

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25404

Title: Presumptive case of sparganosis manifesting as a hepatic mass: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 02831209

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-09 17:04

Date reviewed: 2016-03-22 13:02

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written manuscript describing US, CT and MRI findings of a case with a presumptive diagnosis of hepatic sparganosis. While the case is nicely described and good images are provided, the lack of a definitive histopathological diagnosis is indeed an important limitation. Association of a positive ELISA test and the hepatic mass, in the absence of histopathological confirmation, is merely an association and does not imply in any causality. Although I agree that in this clinical scenario hepatic sparganosis can be considered the most likely diagnosis, the additional fact that such imaging findings cannot be considered pathognomonic makes mandatory (at least) to highlight throughout the whole paper (from title to discussion) that this is only a presumptive diagnosis. Notwithstanding, the rarity of imaging findings of such diagnosis in the radiological literature may overcome this limitation and warrant publication. Finally, does the authors have some hypothesis to explain why sparganosis is so rare in the liver? If so, they could add this to the text.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25404

Title: Presumptive case of sparganosis manifesting as a hepatic mass: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 01221749

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-09 17:04

Date reviewed: 2016-04-12 20:11

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

None

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25404

Title: Presumptive case of sparganosis manifesting as a hepatic mass: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 00058381

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-09 17:04

Date reviewed: 2016-04-25 02:03

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major Comment: This is an interesting manuscript; its main drawbacks are that, as a case report, it is of limited scientific value, and that the diagnosis of sparganosis is not definitely proven in the presented patient. The latter fact should lead to a more cautious presentation. Minor Comment: Figure legend 1D: "-22 Hounsfield unit" -> -22 Hounsfield units