

World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2019 August 21; 25(31): 4294-4566



EDITORIAL

- 4294 Lateral lymph node dissection for low rectal cancer: Is it necessary?
Christou N, Meyer J, Toso C, Ris F, Buchs NC

REVIEW

- 4300 Methionine adenosyltransferases in liver cancer
Murray B, Barbier-Torres L, Fan W, Mato JM, Lu SC
- 4320 Ileal-anal pouches: A review of its history, indications, and complications
Ng KS, Gonsalves SJ, Sagar PM
- 4343 Common features between neoplastic and preneoplastic lesions of the biliary tract and the pancreas
Zaccari P, Cardinale V, Severi C, Pedica F, Carpino G, Gaudio E, Doglioni C, Petrone MC, Alvaro D, Arcidiacono PG, Capurso G
- 4360 Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with portal vein tumor thrombosis: Beyond the known frontiers
Cerrito L, Annicchiarico BE, Iezzi R, Gasbarrini A, Pompili M, Ponziani FR
- 4383 Systemic inflammation in colorectal cancer: Underlying factors, effects, and prognostic significance
Tuomisto AE, Mäkinen MJ, Väyrynen JP

MINIREVIEWS

- 4405 Overview and comparison of guidelines for management of pancreatic cystic neoplasms
Hasan A, Visrodia K, Farrell JJ, Gonda TA
- 4414 Gastrointestinal motility and absorptive disorders in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases: Prevalence, diagnosis and treatment
Barros LL, Farias AQ, Rezaie A
- 4427 Optimal timing and route of nutritional support after esophagectomy: A review of the literature
Zheng R, Devin CL, Pucci MJ, Berger AC, Rosato EL, Palazzo F
- 4437 Portal vein thrombosis in cirrhosis: Why a well-known complication is still matter of debate
Faccia M, Ainora ME, Ponziani FR, Riccardi L, Garcovich M, Gasbarrini A, Pompili M, Zocco MA

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Basic Study**

- 4452 High expression of APC is an unfavorable prognostic biomarker in T4 gastric cancer patients
Du WB, Lin CH, Chen WB
- 4468 MicroRNA-194 inactivates hepatic stellate cells and alleviates liver fibrosis by inhibiting AKT2
Wu JC, Chen R, Luo X, Li ZH, Luo SZ, Xu MY

Retrospective Study

- 4481 Ustekinumab: "Real-world" outcomes and potential predictors of nonresponse in treatment-refractory Crohn's disease
Hoffmann P, Krisam J, Wehling C, Kloeters-Plachky P, Leopold Y, Belling N, Gauss A

Observational Study

- 4493 Impact of pediatric inflammatory bowel disease diagnosis on exercise and sports participation: Patient and parent perspectives
Marchioni Beery RM, Li E, Fishman LN
- 4502 Application of indocyanine green-enhanced near-infrared fluorescence-guided imaging in laparoscopic lateral pelvic lymph node dissection for middle-low rectal cancer
Zhou SC, Tian YT, Wang XW, Zhao CD, Ma S, Jiang J, Li EN, Zhou HT, Liu Q, Liang JW, Zhou ZX, Wang XS

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 4512 Systematic review and meta-analysis of esophageal cancer in Africa: Epidemiology, risk factors, management and outcomes
Asombang AW, Chishinga N, Nkhoma A, Chipaila J, Nsokolo B, Manda-Mapalo M, Montiero JFG, Banda L, Dua KS
- 4534 Small bowel capsule endoscopy and treat-to-target in Crohn's disease: A systematic review
Le Berre C, Trang-Poisson C, Bourreille A

META-ANALYSIS

- 4555 Layered enhancement at magnetic resonance enterography in inflammatory bowel disease: A meta-analysis
Bellini D, Rivosecchi F, Panvini N, Rengo M, Caruso D, Carbone I, Ferrari R, Paolantonio P, Laghi A

ABOUT COVER

Editorial board member of *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, Mitsushige Sugimoto, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Division of Digestive Endoscopy, Shiga University of Medical Science Hospital, Otsu 520-2192, Japan

AIMS AND SCOPE

World Journal of Gastroenterology (*World J Gastroenterol*, *WJG*, print ISSN 1007-9327, online ISSN 2219-2840, DOI: 10.3748) is a peer-reviewed open access journal. The *WJG* Editorial Board consists of 701 experts in gastroenterology and hepatology from 58 countries.

The primary task of *WJG* is to rapidly publish high-quality original articles, reviews, and commentaries in the fields of gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, hepatobiliary surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, gastrointestinal radiation oncology, etc. The *WJG* is dedicated to become an influential and prestigious journal in gastroenterology and hepatology, to promote the development of above disciplines, and to improve the diagnostic and therapeutic skill and expertise of clinicians.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJG* is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2019 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2018 impact factor for *WJG* as 3.411 (5-year impact factor: 3.579), ranking *WJG* as 35th among 84 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology (quartile in category Q2). CiteScore (2018): 3.43.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Responsible Electronic Editor: *Yu-Jie Ma*
 Proofing Production Department Director: *Yun-Xiaoqian Wu*

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastroenterology

ISSN

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

October 1, 1995

FREQUENCY

Weekly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Subrata Ghosh, Andrzej S Tarnawski

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm>

EDITORIAL OFFICE

Ze-Mao Gong, Director

PUBLICATION DATE

August 21, 2019

COPYRIGHT

© 2019 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Portal vein thrombosis in cirrhosis: Why a well-known complication is still matter of debate

Mariella Faccia, Maria Elena Ainora, Francesca Romana Ponziani, Laura Riccardi, Matteo Garcovich, Antonio Gasbarrini, Maurizio Pompili, Maria Assunta Zocco

ORCID number: Mariella Faccia (0000-0001-5396-9390); Maria Elena Ainora (0000-0001-5847-1065); Francesca Romana Ponziani (0000-0002-5924-6238); Laura Riccardi (0000-0001-6249-0314); Matteo Garcovich (0000-0002-5805-7953); Antonio Gasbarrini (0000-0003-4863-6924); Maurizio Pompili (0000-0001-6699-7980); Maria Assunta Zocco (0000-0002-0814-9542).

Author contributions: Faccia M and Zocco MA conceived, wrote and revised the article; Ainora ME, Ponziani FR, Riccardi L, Gasbarrini A and Pompili M critically revised manuscript content; Garcovich M revised manuscript content and language.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the Authors have no conflict of interest related to the manuscript.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Mariella Faccia, Maria Elena Ainora, Francesca Romana Ponziani, Laura Riccardi, Matteo Garcovich, Antonio Gasbarrini, Maurizio Pompili, Maria Assunta Zocco, Department of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Catholic University, Rome 00168, Italy

Corresponding author: Mariella Faccia, MD, Academic Fellow, Doctor, Department of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Catholic University, Largo A. Gemelli 8, Rome 00168, Italy. mariellafaccia4@gmail.com
Telephone: +39-3405457425

Abstract

Portal vein thrombosis (PVT) represents a well-known complication during the natural course of liver cirrhosis (LC), ranging from asymptomatic cases to life-threatening conditions related to portal hypertension and hepatic decompensation. Portal flow stasis, complex acquired hypercoagulable disorders and exogenous factors leading to endothelial dysfunction have emerged as key factors for PVT development. However, PVT occurrence remains unpredictable and many issues regarding its natural history, prognostic significance and treatment are still elusive. In particular although spontaneous resolution or disease stability occur in most cases of PVT, factors predisposing to disease progression or recurrence after spontaneous recanalization are not clarified as yet. Moreover, PVT impact on LC outcome is still debated, as PVT may represent itself a consequence of liver fibrosis and hepatic dysfunction progression. Anticoagulation and transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt are considered safe and effective in this setting and are recommended in selected cases, even if the safer therapeutic option and the optimal therapy duration are still unknown. Nevertheless, their impact on mortality rates should be addressed more extensively. In this review we present the most debated questions regarding PVT, whose answers should come from prospective cohort studies and large sample-size randomized trials.

Key words: Portal vein thrombosis; Liver cirrhosis; Hypercoagulability; Anticoagulation; Direct oral anticoagulants

©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Portal vein thrombosis (PVT) represents a common and potential life-threatening complication of liver cirrhosis. Anticoagulant therapy is advised in selected cases, in particular for liver transplant candidates. Despite the advanced knowledge in PVT

Received: May 5, 2019**Peer-review started:** May 5, 2019**First decision:** June 10, 2019**Revised:** July 8, 2019**Accepted:** July 19, 2019**Article in press:** July 19, 2019**Published online:** August 21, 2019**P-Reviewer:** Kharlamov AN,
Srivastava M**S-Editor:** Yan JP**L-Editor:** A**E-Editor:** Ma YJ

pathogenesis and diagnosis, many issues regarding its natural history and prognostic outcome remain elusive. Likewise, the safer anticoagulant option, the potential role of direct oral anticoagulants and the optimal duration of therapy are still matter of debate. Given the clinical significance of this pathological entity, these cardinal issues should urgently be addressed in large prospective cohort studies and randomized trials.

Citation: Faccia M, Ainora ME, Ponziani FR, Riccardi L, Garcovich M, Gasbarrini A, Pompili M, Zocco MA. Portal vein thrombosis in cirrhosis: Why a well-known complication is still matter of debate. *World J Gastroenterol* 2019; 25(31): 4437-4451

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v25/i31/4437.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v25.i31.4437>

INTRODUCTION

Portal vein thrombosis (PVT) refers to blood clot formation within the trunk of the portal vein (PV) or its main branches, which may extend to the splenic and superior mesenteric veins (SMV). It can range from a partial and asymptomatic obstruction of the vessel to a complete blockade of portal venous blood flow, leading to hepatic decompensation, variceal bleeding and intestinal infarction secondary to portal hypertension (PH). PVT represents a well-known complication during the natural history of liver cirrhosis (LC), as a result of portal flow stasis, inherited or acquired prothrombotic disorders and/or vascular endothelial injury due to abdominal infection, surgery or trauma^[1,2].

