

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8661

Title: Barrett's oesophagus – evidence from the current meta-analyses

Reviewer code: 02445111

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-02 16:01

Date reviewed: 2014-01-15 09:03

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting manuscript where the author's performed a meta-analysis of patients with Barrett's oesophagus. Although the number of studies analyzed is relatively small, the data presented are reasonable and should provide useful information for clinicians in the treatment of patients who present with Barrett's oesophagus. Therefore, I recommend publication of this manuscript. Note that there are some typographical errors present in this manuscript that should be corrected prior to publication.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8661

Title: Barrett's oesophagus – evidence from the current meta-analyses

Reviewer code: 00036593

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-02 16:01

Date reviewed: 2014-02-26 02:40

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is well-written. No mention is made of the difficulty in differentiating inflammatory atypia and low-grade dysplasia and whether patients should be on anti-reflux treatment for 6 – 12 months before sampling them again. Should surveillance programmes include treatment with PPI as pre-requisite?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8661

Title: Barrett's oesophagus – evidence from the current meta-analyses

Reviewer code: 02823327

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-02 16:01

Date reviewed: 2014-02-26 20:53

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Update of a topic of great interest. Brief comments: 1) Barrett's relationship with smoking is unclear in the wording of the text. 2) The importance or utility of reflux control has a short analysis. 3) Reference (2) and (5) are the same. 4) Reference (8) is posterior to April 25th 2013.