

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 11489

Title: Chemotherapy induced Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy

Reviewer code: 02929146

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-05-24 22:21

Date reviewed: 2014-05-28 01:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a concise and well-written case report. This reviewer has a couple of comments that authors should respond.

1. It was not clearly described whether chemotherapy was continued or stopped (and changed alternative treatment) when Tako-tsubo cardiomyopathy was diagnosed.
2. The abbreviation of TC should be fully spelled when it was used at the first time. (Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy)
3. Page 3. : body temperature (101.2) should have unit (F).

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 11489

Title: Chemotherapy induced Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy

Reviewer code: 01213026

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-05-24 22:21

Date reviewed: 2014-06-07 17:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case, however, paper must be more clearly written. To non cardiologists must be explained what non M3 AML stands for. Either explanation must be included or such term omitted from the abstract as confusing. Results - case description, in this subsection must be clearly spelled out what types of cardiac enzymes have been analyzed and in which timing? Also - what does it mean "negative translocation, 15,17..." This is a kind of jargon! In the second page of discussion - first two paragraphs must be re-written according to grammar and the style!! This is not English!