

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20952

Title: MRI biomarkers of gastrointestinal motor function and fluid distribution

Reviewer's code: 03254999

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-27 12:45

Date reviewed: 2015-08-06 03:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study but I don't think we need to resort to expensive MRIs for these motility problems. Well-written but not relevant.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20952

Title: MRI biomarkers of gastrointestinal motor function and fluid distribution

Reviewer's code: 03408355

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-27 12:45

Date reviewed: 2015-08-23 21:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper submitted by Khalaf et al, overviewed the recent progress on MRI biomarkers for evaluating the gastrointestinal motor function and fluid distribution. The text was well-organized and comprehensive in content. The following were some comments to be addressed: 1. The abstract could be expanded by describing the MRI biomarkers. 2. P4, "Esophagus" may be more appropriate as a subtitle than "Upper gastrointestinal tract". 3. Suggest summarizing the MRI biomarkers in table, which may help the readers understand. 4. The diagnostic value of MRI biomarkers for gastrointestinal diseases may be discussed. 5. There were some grammatical mistakes. i.e. P7 "suggest that it also less dependent on". Please check carefully.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20952

Title: MRI biomarkers of gastrointestinal motor function and fluid distribution

Reviewer's code: 02543098

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-27 12:45

Date reviewed: 2015-08-02 12:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The report describes the impact of MRI on the assessment of gastrointestinal motor function and bowel fluid distribution. The manuscript can be published after correcting grammatical and typing errors. However, the quality of manuscript is very good. This manuscript is so good that it deserves publication. I truly enjoyed reviewing this manuscript. I think that the article will be widely cited many investigators in the gastroenterology. Lastly, I appreciate the opportunity of review of your manuscript.