



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 20041

Title: Colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection: Recent technical advances for safe and successful procedures

Reviewer's code: 01469554

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-29 14:13

Date reviewed: 2015-05-31 18:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) As EMR in Piecemeal resection 20 mm or more lesions can tolerating. Please cite attached paper, and add adaptation, please. 2) Add on in what way are(including use of gucagon etc) for patients whom butylbromide can not use to preparation. 3) Please more emphasize usefulness of carbon dioxide. 4) This is a review paper, so as a major devise, please add B-knife in figure2.Mucosectome , too, in addition to figure2. 5) MucoUp is at being hyaluronate solution of 0.4% those that is sold in only Japan. So, please note if using in overseas, another solution, after coordinated the concentration of hyaluronate solution , for example Suvenyl, and/or Artz are useful. 6) Please note in time of fibrosis, what devices you recommend and the reason. 7) Please write reason why you choozed EZ clip HX-610-135. 8) ESD in Single balloon assist is described already.Because it is a paper-refference 31 Ohya reported, clarify as for citations, please.



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 20041

Title: Colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection: Recent technical advances for safe and successful procedures

Reviewer's code: 00504445

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-29 14:13

Date reviewed: 2015-06-05 20:43

Table with 4 columns: CLASSIFICATION, LANGUAGE EVALUATION, SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT, CONCLUSION. It contains checkboxes for various review criteria like 'Grade A: Excellent', 'Priority publishing', 'Duplicate publication', etc.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the present study, the authors presented Colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection: "For safe and successful procedures" recent advances and perspectives in techniques using various devices. This paper is well-written and is useful for endoscopists who try to perform ESD. I have several suggestions for this paper as it is accepted by lots of endoscopists in the world. 1) The length of paper is a little long. 10-20% reduction of the length are useful for better understanding of readers. 2) In the abstract, authors used the word "mucosal flap". I think the explanation of "mucosal flap" should be described in the abstract. 3) Authors indicated the importance of mucosal flap. Making schemas about mucosal flap are expected for better understanding of readers. 4) In the section named "Indication for colorectal ESD", uses of pit pattern and NBI should be written. 5) In the section named "preparation", general method for appropriate preparation should be also written though authors wrote their original methods. 6) In the section named "selection of endoscope", H290I is wrong and HQ290I is correct. 7) Authors used the word "distal attachments" and "hood". I think the word should be unified. 8) Authors should write the detain setting of



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESG100 or ESG400 who are made by Olympus. Because some countries use only Olympus's high frequency generators. 9) In the section named "strategies for improving safety and efficacy of ESD", authors used the word "proximal aspect" in the first sentence. The meaning of "proximal aspect" is used for anal side in this part. But, I think this is confusing. "Proximal" may be changed "anal". 10) Authors enhanced the usefulness of the clip flap method. I think they should write which hood is better for the clip flap method. 11) Authors may describe the schedule of patients receiving colorectal ESD. When do patients start oral takes? When do they discharge? 12) In the section named "Success rates and complications", authors should write the recurrence rates of ESD compared to the rates of EMR.