It occurs mainly in advanced stages of LC and in the presence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). It is worth mentioning that cirrhotic patients with HCC may experience 2 types of PVT: “non-malignant” PVT, secondary to hemostatic disturbances superimposed by HCC, and “malignant” PVT, due to direct invasion of the PV by neoplastic cells. Since “malignant” PVT represents an established exclusion criteria for liver transplantation (LT), surgical resection and imaging-guided HCC treatments, early recognition of this entity is crucial for prognosis definition and decisions making^[3].

Although PVT in LC was first described almost 150 years ago and it has been widely studied in clinical and experimental studies, many issues regarding its pathogenesis, natural history, prevention and treatment are still matter of debate^[4]. Which are the predisposing factors? What is the natural evolution of asymptomatic cases? Is PVT clinically significant on LC natural history or does it represent an epiphenomenon of advanced liver disease? What is the impact on patient outcome including those undergoing LT? Which patients should be treated and how long? Which anticoagulant could represent a safe therapeutic option? How long should therapy be extended in case of repermeation, give the risk of thrombosis recurrence? How much should anticoagulation (AC) be prolonged before considering therapy to have failed? This review aims to summarise the current knowledge on “non-malignant” PVT occurring in the setting of LC, with a focus on the aforementioned unanswered questions.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

PVT was first described in 1868 in a 20 years-old man, presenting with ascites, splenomegaly and esophageal varices (EV)^[4]. Since then PVT in LC has been increasingly recognized due to amelioration of diagnostic imaging methods and better awareness amongst clinicians.

The relative risk of developing PVT in the presence of LC is increased more than 7-fold above the risk observed in the general population^[5]. In particular PVT prevalence increases with the degree of liver failure and in the setting of HCC, being as low as 1% in patients with compensated disease and rising to 8%-25% in candidates for LT and 40% in the presence of HCC^[6,7]. Cirrhosis aetiology may also play a role in PVT development. Emerging data suggest that non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) may be an independent risk factor for significant thrombotic events, including PVT, in patients with decompensated cirrhosis^[8,9]. Different diagnostic methods used in various studies may be responsible for prevalence data heterogeneity, which ranges from 0.6% to 16% in angiography or surgery studies to 10%-25% in ultrasound (US)

studies^[6]. Incidence of PVT in LC has been investigated in a limited number of reports. Values of 7.4% and 16% per year have been reported in small sample size studies involving patients with severe cirrhosis or listed for LT, respectively. One of the largest study performed in 1234 patients with compensated cirrhosis [Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) A or B] reported a cumulative incidence of PVT of 4.6%, 8.2% and 10.7% at 1, 3 and 5 years, respectively^[10,11].

However, the retrospective design of most studies, the small sample size and the risk of underestimation of asymptomatic cases, do not allow definitive conclusions to be drawn. Recently, the multicenter prospective study PRO-LIVER (PVT relevance on LC: Italian venous thrombotic events registry), including 753 Caucasian cirrhotic patients (50% outpatient, 50% with mild severity disease), reported a prevalence of US-documented PVT of 17% (43% asymptomatic cases) and an annual incidence rate of 6.05%. Such incidence resulted much higher in patients with a history of PVT (18.9 per 100 patient-years *vs* 4.1 per 100 patient-years in those without prior PVT at admission) indicating that PVT *per se* carries a risk for recurrences^[12,13].

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Venous stasis, hypercoagulability and endothelial dysfunction, summarized in the “Virchow triad”, are the 3 known pathophysiologic factors predisposing to thromboembolic events, including PVT. PV stasis secondary to liver architectural derangement is thought to play the main role in the setting of LC. We have found that a portal flow velocity lower than 15 cm/s at Doppler US is the most influential risk factor for PVT development in LC and our data were confirmed by another case-control study^[11,14]. PV stasis may also be the result of a spontaneous portosystemic shunt causing a “steal” syndrome. In the study of Maruyama *et al*^[15] the presence of collateral vessels with a flow volume of more than 400 mL/min and a flow velocity of more than 10 cm/s resulted a significant predictive factor for the occurrence of PVT in virus-induced cirrhosis. Since non-selective beta blockers (NSBB) act reducing PV inflow velocity and are extensively used for primary and secondary prevention of EV bleeding in LC, several studies have investigated their potential contribution to PVT development reporting conflicting results. No association between PVT and NSBBs treatment was reported by Violi *et al*^[12], which confirmed a significant increase in PVT prevalence among patients with CTP class B and C, HCC, history of upper gastrointestinal bleeding or PVT, and older age. Conversely EV and NSBBs exposure resulted risk factors for PVT occurrence in other studies^[16,17]. Although these results are in appearance conflicting, taken together they may suggest that the primary culprit of PVT may be the significant PH associated with more advanced liver disease which lead to NSBBs prescription, instead of NSBBs use *per se*. Future trials addressing the use of NSBBs in cirrhotic patients should include development of PVT as a specific safety outcome to allow definitive conclusions and comparisons between different types of NSBBs.

Based on the presence of thrombocytopenia and prolongation of conventional coagulation tests, cirrhotic patients were traditionally considered “auto-anticoagulated”. However a growing body of clinical and laboratoristic evidence allowed to dispel this old dogma, showing a complete reassessment between pro- and anti-hemostatic drivers in LC (Table 1), potentially leading to a hypercoagulation state, which is not expressed by routine coagulation tests^[1,7,18]. This hypothesis is supported by the evidence that thrombin generation in LC is normal or even increased compared to healthy subjects notwithstanding prothrombin time and activated partial thromboplastin time are prolonged^[19,20]. Likewise, the reduction of platelet (PLT) number seems counterbalanced by PLT hyperactivity, as assessed by increased urinary excretion of 11-dehydro-thromboxane B2 and by consistent elevation of p-selectin expression on PLT surface at rest and after thrombin stimulation and higher serum beta-thromboglobulin and platelet factor-4 alpha compared to controls^[21,22]. It has been proposed that changes in PLT-von Willebrand factor (vWF) interaction, which is one of the first step of PLT adhesion, might compensate defects in PLT number and function. Higher molecular weight multimers of vWF have been extensively described in LC, as a result of reduced vWF cleaving protease ADAMTS-13, and seem to play a pivotal role in increasing PLT thrombogenic potential^[23]. Although low serum ADAMTS-13 levels and activity were significantly associated with PVT development in cirrhotic patients irrespective of CTP and Model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) scores, further studies are needed to determine whether these parameters could be used in everyday clinical practice to predict PVT occurrence in LC^[24,25].

Concurrent thrombophilic conditions have been reported in 5.6% of patients with

Table 1 Reassessment between anti- and pro-hemostatic drivers in liver cirrhosis

Hemostasis phase	Anti-hemostatic drivers	Pro-hemostatic drivers
Primary hemostasis (vasoconstriction and platelet plug formation)	Thrombocytopenia	Platelet hyperactivity High molecular weight multimers von Willebrand factor levels Low ADAM TS 13 levels and activity
Secondary hemostasis (coagulation cascades)	Low anticoagulant factors levels: AT, protein C and S High procoagulant factor levels: factor VIII	Low procoagulant factors levels: fibrinogen, factor II, V, VII, IX, X, XI
Tertiary hemostasis (fibrinolysis)	Low plasminogen and high PAI levels	High t-PA levels Low TAFI and plasmin inhibitor levels

AT: Antithrombin; ADAM TS 13: A disintegrin-like and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin type 1 motif 13; PAI: Plasminogen activator inhibitor; t-PA: Tissue plasminogen activator; TAFI: Thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor^[18].

PVT and LC, however their contribution to PVT development is difficult to assess since they may be a secondary phenomenon of parenchymal liver disease rather than a primary disturbance^[7]. Among different thrombophilic genetic defects, G20210A prothrombin gene variant has been the most common abnormality associated with PVT in patients with LC with a prevalence of 21.4%-29% and an odds ratio of 5.9 for development of PVT^[26,27]. Another study demonstrated that a relevant proportion of cirrhotic patients with PVT harbours a myeloproliferative disorders secondary to JAK2 V617F mutation^[28]. Other hypercoagulable risk factors may occur in patients with LC, but none results as definitive risk factor for PVT development^[28,29].

Furthermore, many poorly understood exogenous factors may act in the background of the above-mentioned prothrombotic causes, tipping the balance towards thrombotic or bleeding complications. "Low-grade" endotoxemia, as a result of bacterial translocation from intestinal lumen to the portal circulation in advanced stage of LC, has been proposed as potential trigger of clotting system. Lipopolysaccharide derived from gut microbiota has been shown to increase endothelial release of factor VIII^[30]. However, despite a clear correlation between endotoxemia and a marker of thrombin generation in LC, a study on 49 cirrhotic patients failed to demonstrate a correlation between both endotoxemia and platelet activity with PVT occurrence^[31,32]. Anyway, the potential role of endotoxemia as prothrombotic trigger in the portal circulation of cirrhotic patients remains attractive and should be addressed more extensively in larger studies before drawing definitive conclusions. Among different LC etiologies, NASH is emerging as a potential risk factor for PVT, as it is associated with increased plasminogen activator inhibitor and reduced protein C levels^[9]. If these findings will find confirmation, PVT occurrence might increase in the near future since NASH is becoming the leading cause of LC.

Endoscopic therapy of bleeding EV (sclerotherapy and variceal band ligation), abdominal surgery, inflammation or trauma and vascular invasion by neoplastic cells (HCC, cholangiocarcinoma, hepatic metastasis) have been all proposed as potential determinants of PVT, due to direct vascular injury and disturbance of blood flow. PVT has been described in 16% of cirrhotic patients (70% with concomitant thrombophilic conditions) undergoing endoscopic therapy for EV after a mean follow-up period of 16 mo^[26]. Opposite results were obtained by another prospective study performed in cirrhotic patients undergoing sclerotherapy for EV which failed to demonstrate an increased risk of PVT development^[33]. Previous abdominal surgery and splenectomy have also been reported as risk factors for PVT. In particular splenectomy has been associated with a 10-fold increase in the risk of PVT development, independently of liver dysfunction severity, in a retrospective study involving 113 cirrhotic patients without malignancy^[29,34,35].

