



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 80412

Title: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and COVID-19: Harmless companions or disease intensifier?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06269601

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Academic Editor, Academic Research, Adjunct Professor, Intermediate Editor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Reviewer Country

Author's Country/Territory: Germany

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-01 11:11

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-13 20:35

Review time: 12 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations to the authors for the study. I have very little to add. I think it is pertinent to insert the meaning of the acronyms before their insertion. Additionally, I suggest some figure that summarizes the relationship proposed in the review. Although relevant information has been included in the table, its layout is not pretty. These considerations, however, are up to the authors to decide whether or not to comply. They will only increase the chance of citation of the study.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 80412

Title: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and COVID-19: Harmless companions or disease intensifier?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05824612

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD, PsyD

Professional title: Reader in Health Technology Assessment, Research Assistant, Research Assistant Professor, Research Associate, Research Fellow, Research Scientist, Researcher, Science Editor, Statistician

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Iran

Author’s Country/Territory: Germany

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-23 13:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-23 13:27

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection



Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. At the beginning of the introduction, start with the study group, the dependent variables, and finally, the independent variables are explained. There should be content coherence between paragraphs, and they should be linked like links. The research background should be such that the research gap and unanswered questions are identified for the reader. At least in the last paragraph, state the unresolved issues and research gaps and direct and indirect applications of this study. It is important to note how the results of this study can help resolve ambiguities. 2. To express the purpose, problem statement, the definition of specialized terms or scientific abbreviations, information provided in other similar research, the necessity of research and research innovation compared to previous research, unanswered questions that this research answers, and explain how the results of this study can help resolve ambiguities. 3. In the article's introduction, two questions should be answered briefly: Does the paper address an important issue? Has this research question been raised before? 4. Please state the ethical considerations of the research. 5. Sampling needs further explanation and clarification. 6. Materials and methods is unclear. 7. In the Discussion, a summary of the findings is mentioned, and their interpretation is described. The alignment and non-alignment of the findings with the findings of previous similar researches are compared and examined and explained, the result then practical overview and generalizability of the results and in general, what has been added from this research to the existing theoretical and applied knowledge, has been written and in line with it, has



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

expressed the limitations of the study and provided analysis and used suggestions for future studies. 8. In the Limitations section point out the factors that have restricted your research's internal and external credibility state the methodological limitations. Make research suggestions based on these limitations and write practical recommendations based on the findings. Avoid making general suggestions such as holding and explaining what the findings are based on the results of the hypothesis.