

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15861

**Title:** Technical and instrumental prerequisites for Single-Port laparoscopic Solo Surgery: state of art

**Reviewer's code:** 02954510

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-16 21:38

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-24 22:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript ID ESPS-15861 for your Journals entitled "Technical and instrumental prerequisites for Single-Port laparoscopic Solo Surgery: state of art." for this journal has been reviewed. Reviewer Comments to Author: This manuscript is a review of the single-port laparoscopic surgery. The manuscript is well written overall. However, there are some questionable issues that should be reviewed/discussed in the manuscript. **TITLE:** The title of manuscript is accurately reflected the major topic and contents of the study. **ABSTRACT:** This section is sufficient for give objectives of the study. **INTRODUCTION:** 1. The section of introduction should be shortened (especially paragraph #2). **OTHER SECTIONS:** 1. These sections are written satisfactorily. The authors should removed the sentence written as "which conventional laparoscopic surgery does not have." from abstract and other sections. Because SPSS is nearly same to SPLS without camera assistant as authors mentioned. Already the authors have mentioned that SPSS have some difficulties such as static operative image and repositioning. Therefore, SPSS may benefit to reduce surgical assistant. The surgeon who works as single without assistant may use these technical instruments. This topic



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

may emphasize especially.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15861

**Title:** Technical and instrumental prerequisites for Single-Port laparoscopic Solo Surgery: state of art

**Reviewer's code:** 02544259

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-16 21:38

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-25 21:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your manuscript is perfect. Please luck in the future.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15861

**Title:** Technical and instrumental prerequisites for Single-Port laparoscopic Solo Surgery: state of art

**Reviewer's code:** 02954607

**Reviewer's country:** Romania

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-16 21:38

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-26 21:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Article well written, english is excellent. However, your article is more a review on the subject, than an original article.