PVT PREDICTION

The role of serum markers for PVT prediction in patients with LC remains elusive. A recent meta-analysis suggested that cirrhotic patients with PVT might have higher D-dimer concentrations than those without PVT and that postoperative D-dimer testing is worthwhile for the diagnosis of PVT after PH-related surgery. However, significant heterogeneity among studies limits generalizable conclusions^[36]. Protein C and S levels have also been tested as potential predictor of PVT development without definite conclusions^[11,37]. What is clear is that the true thrombotic potential in this group of patients is more complex than appreciated by measuring individual protein markers. Based on these evidence Sarin *et al* proposed a pre-test probability

assessment for PVT prediction based on 10 criteria, 3 major (CTP class B or C; prior history of resolved PVT; prothrombotic risk factors such as factor V Leiden mutation, prothrombin gene mutation, MTHFR mutation) and 7 minor (evidence of a large portosystemic shunt or large isolated gastric varices; HCC; previous/or active systemic venous thrombotic events or abortions; acute abdomen; new onset or worsening PH complications; recent endoscopic, radiological or surgical abdominal interventions; portal flow velocity < 15 cm/s). Although attractive, this scoring system requires validation in prospective clinical studies^[38].

DIAGNOSIS

In the majority of patients with cirrhosis, PVT is an incidental finding, during routine US, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging evaluation. In other cases diagnosis is made after a new event of hepatic decompensation or abdominal pain. The first-line technique for PVT detection is Doppler US, with sensitivity of about 90% for complete PVT and about 50% for partial PVT and high operator-dependence. Contrast-enhanced imaging techniques, including contrast-enhanced US, have comparable sensitivity for PVT diagnosis, allowing in addition a better definition of PVT extension as well as an evaluation of underlying malignancy and other sequelae^[1,2,7]. HCC proximity to PVT, enlargement of the PV > 23 mm, enhancement of the thrombus in the arterial phase of contrast enhanced imaging scan or PV arterial-like flow on Doppler US have been proposed as reliable radiologic criteria for “malignant” PVT, confining histologic confirmation to selected uncertain cases^[3]. After PVT diagnosis, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy is warranted to assess the presence and degree of EV.

PVT NATURAL HISTORY

PVT encompasses 2 different entities: acute PVT and chronic PVT (also called portal cavernoma). They represent successive stages of the same disease, since acute PVT may be followed by lysis of the thrombus or cavernous transformation of PV, consisting in porto-portal collaterals formation within or around the thrombosed vessel in an effort to bypass venous obstruction^[1]. Changes related to cavernous transformation of PV have been described to occur already 6-20 d after acute PVT and are more common in patients without concomitant liver disease, since PV flow stasis in LC usually prevents collateral channel dilatation^[39].

The most common evolution of acute PVT is spontaneous resolution or disease stability, which have been described from 45% to over 70% of cases in different studies^[9,40,41]. However, data regarding predictors of PVT natural course are very scarce. In particular no significant association has been found between thrombus age and PVT degree at diagnosis and spontaneous PV recanalization^[40,42]. Likewise age, sex, severity of liver and renal function, EV, previous PH-related bleeding, ascites, location of thrombosis and portal cavernoma were no significant predictors for PVT resolution in another retrospective study^[43]. Only Maruyama *et al.* demonstrated that the diameter and flow volume in the largest collateral vessel at the time of diagnosis of PVT were negatively associated with spontaneous improvement of PVT, however these data require prospective external validation^[15].

Recurrence of PVT after spontaneous recanalization has also been described in some cohort studies, ranging from 21.3% (19 of 89 patients, mean follow-up 47 mo) in the prospective cohort study by Nery *et al.*^[10] to 45% (9 of 20 patients, mean follow-up of 63.3 mo) in the retrospective study by Maruyama *et al.*^[10,15]. Considering the possibility of thrombus recurrence, the patients should continue to monitor the PV patency after spontaneous PV recanalization.

CLINICAL IMPACT ON CIRRHOSIS PROGRESSION AND OUTCOME

PVT occurrence is thought to have a negative impact on LC prognosis, because it produces a further increase in PH which may lead to potentially life-threatening bleeding events and worsening of liver function. However, contrary to common clinical belief, the actual prognostic value of PVT on liver disease progression and outcome remains an unsolved issue, since clinical studies are usually based on small cohorts of patients and short follow-up periods. D’Amico *et al.* prospectively reported

a more than 3-fold higher risk of failure to control active variceal bleeding in cirrhotic patients with PVT, irrespective of treatment modality (endoscopic hemostasis or surgical shunting), whereas in a subsequent retrospective analysis Dell'Era *et al.*^[44,45] showed that PVT was associated with longer time to EV eradication (8 d difference) but not with treatment failure. It has been proposed that the non-occlusive nature of most PVT cases involved in the second study and the improvement achieved in gastrointestinal bleeding management could explain these conflicting results. The impact of PVT on multiple LC outcomes has been investigated in a recent meta-analysis involving 2436 cirrhotic participants^[46]. The study reported a significant association of PVT with both mortality and ascitic decompensation, but did not evaluate the pooled effect of PVT on other markers of hepatic decompensation such as gastroesophageal variceal bleeding or hepatic encephalopathy due to data insufficiency^[46]. On the contrary, a recent prospective multicenter study on LC outcome did not find a prognostic role of PVT (mainly non-occlusive) on either mortality and hepatic decompensation^[10]. It should be considered that the small number of studies evaluated and the lack of randomized controlled trials limit meta-analysis conclusions generalization. Similar results were obtained by Qi *et al.*^[47], that, after conducting a systematic review of the literature, concluded that heterogeneity in data reporting and lengths of follow-up among studies did not allow to draw conclusions about PVT consequences on LC outcomes.

Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that progression or regression of partial PVT seem to have no impact on LC natural history. In particular Luca *et al.*^[40] found that spontaneous improvement of PVT did not provide any benefit in terms of development of LC-related complications, LT and survival since CTP score at diagnosis was the only independent predictor of survival and hepatic decompensation on multivariate analysis. Based on these impressive findings, it has been speculated that PVT occurrence and LC progression may represent independent and synergistic phenomena resulting from the same pathophysiological mechanisms. In particular intrahepatic microvascular thrombosis secondary to hepatic necroinflammation may act as the key determinant of both diseases, leading to liver ischemia, cell death, loss of functioning hepatic mass and enhanced fibrogenesis, through a process, called "parenchymal extinction", which ultimately leads to liver dysfunction, PH worsening and PVT occurrence^[18,48]. This hypothesis is supported by the results of Villa *et al.*^[49] who reported that daily prophylactic dose of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) for 12 mo prevented hepatic decompensation and PVT development in patients with compensated cirrhosis (CPT B7-C10) and conferred a significant survival benefit in the 5-years follow-up. The same authors postulated that LMWH protective effect on the course of the liver disease was mediated by an improvement in intestinal microcirculation and reduction in bacterial translocation and liver inflammation rather than by PVT prevention. These findings although impressive were not confirmed by other studies^[49].

CLINICAL IMPACT ON LT OUTCOME

PVT prevalence among candidates awaiting LT varies from 2.1% to 26%, being unrecognized pre-operatively in up to 50% of cases^[50-52]. Historically PVT poses relevant challenges during LT-surgery due to increase in technical complexity, operative time, blood transfusion requirements and intensive care unit/hospital stays, which may all negatively affect outcomes. In the last decades, improvement in medical and surgical strategies allowed to overcome most of previous mentioned difficulties and, as a consequence, PVT is no longer considered an absolute contraindication for LT^[53]. In a retrospective study involving 191 patients undergoing LT between 2005 and 2014, the presence of pre-transplant PVT did not affect significantly the need of blood components transfusion and surgery time (except for severe grades of PVT), but was associated with higher, even if not statistically significant, 30-d mortality^[54].

To date the impact of PVT on morbidity and mortality after LT remains unclear, because the small number of published reports obtained controversial results and most of them did not differentiate between partial and occlusive PVT. According to the results of a recent meta-analysis, the survival rates in this setting mainly depend on PVT type and surgical technique^[50,51]. In particular, whereas incidentally discovered and partial PVT had a limited effect on post-LT outcomes, patients with complete PVT extending to distal superior SMV showed a lower 1-year survival rate with no impact on 5-year survival^[50,51,55]. Furthermore, end-to-end PV anastomosis was associated with the same survival rates for patients with and without PVT regardless of its degree and extension, whereas non-anatomic portal anastomoses (cavoportal hemi-

transposition; renoportal anastomosis; PV arterialization) were characterized by a worse prognosis^[56,57]. Based on these data, it has been proposed that high selected LT-candidates with porto-mesenteric thrombosis should be addressed to transplant centres with large specific experience in PVT evaluation and management^[51,56]. Indeed surgeon ability to establish a physiological portal anastomosis to the graft seems the most important factor in predicting patient outcome.

The recurrence rate of PVT after LT has reduced from 36% in pioneering experiences to 2%-3% in recent years^[53]. The rate of re-thrombosis has been ascribed to great degree and extension of pre-LT PVT, severe pre-LT PH and the need of PH-treatments, large portosystemic collaterals, mismatches in the size of the donor and the recipient PV, severe graft oedema, non-anatomical anastomosis, pediatric transplantation^[58]. Post-LT PVT may significantly reduce both graft and patient survival and cause limitations or loss of future options for re-LT, particularly when these events occur intraoperatively or early after LT^[59].

