

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 80397

Title: Comment on “Prognostic value of preoperative enhanced computed tomography as a quantitative imaging biomarker in pancreatic cancer”

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06392084

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MMed

Professional title: Deputy Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-30 04:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-01 03:43

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

What is the quality and importance of this GAO study? What was the original discovery?
What new concepts does this study propose? Does the conclusion properly summarize
the data provided in this study? What unique insights does this study offer and how will
it affect basic science and/or clinical practice?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 80397

Title: Comment on “Prognostic value of preoperative enhanced computed tomography as a quantitative imaging biomarker in pancreatic cancer”

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03261315

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACE, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Chief Doctor, Doctor, Postdoc, Professor, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-06 06:51

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-06 10:01

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The letter supports the outcome of the article of Gao et al published on June in WJG. The letter is clearly comprehensible but the problematic issue is that the letter contains only general comments. The letter made some suggestions for a future research regarding the tumor relative enhancement ratio (TRER) and metastatic pancreatic cancer.