

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11734

**Title:** An esophageal diverticulum exposed during endoscopic submucosal dissection of a superficial cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00038346

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-03 12:36

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-09 18:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There is nothing new too much in your study. You may subscribe it as a short case report after proper abbreviation.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11734

**Title:** An esophageal diverticulum exposed during endoscopic submucosal dissection of a superficial cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00057996

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-03 12:36

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-20 04:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Shinwa Tanaka and co-authors presented with the manuscript "An esophageal diverticulum exposed during endoscopic submucosal dissection of a superficial cancer" an interesting case report. Overall, the authors did a nice job and presented the case in an adequate manner. The authors further briefly discussed the most important aspects of this case. However, there are a few minor issues that should be addressed in case of publication. 1) There are a few minor typing errors (eg page 6 "gastroglafin", or citation of references page 7: "(4.8)"), please revised the manuscript carefully. 2) Author's contribution a) On the one hand, two authors (Takashi Toyonaga and Namiko Hoshi) are not mentioned at all in this section implicating that they did not contribute to the work. If so, they have to be removed from authorship. b) For example, Yoshinori Morita was reported to only contribute as "revising it critically for important intellectual content", and Takeshi Auma contributed by "final approval". And Yoshiko Ohara, Tetsuya Yoshizaki, Fumiaki Kawara and Tsukasa Ishida contributed via "analysis and interpretation of data". These are not adequate criteria for authorship according to the guidelines. Authors should meet criteria 1 AND 2 AND 3. Please clarify or remove authors from the list. 3) The authors state that to their knowledge there had been no report about ESD of esophageal cancer in diverticula. A quick pubmed search showed for example this result: Dig Endosc. 2013 Jan;25(1):91-2. doi: 10.1111/j.1443-1661.2012.01394.x. Epub 2012 Oct 22. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for a superficial esophageal squamous cell carcinoma within the parabronchial diverticula.



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

Gotoda T, Takenaka R, Inokuchi T, Fujiki S. Please work more carefully and screen the literature appropriately. 4) It would have been interesting to know about the long-term outcome of the patient. Did the patient develop a stricture? What did the follow-up endoscopy show?

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11734

**Title:** An esophageal diverticulum exposed during endoscopic submucosal dissection of a superficial cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00036825

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-03 12:36

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-21 02:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper has a real clinical usefulness. Beside it the inaccurate detection of the esophageal wall-layers at the level of the cancer requires more explanation.