TREATMENT OPTIONS

Currently, robust data on the optimal management of PVT in the setting of LC are lacking and no definitive evidence-based recommendations have been reported in clinical guidelines or consensus conferences^[1,2,7]. Available strategies include AC therapy and, in some technically suitable patients, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS). If no treatment is started, a close imaging surveillance is advised, but the best time frame to perform US-screening is still not well defined. However as patients with cirrhosis should undergo screening US for HCC every 6 months, assessment of the PV can be performed simultaneously without significant additional cost and is recommended^[7]. In case of patients on wait-list for LT, time frame might be shortened to 3 months given the aforementioned potential implications on LT outcome and surgical planning^[58].

Anticoagulation

The utility of AC in patients awaiting LT is extensively shared. The rationale consists in achieving venous recanalization in case of thrombosis extending to the SMV or alternatively to prevent thrombus extension in the portal mesenteric junction, in order to allow conventional end-to-end PV anastomosis, which is associated with better outcomes in comparison with other surgical approaches^[58,60]. In this setting AC leads to complete recanalization in about 40% of cases and should be prolonged until LT given the high rates of PVT recurrence after withdrawal^[61,62]. No consensus exists concerning AC indication, dosage and duration after LT, however early post-operative AC with a short course of heparin is performed in many centres in high-risk liver recipients with an underlying prothrombotic state, especially in those undergoing “non-anatomical” procedures^[56,63]. Conversely AC use for those patients who are not candidate to LT remains controversial, given the retrospective nature of most of the available data, the bleeding-related concerns and the heterogeneous rate of spontaneous recanalization, which makes it difficult to evaluate the real efficacy of different treatments. Experimental data suggest that AC may play an important role in patients with chronic liver disease, since it has been demonstrated that AC may prevent fibrogenesis through inhibition of fibrin and factor Xa in animal models^[64,65].

According to the recent European Association for the Study of the Liver and American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases guidelines, AC treatment is advised for at least 3-6 months in the presence of cirrhotic-PVT and should be continued for some months after PV repermeation or until transplant in LT candidates, while it might be continued lifelong in case of SMV thrombosis and intestinal infarction^[1,2]. A more prudent approach has been suggested by the 7th International Conference on Coagulation in Liver Disease, which recommends AC treatment for LT candidates with occlusive main PVT with or without proximal extension into the SMV and on a case by case basis and after multi-disciplinary discussion for high grade PVT in non-transplant population^[7]. All guidelines underline the absolute need of gastroesophageal varices screening prior to AC therapy, in order to perform primary or secondary bleeding preventive strategies.

Although LMWH and vitamin K antagonists (VKA) are considered the first choice for PVT treatment unrelated to cirrhosis, their role in cirrhotic patients remains still unclear. LMWH is commonly used in the acute setting and has the advantage of a fixed dose without laboratory monitoring; however, daily subcutaneous injections may reduce compliance and low levels of antithrombin III together with reduced glomerular filtration rate due to hepato-renal syndrome may require unpredictable dose adjustments. A recent study compared different doses of enoxaparin (1.5 mg/kg

per 24 h *vs* 1 mg/kg per 12 h) for cirrhotic-PVT treatment showing comparable efficacy but a significantly higher rate of injection-site haemorrhage, epistaxis, or haematuria in the 1.5 mg/kg group^[66]. VKAs are chosen for long-term AC but spontaneous INR prolongation and interference with MELD score make their use particularly challenging. Recently, the reduction of endogenous-thrombin-potential has shown reliability for AC monitoring in LC and may allow to overcome some of the above-mentioned limits in the near future^[67]. Safety and efficacy issues of AC for cirrhotic-PVT treatment are still debated and have recently been addressed by 2 meta-analyses^[68,69]. In the study of Qi X *et al.*, involving 16 studies, LMWH and VKA were associated with acceptable major and minor bleeding rates (pooled rate 3.3%) and a relatively high rate of PV recanalization (pooled rate 66.6%, 41.5% for complete PV recanalization). However the inclusion of both comparative and non-comparative studies and the heterogeneity of outcome definitions does not allow to draw generalizable conclusions^[68]. In the second meta-analysis performed on 8 studies with a total of 353 patients, PV recanalization was observed in 71% of patients treated with AC (*vs* 42% of untreated patients) with a complete recanalization rate of 53% (*vs* 33% of untreated patients). The same authors reported significantly lower rates of clot progression (9% *vs* 33%) in the AC group without differences in major or minor bleeding events between the 2 groups (11%)^[69]. Although these results were encouraging, clinical and methodologic heterogeneity between studies, lack of prospective randomized studies and the inclusion of 2 studies involving patients undergoing invasive procedure for PH-treatment (TIPS placement and partial splenic embolization) suggest caution in clinical practice. To date, no clear predictors of efficacy have been established. In a recent prospective study involving 65 patients with PVT (72% non-occlusive) treated with LMWH, treatment efficacy was related to age of the thrombus and time interval (< 6 mo) between estimated thrombus onset and treatment start^[70]. These results were consistent with a previous small report of Maruyama *et al*^[71] who suggested that a positive intra-thrombus enhancement on CEUS, indicating a not completely organized thrombus, may be a potential indicator of successful recanalization in response to AC treatment.

This controversial issue is further complicated by the lack of data about the impact of PVT resolution on patient survival in most of studies. In a retrospective analysis of 63 cirrhotic patients with PVT, complete PV recanalization secondary to VKA treatment carried a significant reduction in long-term risk of PH-related complication and need for LT^[72]. Likewise a recent retrospective study on 182 cirrhotic patients with mild liver dysfunction (13% CTP C) and PVT, showed that LMWH or VKA therapy was associated with higher survival rates in comparison with untreated patients during a median follow-up of 19 mo^[73]. Another retrospective cohort of 80 patients, mainly in CTP B/C stage, with non-tumoral PVT, reported no influence of AC treatment on overall LT-free survival but a beneficial effect on LT-free survival among those with MELD \geq 15 compared to untreated patients^[74].

Only one case-series study investigated the effect of fondaparinux 2.5 mg/day in acute PVT in 7 patients with decompensated LC. All patients were CTP class B-C, 6 with ascites and 2 with hepatic encephalopathy. The study showed that all patients had a recanalization of the PV after 7-21 d of treatment, and no side effects were reported^[75].

Direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs), including direct thrombin inhibitor (dabigatran) and factor Xa inhibitors (rivaroxaban, apixaban, edoxaban), are attractive oral alternatives to VKA in many clinical settings. However, their role in cirrhotic patients is still unknown, since patients with remarkable liver disease have been excluded from most of the randomized clinical trials on DOACs. Indeed in this setting potential hepatotoxicity and unpredictable pharmacokinetics related to various degree of hepatic elimination (apixaban 75%, rivaroxaban 65%, edoxaban 50%, dabigatran 20%), cytochrome P450 and plasma protein binding levels alterations, overcame the advantages of no need of laboratory monitoring, rapid onset of action and oral formulation^[76].

However increasing safety data coming from small clinical studies and in vitro analyses renewed interest on DOACs use in the presence of compensated hepatic impairment. In a retrospective study involving 39 cirrhotic patients (CTP A and B) receiving DOACs (rivaroxaban or apixaban) or traditional AC (VKA and LMWH), bleeding events did not differ significantly between study groups and no drug-induced liver injury was documented over a 3-year period^[77]. These results were in concordance with those of a previous meta-analysis, which suggested no increased risk of drug-induced liver injury with DOACs compared to conventional AC^[78]. Likewise another retrospective study, including 27 patients on DOACs (rivaroxaban or apixaban) and 18 on VKA/LMWH, mainly in the CTP B stage, reported similar total bleeding events and significantly less major bleeding episodes in the DOACs group^[79]. It is noteworthy to mention that small sample sizes and the limited number

of CTP C patients restrict the applicability of these results in clinical practice and underline the need of larger prospective studies.

Also DOACs efficacy for PVT treatment remains controversial, since available data come from case reports and series, mainly referring to rivaroxaban and apixaban use in compensated cirrhotic patients (Table 2)^[80-88]. Nagaoki *et al*^[84] randomized 50 cirrhotic patients with variable CTP scores (29 A, 16 B, 5 C) and PVT to receive either VKA or edoxaban (dose adjusted to weight and creatinine clearance) for a total of 6 mo after 2 initial weeks of daparinoid sodium therapy. They reported a significantly higher PVT volume measured on CT in patients treated with VKA than in those receiving edoxaban and no significant differences regarding GI bleeding or other adverse effects among the 2 study arms. However the target INR of 1.5-2 in VKA group (inferior to the standard target of 2-3) and the absence of patients with CTP C in the group receiving edoxaban suggest caution when interpreting these results^[84]. In addition a case report pointed out the efficacy of rivaroxaban in preventing PVT in LC, describing high-grade PVT occurrence in a 81 year-old woman with cryptogenic LC under treatment for chronic atrial fibrillation^[85]. Based on current evidence and pending randomized controlled trials, it has been suggested that DOAC should be restricted to well compensated LC patients (CTP A and B) with platelet count > 50,000, after hematologic consultation^[7].

Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt

TIPS allows to create a low-resistance channel for portal venous flow between the hepatic vein and intrahepatic portion of the PV, through an expandable metal or polytetrafluoroethylene covered stent. It finds wide applications in PH-related complication of LC, such as refractory or recurrent variceal bleeding and refractory ascites^[89]. TIPS placement with mechanical and/or pharmacologic thrombolysis represents also an attractive treatment option for PVT when AC is contraindicated or fails, but technical success of the procedure decreases in the presence of extended thrombosis or cavernous transformation of PV, so its use in these settings have been long discouraged. Recent interventional advances, including trans-hepatic or trans-splenic route beyond the standard trans-jugular access and refinement of stents, have increased procedure efficacy allowing to face always more complex cases^[90]. Therefore nowadays TIPS represents a suitable solution for selected patients with symptomatic complete main PV thrombosis and significant PH, with or without PV cavernous transformation, with reported rates of re-canalization ranging from 60% to 92% depending on the vascular access technique^[7]. In a recent meta-analysis of 13 studies (399 patients, 92% cirrhotic) TIPS (alone or in combination with catheterdirected thrombolysis and/or thrombectomy) was feasible in 95% of cases, carried a moderate risk of major complications (10%, mainly when additional catheter directed thrombolysis was performed) and was highly effective in achieving sustained recanalization of PVT (81% of cirrhotic patients at 12 mo)^[90]. In the evaluated studies PV re-thrombosis was not a frequent event after endovascular therapy and TIPS dysfunction was even less frequent, particularly with new covered stents. Noteworthy, the AC use post TIPS was associated with a non-significant higher pooled shunt patency rate (86% *vs* 83%), in accordance with previous studies^[91,92]. These results are particularly attractive since TIPS alone, likely due to the high velocity flow created by the shunt, seems effective in maintaining long term PV patency, allowing to avoid AC treatment. Conversely SMV involvement, which has been associated with lower patency rate in many studies, might benefit from post-TIPS AC but further confirmations are required^[90,91]. Moreover, TIPS placement resulted useful for PV revascularization as a bridge treatment to LT, and no association between shunt misplacement or occlusion and intra- or post-operative LT complication rates have been reported^[93-96]. TIPS alone or in combination with percutaneous mechanical and/or pharmacologic thrombolysis resulted also safe and effective in selected cases of PVT post-LT not responsive to AC^[97,98].

CONCLUSIONS

PVT is a common complication of LC, however its occurrence still remains elusive. More advanced stages of disease, previous history of PVT, concurrent thrombophilic genetic defects, venous stasis, HCC and recent endoscopic, radiological or surgical abdominal interventions have been proposed as the most reliable tools for PVT prediction, even if a pre-test scoring system is still lacking. Routine laboratory tests are unable to predict hemostatic balance in LC, while global coagulation assays, including thrombin generation tests and thromboelastography, although promising require validation in this clinical setting.

Table 2 Studies on direct oral anticoagulants efficacy and safety in cirrhotics with portal vein thrombosis

Ref.	Study design	No. of patients	Thrombosis extension	Drug and dosage	Duration (mo)	Outcome	Bleeding complication
Martinez <i>et al</i> ^[80]	Case report	1	Complete PVT+ SMVT	UFH (BT) + rivaroxaban 20 mg daily	6	Complete recanalization	No
Intagliata <i>et al</i> ^[81]	Case series	5	3 PVT, 2 PVT + SMVT	2 Rivaroxaban 20 mg daily (1 VKA as BT) 3 Apixaban 2.5 mg twice daily	1-7	Complete recanalization (2 treated with rivaroxaban) Stable (1 treated with apixaban) Unknown (2 other cases)	No
De Gottardi <i>et al</i> ^[82]	Prospective	22	N/A	Rivaroxaban/Dabigatran/ Apixaban (60% VKA or LMWH as BT) mainly at lower dose	14.6 (mean)	N/A 1 recurrence of PVT with rivaroxaban	1 major GI bleeding and 4 minor bleedings
Yang <i>et al</i> ^[83]	Case report	1	PVT	Rivaroxaban 15 mg twice daily for 3 wk, then 20 mg daily	6	Complete recanalization	No
Nagakoky <i>et al</i> ^[84]	Prospective	20	PVT	Edoxaban 30 mg daily (16) or 60 mg daily (4) (2 wk of danaparoid sodium as BT)	6	Partial recanalization	3 major GI bleedings
Ponziani <i>et al</i> ^[85]	Case report	1	PV and intrahepatic branches thrombosis	Already on rivaroxaban 20 mg daily treatment, then LMWH	N/A	Portal cavernoma	No
Lenz <i>et al</i> ^[86]	Case report	1	Partial PVT	Rivaroxaban 10 mg daily	5	Complete recanalization (recurrence after withdrawal)	No
Qi <i>et al</i> ^[87]	Case report	1	Occlusive SMVT SVT	Rivaroxaban 15 mg daily for 1 mo then 10 mg daily	3	Partial	Upper GI bleeding
Pannach <i>et al</i> ^[88]	Case report	1	PV and intrahepatic branches thrombosis	Rivaroxaban 20 mg daily	N/A	Resolution	No

UFH: Unfractionated heparin; VKA: Vitamin K antagonists; BT: Bridging therapy; LMWH: Low molecular weight heparin; PVT: Portal vein thrombosis; SMVT: Superior mesenteric vein thrombosis; SVT: Splenic vein thrombosis; GI: Gastrointestinal.

Currently, robust data on the optimal management of PVT in the setting of LC are lacking because of studies and patients heterogeneity. If no treatment is started, a close US-surveillance is advised, with a time frame of 6 mo in asymptomatic patients and 3 mo in patients on wait-list for LT. Furthermore, gastroesophageal varices screening is recommended prior to AC therapy for primary or secondary bleeding preventive strategies. AC is certainly required for patients awaiting LT, since it allows venous recanalization in case of thrombosis extending to the SMV or prevents thrombus extension to the portal mesenteric junction, which complicates surgical procedure and is associated with worse outcomes. AC in non-transplant population with high-grade PVT is more controversial, given the lack of benefit on LC outcomes, and should be established on a case by case basis.

LMWH and VKA are used for acute and long-term AC in LC, even if efficacy and safety data are not conclusive. DOACs use in LC population would be attractive but, pending randomized controlled trials, they should be restricted to well compensated patients after hematologic consultation. Interventional radiology, such as TIPS placement with mechanical and/or pharmacologic thrombolysis, represents also an attractive treatment option when AC is contraindicated or fails, but even if extended thrombosis or cavernous transformation of PV decrease technical success.

Certainly many advances regarding PVT pathophysiology, natural history and

treatment in LC have been made during the last decades. However, cardinal questions still remain unanswered. The answers to these questions should come from prospective cohort studies and randomized trials with large sample size, including detailed information about thrombus age, site and extension and a focus on short and long-term outcomes. Since these information could considerably change current clinical practice, the debate on PVT must go on.

REFERENCES

- 1 **European Association for the Study of the Liver.** EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Vascular diseases of the liver. *J Hepatol* 2016; **64**: 179-202 [PMID: 26516032 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.07.040]
- 2 **DeLeve LD,** Valla DC, Garcia-Tsao G; American Association for the Study Liver Diseases. Vascular disorders of the liver. *Hepatology* 2009; **49**: 1729-1764 [PMID: 19399912 DOI: 10.1002/hep.22772]
- 3 **Zanetto A,** Campello E, Spiezia L, Burra P, Simioni P, Russo FP. Cancer-Associated Thrombosis in Cirrhotic Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cancers (Basel)* 2018; **10** [PMID: 30453547 DOI: 10.3390/cancers10110450]
- 4 **Balfour GW,** Stewart TG. Case of Enlarged Spleen Complicated with Ascites, Both Depending upon Varicose Dilatation and Thrombosis of the Portal Vein. *Edinb Med J* 1869; **14**: 589-598 [PMID: 29639641]
- 5 **Ogren M,** Bergqvist D, Björck M, Acosta S, Eriksson H, Sternby NH. Portal vein thrombosis: Prevalence, patient characteristics and lifetime risk: A population study based on 23,796 consecutive autopsies. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; **12**: 2115-2119 [PMID: 16610067 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v12.i13.2115]
- 6 **Ponziani FR,** Zocco MA, Garcovich M, D'Aversa F, Roccarina D, Gasbarrini A. What we should know about portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients: A changing perspective. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012; **18**: 5014-5020 [PMID: 23049208 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v18.i36.5014]
- 7 **Intagliata NM,** Argo CK, Stine JG, Lisman T, Caldwell SH, Violi F; faculty of the 7th International Coagulation in Liver Disease. Concepts and Controversies in Haemostasis and Thrombosis Associated with Liver Disease: Proceedings of the 7th International Coagulation in Liver Disease Conference. *Thromb Haemost* 2018; **118**: 1491-1506 [PMID: 30060258 DOI: 10.1055/s-0038-1666861]
- 8 **Stine JG,** Argo CK, Pelletier SJ, Maluf DG, Caldwell SH, Northup PG. Advanced non-alcoholic steatohepatitis cirrhosis: A high-risk population for pre-liver transplant portal vein thrombosis. *World J Hepatol* 2017; **9**: 139-146 [PMID: 28217250 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v9.i3.139]
- 9 **Stine JG,** Shah NL, Argo CK, Pelletier SJ, Caldwell SH, Northup PG. Increased risk of portal vein thrombosis in patients with cirrhosis due to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Liver Transpl* 2015; **21**: 1016-1021 [PMID: 25845711 DOI: 10.1002/lt.24134]
- 10 **Nery F,** Chevret S, Condat B, de Raucourt E, Boudaoud L, Rautou PE, Plessier A, Roulot D, Chaffaut C, Bourcier V, Trinchet JC, Valla DC; Groupe d'Etude et de Traitement du Carcinome Hépatocellulaire. Causes and consequences of portal vein thrombosis in 1,243 patients with cirrhosis: Results of a longitudinal study. *Hepatology* 2015; **61**: 660-667 [PMID: 25284616 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27546]
- 11 **Zocco MA,** Di Stasio E, De Cristofaro R, Novi M, Ainora ME, Ponziani F, Riccardi L, Lancellotti S, Santoliquido A, Flore R, Pompili M, Rapaccini GL, Tondi P, Gasbarrini GB, Landolfi R, Gasbarrini A. Thrombotic risk factors in patients with liver cirrhosis: Correlation with MELD scoring system and portal vein thrombosis development. *J Hepatol* 2009; **51**: 682-689 [PMID: 19464747 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2009.03.013]
- 12 **Violi F,** Corazza GR, Caldwell SH, Perticone F, Gatta A, Angelico M, Farcomeni A, Masotti M, Napoleone L, Vestri A, Raparelli V, Basili S; PRO-LIVER Collaborators. Portal vein thrombosis relevance on liver cirrhosis: Italian Venous Thrombotic Events Registry. *Intern Emerg Med* 2016; **11**: 1059-1066 [PMID: 27026379 DOI: 10.1007/s11739-016-1416-8]
- 13 **Violi F,** Corazza GR, Caldwell SH, Talerico G, Romiti GF, Napoleone L, Perticone F, Bolondi L, Pietrangelo A, Vestri AR, Raparelli V, Basili S; PRO-LIVER Collaborative Group. Incidence and Recurrence of Portal Vein Thrombosis in Cirrhotic Patients. *Thromb Haemost* 2019; **119**: 496-499 [PMID: 30616246 DOI: 10.1055/s-0038-1676981]
- 14 **Stine JG,** Wang J, Shah PM, Argo CK, Intagliata N, Uflacker A, Caldwell SH, Northup PG. Decreased portal vein velocity is predictive of the development of portal vein thrombosis: A matched case-control study. *Liver Int* 2018; **38**: 94-101 [PMID: 28632958 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13500]
- 15 **Maruyama H,** Okugawa H, Takahashi M, Yokosuka O. De novo portal vein thrombosis in virus-related cirrhosis: Predictive factors and long-term outcomes. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013; **108**: 568-574 [PMID: 23381015 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.452]
- 16 **Nery F,** Correia S, Macedo C, Gandara J, Lopes V, Valadares D, Ferreira S, Oliveira J, Gomes MT, Lucas R, Rautou PE, Miranda HP, Valla D. Nonselective beta-blockers and the risk of portal vein thrombosis in patients with cirrhosis: Results of a prospective longitudinal study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019; **49**: 582-588 [PMID: 30671978 DOI: 10.1111/apt.15137]
- 17 **Zampino R,** Lebano R, Coppola N, Macera M, Grandone A, Rinaldi L, De Sio I, Tufano A, Stornaiuolo G, Adinolfi LE, Durante-Mangoni E, Battista GG, Niglio A. The use of nonselective beta blockers is a risk factor for portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients. *Saudi J Gastroenterol* 2018; **24**: 25-29 [PMID: 29451181 DOI: 10.4103/sjg.SJG_100_17]
- 18 **Tripodi A,** Mannucci PM. The coagulopathy of chronic liver disease. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **365**: 147-156 [PMID: 21751907 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra1011170]
- 19 **Tripodi A,** Salerno F, Chantarangkul V, Clerici M, Cazzaniga M, Primignani M, Mannuccio Mannucci P. Evidence of normal thrombin generation in cirrhosis despite abnormal conventional coagulation tests. *Hepatology* 2005; **41**: 553-558 [PMID: 15726661 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20569]
- 20 **Gatt A,** Riddell A, Calvaruso V, Tuddenham EG, Makris M, Burroughs AK. Enhanced thrombin generation in patients with cirrhosis-induced coagulopathy. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010; **8**: 1994-2000 [PMID: 20546119 DOI: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2010.03937.x]
- 21 **Davi G,** Ferro D, Basili S, Iuliano L, Camastra C, Giammarresi C, Santarone S, Rocca B, Landolfi R, Ciabattini G, Cordova C, Violi F. Increased thromboxane metabolites excretion in liver cirrhosis. *Thromb Haemost* 1998; **79**: 747-751 [PMID: 9569186 DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-1615058]
- 22 **Panasuk A,** Prokopowicz D, Zak J, Matowicka-Karna J, Osada J, Wysocka J. Activation of blood

