

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27633

Title: Serial physical examinations, simple and reliable tool for managing neonates at risk for early-onset sepsis

Reviewer's code: 03335435

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-06-14 12:05

Date reviewed: 2016-07-08 17:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very interesting paper, and I think that now a lot of units worldwide are doing the same. I am not agree with this sentence "There are insufficient evidence-based recommendations for managing well-appearing neonates at-risk for early-onset sepsis". I think that there are. "At-risk newborn is defined as an infant whose mother is group B Streptococcus colonized" I think that this is not correct. If the mother has received correct prophylaxis or is a cesarean section without rupture premature of membranes, there is no significant risk. You defined culture proven sepsis in 72h, but early onset sepsis from 0 to 6 days. Are you speaking about time of culture? Do you know this paper? Central-peripheral temperature gradient: An early diagnostic sign of late-onset neonatal sepsis in very low birth weight infants. Leante-Castellanos et al. Clinical signs, like temperature, can be useful to suspect sepsis in premature babies.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27633

Title: Serial physical examinations, simple and reliable tool for managing neonates at risk for early-onset sepsis

Reviewer's code: 03622690

Reviewer's country: Poland

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-06-14 12:05

Date reviewed: 2016-07-09 04:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Your article raises an important issue in neonatology. Observations carried out on a large territory, but it is the right application for research on a much larger population of patients. Your opinion would be needed on the topic on non-GBS maternal colonization potentially resulting in neonatal EOS (eg. E. coli) and the question if SPE would be sufficient in such cases. Would you consider the heart rate observation and tolerance of feeding as the evaluating parameter in SPE? Do you take into account the result of the pulse oximetry test when assessing clinical condition of the baby. Overall, a good paper emphasizing the value of direct medical observation and physical examination.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27633

Title: Serial physical examinations, simple and reliable tool for managing neonates at risk for early-onset sepsis

Reviewer's code: 03329348

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-06-14 12:05

Date reviewed: 2016-07-11 15:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am not a clinician but a quantitative analyst, and am assisting with this manuscript as much as I know. It is well-written with a need for grammatical improvement.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27633

Title: Serial physical examinations, simple and reliable tool for managing neonates at risk for early-onset sepsis

Reviewer's code: 03539172

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-06-14 12:05

Date reviewed: 2016-07-26 02:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please, see the attached file.