

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13223

**Title:** Comparison of therapies by proton pump inhibitors and H2 receptor antagonists in patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding after endoscopic therapy : A meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 00188394

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-13 20:24

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-11 02:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript in its present form needs multiple clarifications, corrections and changes: 1. There are many grammatical and English language errors. The authors should have the manuscript revised by a native English speaker. 2. The abstract is very brief and the results section in the abstract quickly runs through the main finding without describing the study population, type of bleeding, types of therapy/PPI/H2B, etc. This can be expanded. 3. Aims and outcomes are not clearly defined. Definitions of recurrent bleeding, type and indications for surgery are not mentioned. What different types of endoscopic therapy were performed in the studies?

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13223

**Title:** Comparison of therapies by proton pump inhibitors and H2 receptor antagonists in patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding after endoscopic therapy : A meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 02530212

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-13 20:24

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-22 14:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good meta-analysis study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of PPI and HRA

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13223

**Title:** Comparison of therapies by proton pump inhibitors and H2 receptor antagonists in patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding after endoscopic therapy : A meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 02441672

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-13 20:24

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-15 09:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript addresses an issue of great importance clinic, with relevant results of metanalise between the two therapeutic options for upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13223

**Title:** Comparison of therapies by proton pump inhibitors and H2 receptor antagonists in patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding after endoscopic therapy : A meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 02953361

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-13 20:24

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-01 09:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I feel that this articles is too long, but good meta-analysis. If editors do not have any problem about length of article, it can be accepted.