- platelets in chronic hepatitis and liver cirrhosis P-selectin expression on blood platelets and secretory activity of beta-thromboglobulin and platelet factor-4. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2001; **48**: 818-822 [PMID: 11462931 DOI: 10.1002/mde.988]
- 23 **Lisman T**, Bongers TN, Adelmeijer J, Janssen HL, de Maat MP, de Groot PG, Leebeek FW. Elevated levels of von Willebrand Factor in cirrhosis support platelet adhesion despite reduced functional capacity. *Hepatology* 2006; **44**: 53-61 [PMID: 16799972 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21231]
- 24 **Lancellotti S**, Basso M, Veca V, Sacco M, Riccardi L, Pompili M, De Cristofaro R. Presence of portal vein thrombosis in liver cirrhosis is strongly associated with low levels of ADAMTS-13: A pilot study. *Intern Emerg Med* 2016; **11**: 959-967 [PMID: 27220954 DOI: 10.1007/s11739-016-1467-x]
- 25 **Mikula T**, Kozłowska J, Stańczak W, Sapuła M, Różyk A, Wiercińska-Drapała A, Serum ADAMTS-13 Levels as an Indicator of Portal Vein Thrombosis. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2018; **2018**: 3287491 [PMID: 29849584 DOI: 10.1155/2018/3287491]
- 26 **Amitrano L**, Brancaccio V, Guardascione MA, Margaglione M, Sacco M, Martino R, De Nucci C, Mosca S, Iannaccone L, Ames PR, Romano L, Balzano A. Portal vein thrombosis after variceal endoscopic sclerotherapy in cirrhotic patients: Role of genetic thrombophilia. *Endoscopy* 2002; **34**: 535-538 [PMID: 12170404 DOI: 10.1055/s-2002-33210]
- 27 **Erkan O**, Bozdayi AM, Disibeyaz S, Oguz D, Ozcan M, Bahar K, Karayalcin S, Ozden A, Bozkaya H, Yurdaydin C, Uzunalimoglu O. Thrombophilic gene mutations in cirrhotic patients with portal vein thrombosis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005; **17**: 339-343 [PMID: 15716659 DOI: 10.1097/00042737-200503000-00013]
- 28 **Saugel B**, Lee M, Feichtinger S, Hapfelmeier A, Schmid RM, Siveke JT. Thrombophilic factor analysis in cirrhotic patients with portal vein thrombosis. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2015; **40**: 54-60 [PMID: 25115839 DOI: 10.1007/s11239-014-1124-z]
- 29 **Amitrano L**, Guardascione MA, Brancaccio V, Margaglione M, Manguso F, Iannaccone L, Grandone E, Balzano A. Risk factors and clinical presentation of portal vein thrombosis in patients with liver cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2004; **40**: 736-741 [PMID: 15094219 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2004.01.001]
- 30 **Carnevale R**, Raparelli V, Nocella C, Bartimoccia S, Novo M, Severino A, De Falco E, Cammisotto V, Pasquale C, Crescioli C, Scavalli AS, Riggio O, Basili S, Violi F. Gut-derived endotoxin stimulates factor VIII secretion from endothelial cells. Implications for hypercoagulability in cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2017; **67**: 950-956 [PMID: 28716745 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.07.002]
- 31 **Violi F**, Ferro D, Basili S, Lionetti R, Rossi E, Merli M, Riggio O, Bezzi M, Capocaccia L. Ongoing prothrombotic state in the portal circulation of cirrhotic patients. *Thromb Haemost* 1997; **77**: 44-47 [PMID: 9031447 DOI: 10.1055/s-0038-1655904]
- 32 **Wosiewicz P**, Zorniak M, Hartleb M, Barański K, Hartleb M, Onyszczuk M, Pilch-Kowalczyk J, Kyrzcz-Krzemień S. Portal vein thrombosis in cirrhosis is not associated with intestinal barrier disruption or increased platelet aggregability. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2016; **40**: 722-729 [PMID: 27160816 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinre.2016.03.008]
- 33 **Politoske D**, Ralls P, Korula J. Portal vein thrombosis following endoscopic variceal sclerotherapy. Prospective controlled comparison in patients with cirrhosis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1996; **41**: 185-190 [PMID: 8565755 DOI: 10.1007/BF02208603]
- 34 **Bagheri Lankarani K**, Homayon K, Motevalli D, Heidari ST, Alavian SM, Malek-Hosseini SA. Risk Factors for Portal Vein Thrombosis in Patients With Cirrhosis Awaiting Liver Transplantation in Shiraz, Iran. *Hepat Mon* 2015; **15**: e26407 [PMID: 26977162 DOI: 10.5812/hepatmon.26407]
- 35 **Qi X**, Han G, Ye C, Zhang Y, Dai J, Peng Y, Deng H, Li J, Hou F, Ning Z, Zhao J, Zhang X, Wang R, Guo X. Splenectomy Causes 10-Fold Increased Risk of Portal Venous System Thrombosis in Liver Cirrhosis Patients. *Med Sci Monit* 2016; **22**: 2528-2550 [PMID: 27432511 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.898866]
- 36 **Dai J**, Qi X, Li H, Guo X. Role of D-dimer in the Development of Portal Vein Thrombosis in Liver Cirrhosis: A Meta-analysis. *Saudi J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 165-174 [PMID: 26021776 DOI: 10.4103/1319-3767.157567]
- 37 **Zhang D**, Hao J, Yang N. Protein C and D-dimer are related to portal vein thrombosis in patients with liver cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010; **25**: 116-121 [PMID: 19686413 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2009.05921.x]
- 38 **Sarin SK**, Philips CA, Kamath PS, Choudhury A, Maruyama H, Nery FG, Valla DC. Toward a Comprehensive New Classification of Portal Vein Thrombosis in Patients With Cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 2016; **151**: 574-577.e3 [PMID: 27575821 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.08.033]
- 39 **De Gaetano AM**, Lafortune M, Patriquin H, De Franco A, Aubin B, Paradis K. Cavernous transformation of the portal vein: Patterns of intrahepatic and splanchnic collateral circulation detected with Doppler sonography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1995; **165**: 1151-1155 [PMID: 7572494 DOI: 10.2214/ajr.165.5.7572494]
- 40 **Luca A**, Caruso S, Milazzo M, Marrone G, Mamone G, Crinò F, Maruzzelli L, Miraglia R, Florida G, Vizzini G. Natural course of extrahepatic nonmalignant partial portal vein thrombosis in patients with cirrhosis. *Radiology* 2012; **265**: 124-132 [PMID: 22891357 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.12112236]
- 41 **Girleanu I**, Stanciu C, Cojocariu C, Boiculescu L, Singeap AM, Trifan A. Natural course of nonmalignant partial portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients. *Saudi J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 288-292 [PMID: 25253363 DOI: 10.4103/1319-3767.141687]
- 42 **Garcovich M**, Zocco MA, Ainora ME, Annicchiarico BE, Ponziani FR, Cesario V, Campanale M, Gigante G, Siciliano M, Gasbarrini A. Clinical outcome of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients: To observe or to treat? *Hepatology* 2011; **54**: 1261A-1262A [DOI: 10.1016/S1590-8658(13)60485-5]
- 43 **Chen H**, Liu L, Qi X, He C, Wu F, Fan D, Han G. Efficacy and safety of anticoagulation in more advanced portal vein thrombosis in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; **28**: 82-89 [PMID: 26513611 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000000482]
- 44 **D'Amico G**, De Franchis R; Cooperative Study Group. Upper digestive bleeding in cirrhosis. Post-therapeutic outcome and prognostic indicators. *Hepatology* 2003; **38**: 599-612 [PMID: 12939586 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2003.50385]
- 45 **Dell'Era A**, Iannuzzi F, Fabris FM, Fontana P, Reati R, Grillo P, Aghemo A, de Franchis R, Primignani M. Impact of portal vein thrombosis on the efficacy of endoscopic variceal band ligation. *Dig Liver Dis* 2014; **46**: 152-156 [PMID: 24084343 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2013.08.138]
- 46 **Stine JG**, Shah PM, Cornella SL, Rudnick SR, Ghabril MS, Stukenborg GJ, Northup PG. Portal vein thrombosis, mortality and hepatic decompensation in patients with cirrhosis: A meta-analysis. *World J Hepatol* 2015; **7**: 2774-2780 [PMID: 26644821 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v7.i27.2774]
- 47 **Qi X**, Dai J, Yang M, Ren W, Jia J, Guo X. Association between Portal Vein Thrombosis and Survival in

