



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6994

Title: Analysis of Clinicopathological Features of 2100 Cases of Gastric Cancer and Its' Change in Recent 18 Years in Southern China

Reviewer code: 00724544

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-31 20:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-02 16:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is very good, but I consider that minor revision should be done. I attach my conclusions.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6994

Title: Analysis of Clinicopathological Features of 2100 Cases of Gastric Cancer and Its' Change in Recent 18 Years in Southern China

Reviewer code: 01437833

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-31 20:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-27 14:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper retrospectively studied 2100 adult gastric cancer patients from southern China between 1994-2013. This is a well-written article with interesting data. One issue is that this article mainly focused on clinicopathological features, since molecular staging of cancer is more and more emphasized nowadays, the molecular features (p53, HER2, etc) should also be included.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6994

Title: Analysis of Clinicopathological Features of 2100 Cases of Gastric Cancer and Its' Change in Recent 18 Years in Southern China

Reviewer code: 02841699

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-31 20:59

Date reviewed: 2014-01-16 20:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript have concluded that the gastric carcinoma had predilection in old men and young women, mostly locating at gastric antrum. More and more gastric carcinoma have been detected at early stages. The young and the old patients differ in the gender composition, predilection site, pathological type and prognosis, which should be treated differently in clinic. However, I just want to know what is the clinical significance of this paper. This conclusion of this paper is not very interesting and helpful to most clinicians. Another question is whether all the patients in this study have been received lymph node dissection. The scope of lymph node dissection was consistent and perhaps the results will greatly affect the prognosis.