

We thank referees for careful reading our manuscript and for giving useful comments. In response to the Referees' comments, we have revised the manuscript ID 36628.

Sincerely,

Our responses to the referees' reports are as follows:

Number ID: 01221925

This is an interesting case report of a very aggressive hepatic tumor. The authors may wish to increase the novelty factor of this report by discussing in some more detail the following:

a) radiologic pattern recognition of HCC vs hepatic angiosarcoma b) literature review of the occurrence in the same patient of HCC and hepatic angiosarcoma c) was the patient receiving treatment for HBV?

- a) I added sentences of radiologic pattern recognition of HCC vs hepatic angiosarcoma.
- b) There was no report occurrence in the same patient of HCC and hepatic angiosarcoma. I added sentences.
- c) Patient did not received treatment for HBV. I added sentences.

Number ID: 02541391

The manuscript " Contrast uptake in primary hepatic angiosarcoma on Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MR imaging in the hepatobiliary phase " is suitable for publication. The title reflects the major topic and contents of the manuscript. The structure is good. The references are appropriate and relevant. The article can be useful both to clinicians and to other healthcare professionals.