

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 88005

Title: Recurrent biliary hemorrhage caused by metastasis of a malignant small round cell

tumor in the common bile duct: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00504581 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Chief Physician, Associate Specialist, Attending Doctor,

Doctor, Medical Assistant, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-05 19:05

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-14 20:58

**Review time:** 9 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ Y] Grade C:
	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty



# Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ Y] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

We could offer our congratulations to the authors for presenting this unusual clinical case, along with their diagnosis and management approach. It is indeed a rare clinical case; however, it remains unfortunately incomplete due to the lack of follow-up information post-surgery. The authors should consider adding details regarding the efficacy of endoscopic treatment with a covered biliary stent in controlling the hemobilia. While they report pain and hemorrhage control after surgery, further clarification on this The type of surgery performed is not clearly specified. In aspect would be beneficial. the context of distal malignant biliary tumors, the Whipple procedure is typically the standard surgical approach. The authors mentioned, "Cholangiocarcinoma resection and loosening of intestinal conglutination were performed." It would be greatly appreciated if the authors could provide a more detailed and comprehensive explanation of the procedures undertaken. Additionally, the authors mentioned that the patient had



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

undergone surgery nine years prior for an MSRCT in the back. It would be valuable to include additional information about the location, type of surgery, chemotherapy, and if available, the histologic data of the original tumor. This information would provide a more complete understanding of the patient's medical history.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 88005

Title: Recurrent biliary hemorrhage caused by metastasis of a malignant small round cell

tumor in the common bile duct: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05413535 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-15 11:41

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-26 04:10

**Review time:** 10 Days and 16 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ <mark>Y</mark> ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors have done a good job highlighting a rare case of haemobilia. Although there are no significant case reports on metastatic small round cell tumors presenting as haemobilia and bile duct metastasis, the paper has several grammatical and language errors. Also while describing the case the authors mention that the cholangiocarcinoma was removed, which appears to be a confusing statement since the case report is discussing SRCTs and not cholangiocarcinoma. The use of spyglass and stent for bleeding management does not correspond with a newer advancement as these testing modalities have been use for quiet some time in the diagnosis and and treatment management of biliary lesions. The authors need to update the manuscript with accurate information and also check the manuscript for grammatical and language errors and then conisder resubmitting for review.