

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22481

Title: Impact of different types of herpesviral infections in the oral cavity

Reviewer's code: 00289416

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2015-09-05 22:39

Date reviewed: 2015-09-14 23:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting review paper on the effect of the different types of herpes virus as an infective agent to the oral cavity. The authors have provided an extensive and up to date literature review on the current literature regarding the subject. The review is well written, the references accurate and recent, the language acceptable and the table supportive to the text. The review paper can be accepted for publication without changes.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22481

Title: Impact of different types of herpesviral infections in the oral cavity

Reviewer's code: 00742372

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2015-09-05 22:39

Date reviewed: 2015-10-02 12:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well written with clear flow of thoughts. There are spelling mistakes and grammatical errors which need to be corrected. After these corrections the manuscript seems suitable for publication.