

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 89933

Title: Clinical characteristics of acute non-varicose upper gastrointestinal bleeding and

the effect of endoscopic hemostasis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03259512 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-18

Reviewer chosen by: Huo Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-27 04:27

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-27 04:44

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the study assessed the acute non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding (ANUVUGIB). the study is quite large and data is interesting. However, there are several serious issues to address. 1. Clinical characteristics of all patients should be presented in a separate table. the table should describe General information, etiological factors, and disease outcomes for the enrolled/assessed patients. 2. It is not clear whether the study was approved by human ethics committee. The number for the project approval? All retrospective studies with human subjects require approval. 3. Introduction is too general and should be extended, more previous findings should be shown/included. 4. Discussion is also very general and can be improved. 5. Analysis should be done for males and females separately. Risk factors should be analysed in the male group only/and discussed. 6. females should be divided in 2 groups: pre- and post-menopausal, and compared separately. 7. the age group should be stratified by gender and analysed.