- Non-Liver-Transplant Patients with Liver Cirrhosis: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2015; **2015**: 480842 [PMID: 25810714 DOI: 10.1155/2015/480842]
- 48 **Anstee QM**, Wright M, Goldin R, Thursz MR. Parenchymal extinction: Coagulation and hepatic fibrogenesis. *Clin Liver Dis* 2009; **13**: 117-126 [PMID: 19150316 DOI: 10.1016/j.cld.2008.09.013]
- 49 **Villa E**, Cammà C, Marietta M, Luongo M, Critelli R, Colopi S, Tata C, Zecchini R, Gitto S, Petta S, Lei B, Bernabucci V, Vukotic R, De Maria N, Schepis F, Karampatou A, Caporali C, Simoni L, Del Buono M, Zambotto B, Turolo E, Fornaciari G, Schianchi S, Ferrari A, Valla D. Enoxaparin prevents portal vein thrombosis and liver decompensation in patients with advanced cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 2012; **143**: 1253-1260.e4 [PMID: 22819864 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.07.018]
- 50 **Qi X**, Dai J, Jia J, Ren W, Yang M, Li H, Fan D, Guo X. Association between portal vein thrombosis and survival of liver transplant recipients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* 2015; **24**: 51-59, 4 p following 59 [PMID: 25822434 DOI: 10.15403/jgld.2014.1121.qjix]
- 51 **Rodríguez-Castro KI**, Porte RJ, Nadal E, Germani G, Burra P, Senzolo M. Management of nonneoplastic portal vein thrombosis in the setting of liver transplantation: A systematic review. *Transplantation* 2012; **94**: 1145-1153 [PMID: 23128996 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e31826e8e53]
- 52 **Dumortier J**, Czyglik O, Poncet G, Blanchet MC, Boucaud C, Henry L, Boillot O. Eversion thrombectomy for portal vein thrombosis during liver transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2002; **2**: 934-938 [PMID: 12482145]
- 53 **Ponziani FR**, Zocco MA, Senzolo M, Pompili M, Gasbarrini A, Avolio AW. Portal vein thrombosis and liver transplantation: Implications for waiting list period, surgical approach, early and late follow-up. *Transplant Rev (Orlando)* 2014; **28**: 92-101 [PMID: 24582320 DOI: 10.1016/j.tre.2014.01.003]
- 54 **Hernández Conde M**, Llop Herrera E, de la Revilla Negro J, Pons Renedo F, Fernández Puga N, Martínez Porras JL, Trapero Marugan M, Cuervas-Mons V, Sánchez Turrión V, Calleja Panero JL. Prevalence and outcome of portal thrombosis in a cohort of cirrhotic patients undergoing liver transplantation. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig* 2016; **108**: 716-720 [PMID: 27756145 DOI: 10.17235/reed.2016.4211/2016]
- 55 **Koh PS**, Chan SC, Chok KS, Sharr WW, Wong TC, Sin SL, Lo CM. The friendly incidental portal vein thrombus in liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2015; **21**: 944-952 [PMID: 25891227 DOI: 10.1002/lt.24149]
- 56 **Francoz C**, Valla D, Durand F. Portal vein thrombosis, cirrhosis, and liver transplantation. *J Hepatol* 2012; **57**: 203-212 [PMID: 22446690 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2011.12.034]
- 57 **Hibi T**, Nishida S, Levi DM, Selvaggi G, Tekin A, Fan J, Ruiz P, Tzakis AG. When and why portal vein thrombosis matters in liver transplantation: A critical audit of 174 cases. *Ann Surg* 2014; **259**: 760-766 [PMID: 24299686 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000000252]
- 58 **Feltracco P**, Barbieri S, Cillo U, Zanus G, Senzolo M, Ori C. Perioperative thrombotic complications in liver transplantation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 8004-8013 [PMID: 26185371 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i26.8004]
- 59 **Duffy JP**, Hong JC, Farmer DG, Ghobrial RM, Yersiz H, Hiatt JR, Busuttil RW. Vascular complications of orthotopic liver transplantation: Experience in more than 4,200 patients. *J Am Coll Surg* 2009; **208**: 896-903; discussion 903-5 [PMID: 19476857 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2008.12.032]
- 60 **Senzolo M**, Riva N, Dentali F, Rodríguez-Castro K, Sartori MT, Bang SM, Martinelli I, Schulman S, Alatri A, Beyer-Westendorf J, Di Minno MND, Ageno W; IRSVT study investigators. Long-Term Outcome of Splanchnic Vein Thrombosis in Cirrhosis. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2018; **9**: 176 [PMID: 30108204 DOI: 10.1038/s41424-018-0043-2]
- 61 **Chen H**, Turon F, Hernández-Gea V, Fuster J, Garcia-Criado A, Barrufet M, Darnell A, Fondevila C, Garcia-Valdecasas JC, García-Pagán JC. Nontumoral portal vein thrombosis in patients awaiting liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2016; **22**: 352-365 [PMID: 26684272 DOI: 10.1002/lt.24387]
- 62 **Amitrano L**, Guardascione MA, Menchise A, Martino R, Scaglione M, Giovine S, Romano L, Balzano A. Safety and efficacy of anticoagulation therapy with low molecular weight heparin for portal vein thrombosis in patients with liver cirrhosis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2010; **44**: 448-451 [PMID: 19730112 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e3181b3ab44]
- 63 **Mukerji AN**, Karachristos A, Maloo M, Johnson D, Jain A. Do postliver transplant patients need thromboprophylactic anticoagulation? *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2014; **20**: 673-677 [PMID: 24917126 DOI: 10.1177/1076029614538490]
- 64 **Abe W**, Ikejima K, Lang T, Okumura K, Enomoto N, Kitamura T, Takei Y, Sato N. Low molecular weight heparin prevents hepatic fibrogenesis caused by carbon tetrachloride in the rat. *J Hepatol* 2007; **46**: 286-294 [PMID: 17166617 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2006.08.023]
- 65 **Kopec AK**, Joshi N, Towery KL, Kassel KM, Sullivan BP, Flick MJ, Luyendyk JP. Thrombin inhibition with dabigatran protects against high-fat diet-induced fatty liver disease in mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2014; **351**: 288-297 [PMID: 25138021 DOI: 10.1124/jpet.114.218545]
- 66 **Cui SB**, Shu RH, Yan SP, Wu H, Chen Y, Wang L, Zhu Q. Efficacy and safety of anticoagulation therapy with different doses of enoxaparin for portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients with hepatitis B. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; **27**: 914-919 [PMID: 25856686 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000000351]
- 67 **Tripodi A**, Primignani M, Braham S, Chantarangkul V, Clerici M, Moia M, Peyvandi F. Coagulation parameters in patients with cirrhosis and portal vein thrombosis treated sequentially with low molecular weight heparin and vitamin K antagonists. *Dig Liver Dis* 2016; **48**: 1208-1213 [PMID: 27470055 DOI: 10.1016/j.cld.2016.06.027]
- 68 **Qi X**, De Stefano V, Li H, Dai J, Guo X, Fan D. Anticoagulation for the treatment of portal vein thrombosis in liver cirrhosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Eur J Intern Med* 2015; **26**: 23-29 [PMID: 25566699 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejim.2014.12.002]
- 69 **Loffredo L**, Pastori D, Farcomeni A, Violi F. Effects of Anticoagulants in Patients With Cirrhosis and Portal Vein Thrombosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2017; **153**: 480-487.e1 [PMID: 28479379 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.04.042]
- 70 **Rodríguez-Castro KI**, Vitale A, Fadin M, Shalaby S, Zerbinati P, Sartori MT, Landi S, Pettinari I, Piscaglia F, Han G, Burra P, Simioni P, Senzolo M. A prediction model for successful anticoagulation in cirrhotic portal vein thrombosis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **31**: 34-42 [PMID: 30188408 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000001237]
- 71 **Maruyama H**, Ishibashi H, Takahashi M, Shimada T, Kamesaki H, Yokosuka O. Prediction of the therapeutic effects of anticoagulation for recent portal vein thrombosis: A novel approach with contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *Abdom Imaging* 2012; **37**: 431-438 [PMID: 21904887 DOI: 10.1007/s00261-011-0800-0]

