diagnostic yield of capsule endoscopy and single-balloon enteroscopy for the investigation of small bowel diseases. Some issues need further clarification: 1. Two different systems were used for capsule endoscopy studies. Is there in the literature any known comparison of them, or did you notice any difference between the systems during the study? 2. Page 6: Please replace the word sedoanalgesia with the "conventional sedation with benzodiazepine and opioid". 3. Page 6: First sentence in the Results section: Correct to "Seven hundred". 4. SBE detected many more patients with Crohn's disease. Please comment on that.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29080

Title: Capsule endoscopy and single-balloon enteroscopy in small bowel diseases: Competing or complementary?

Reviewer's code: 03476311

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-08-22 16:07

Date reviewed: 2016-09-19 15:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported that the usefulness of SBE in small bowel diseases. In recently SBE and DBE has categorized as balloon assisted enteroscopy(BE) and I also agree. On the other hand, the authors reported DBE technique needed long procedure times and, detected the limitation of DBE. We think preparation time of SBE is shorter than DBE, however, we do not consider SBE has shorter procedure time than DBE. Please be correct. The authors analyzed 700 cases, and we think large cases. On the other hand, cases with CE and SBE were relatively small (only 47 cases.) Please explain the reason of contradiction.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29080

Title: Capsule endoscopy and single-balloon enteroscopy in small bowel diseases: Competing or complementary?

Reviewer's code: 02440886

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-08-22 16:07

Date reviewed: 2016-10-02 05:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I enjoyed reviewing this paper. The number of patients is significant and representative. Overall it is clear and well written, tables are also clear. Some minor specifications could be useful: in the paragraph where you describe SBE, how were patients sedated? Did you perform endovenous sedation, or did you ask for anesthesiological support and intubation? Moreover, the number of patients who underwent the combined procedure CE and SBE is much smaller than the number of the other two groups; it could have been useful to create three homogeneous groups. In the group of patients who underwent CE, in case of positive findings such as polyps or other lesions, how did you proceed? Did you perform therapeutic enteroscopy? Surgery? Other? Moreover, it could be indicated to add some endoscopic images. Generally, well done.