- 10.1007/s00261-011-9795-9]
- 72 **La Mura V**, Braham S, Tosetti G, Branchi F, Bitto N, Moia M, Fracanzani AL, Colombo M, Tripodi A, Primignani M. Harmful and Beneficial Effects of Anticoagulants in Patients With Cirrhosis and Portal Vein Thrombosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **16**: 1146-1152.e4 [PMID: 29066371 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2017.10.016]
- 73 **Pettinari I**, Vukotic R, Stefanescu H, Pecorelli A, Morelli M, Grigoras C, Sparchez Z, Andreone P, Piscaglia F; BO-LIVES (BOlogna LIVEr vascular Studies). Clinical Impact and Safety of Anticoagulants for Portal Vein Thrombosis in Cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019; **114**: 258-266 [PMID: 30538290 DOI: 10.1038/s41395-018-0421-0]
- 74 **Noronha Ferreira C**, Reis D, Cortez-Pinto H, Tato Marinho R, Gonçalves A, Palma S, Leite I, Rodrigues T, Pedro AJ, Alexandrino P, Serejo F, Sobral Dias M, Ferreira P, Vasconcelos M, Damião F, Xavier Brito L, Baldaia C, Fatela N, Ramalho F, Velosa J. Anticoagulation in Cirrhosis and Portal Vein Thrombosis Is Safe and Improves Prognosis in Advanced Cirrhosis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2019 [PMID: 30852769 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-019-05572-z]
- 75 **Zhang ZH**, Zhang JW, He P, Zhou Y, Sun CY. Fondaparinux is effective for acute portal vein thrombosis in decompensated cirrhotic patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017; **96**: e8256 [PMID: 29049216 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000008256]
- 76 **Elhosseiny S**, Al Moussawi H, Chalhoub JM, Lafferty J, Deeb L. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Cirrhotic Patients: Current Evidence and Clinical Observations. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **2019**: 4383269 [PMID: 30792971 DOI: 10.1155/2019/4383269]
- 77 **Intagliata NM**, Henry ZH, Maitland H, Shah NL, Argo CK, Northup PG, Caldwell SH. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Cirrhosis Patients Pose Similar Risks of Bleeding When Compared to Traditional Anticoagulation. *Dig Dis Sci* 2016; **61**: 1721-1727 [PMID: 26725062 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-015-4012-2]
- 78 **Caldeira D**, Barra M, Santos AT, de Abreu D, Pinto FJ, Ferreira JJ, Costa J. Risk of drug-induced liver injury with the new oral anticoagulants: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart* 2014; **100**: 550-556 [PMID: 24476812 DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2013-305288]
- 79 **Hum J**, Shatzel JJ, Jou JH, Deloughery TG. The efficacy and safety of direct oral anticoagulants vs traditional anticoagulants in cirrhosis. *Eur J Haematol* 2017; **98**: 393-397 [PMID: 28009449 DOI: 10.1111/ejh.12844]
- 80 **Martinez M**, Tandra A, Vuppalanchi R. Treatment of acute portal vein thrombosis by nontraditional anticoagulation. *Hepatology* 2014; **60**: 425-426 [PMID: 24395623 DOI: 10.1002/hep.26998]
- 81 **Intagliata NM**, Maitland H, Northup PG, Caldwell SH. Treating thrombosis in cirrhosis patients with new oral agents: Ready or not? *Hepatology* 2015; **61**: 738-739 [PMID: 24829112 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27225]
- 82 **De Gottardi A**, Trebicka J, Klinger C, Plessier A, Seijo S, Terziroli B, Magenta L, Semela D, Buscarini E, Langlet P, Görtzen J, Puente A, Müllhaupt B, Navascués C, Nery F, Deltenre P, Turon F, Engelmann C, Arya R, Caca K, Peck-Radosavljevic M, Leebeek FWG, Valla D, Garcia-Pagan JC; VALDIG Investigators. Antithrombotic treatment with direct-acting oral anticoagulants in patients with splanchnic vein thrombosis and cirrhosis. *Liver Int* 2017; **37**: 694-699 [PMID: 27784440 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13285]
- 83 **Yang H**, Kim SR, Song MJ. Recurrent acute portal vein thrombosis in liver cirrhosis treated by rivaroxaban. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2016; **22**: 499-502 [PMID: 27880998 DOI: 10.3350/cmh.2016.0016]
- 84 **Nagaoki Y**, Aikata H, Daijyo K, Teraoka Y, Shinohara F, Nakamura Y, Hatooka M, Morio K, Nakahara T, Kawaoka T, Tsuge M, Hiramatsu A, Imamura M, Kawakami Y, Ochi H, Chayama K. Efficacy and safety of edoxaban for treatment of portal vein thrombosis following danaparoid sodium in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Hepatol Res* 2018; **48**: 51-58 [PMID: 28342265 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.12895]
- 85 **Ponziani FR**, De Candia E, De Cristofaro R, Pompili M. Portal vein thrombosis occurrence in a cirrhotic patient during treatment with rivaroxaban. *Liver Int* 2017; **37**: 1251 [PMID: 28267885 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13406]
- 86 **Lenz K**, Dieplinger B, Buder R, Piringir P, Rauch M, Voglmayr M. Successful treatment of partial portal vein thrombosis (PVT) with low dose rivaroxaban. *Z Gastroenterol* 2014; **52**: 1175-1177 [PMID: 25313630 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1385171]
- 87 **Qi X**, Yoshida EM, Mendez-Sanchez N, Guo X. Rivaroxaban recanalized occlusive superior mesenteric vein thrombosis, but increased the risk of bleeding in a cirrhotic patient. *Liver Int* 2017; **37**: 1574-1575 [PMID: 28675619 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13511]
- 88 **Pannach S**, Babatz J, Beyer-Westendorf J. Successful treatment of acute portal vein thrombosis with rivaroxaban. *Thromb Haemost* 2013; **110**: 626-627 [PMID: 23846289 DOI: 10.1160/TH13-05-0407]
- 89 **Boyer TD**, Haskal ZJ; American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. The role of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in the management of portal hypertension. *Hepatology* 2005; **41**: 386-400 [PMID: 15660434 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20559]
- 90 **Madoff DC**, Gaba RC, Weber CN, Clark TW, Saad WE. Portal Venous Interventions: State of the Art. *Radiology* 2016; **278**: 333-353 [PMID: 26789601 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2015141858]
- 91 **Rodrigues SG**, Sixt S, Abraldes JG, De Gottardi A, Klinger C, Bosch J, Baumgartner I, Berzigotti A. Systematic review with meta-analysis: Portal vein recanalisation and transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for portal vein thrombosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019; **49**: 20-30 [PMID: 30450634 DOI: 10.1111/apt.15044]
- 92 **Wang Z**, Jiang MS, Zhang HL, Weng NN, Luo XF, Li X, Yang L. Is Post-TIPS Anticoagulation Therapy Necessary in Patients with Cirrhosis and Portal Vein Thrombosis? A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Radiology* 2016; **279**: 943-951 [PMID: 26653681 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2015150369]
- 93 **Salem R**, Vouche M, Baker T, Herrero JJ, Caicedo JC, Fryer J, Hickey R, Habib A, Abecassis M, Koller F, Vogelzang R, Desai K, Thornburg B, Hohlastos E, Resnick S, Lewandowski RJ, Sato K, Ryu RK, Ganger D, Kulik L. Pretransplant Portal Vein Recanalization-Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt in Patients With Complete Obliterative Portal Vein Thrombosis. *Transplantation* 2015; **99**: 2347-2355 [PMID: 25905983 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0000000000000729]
- 94 **Luca A**, Miraglia R, Caruso S, Milazzo M, Sapere C, Maruzzelli L, Vizzini G, Tuzzolino F, Gridelli B, Bosch J. Short- and long-term effects of the transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt on portal vein thrombosis in patients with cirrhosis. *Gut* 2011; **60**: 846-852 [PMID: 21357252 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2010.228023]
- 95 **Barbier L**, Hardwigen J, Borentain P, Biance N, Daghfous A, Louis G, Botta-Fridlund D, Le Treut YP. Impact of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunting on liver transplantation: 12-year single-center experience. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2014; **38**: 155-163 [PMID: 24183545 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinre.2013.09.003]
- 96 **Guerrini GP**, Pleguezuelo M, Maimone S, Calvaruso V, Xirouchakis E, Patch D, Rolando N, Davidson B,

- Rolles K, Burroughs A. Impact of tips preliver transplantation for the outcome posttransplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2009; **9**: 192-200 [PMID: 19067664 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2008.02472.x]
- 97 **Baccarani U**, Gasparini D, Risaliti A, Vianello V, Adani GL, Sainz M, Sponza M, Bresadola F. Percutaneous mechanical fragmentation and stent placement for the treatment of early posttransplantation portal vein thrombosis. *Transplantation* 2001; **72**: 1572-1582 [PMID: 11707747 DOI: 10.1097/00007890-200111150-00016]
- 98 **Lodhia N**, Salem R, Levitsky J. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt with thrombectomy for the treatment of portal vein thrombosis after liver transplantation. *Dig Dis Sci* 2010; **55**: 529-534 [PMID: 19242796 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-009-0735-2]



Published By Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-2238242
Fax: +1-925-2238243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

