

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13793

**Title:** The open surgery after endoscopic basket impaction

**Reviewer code:** 02941694

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-09-02 19:01

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-24 17:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your submission. But I don't know the point to learn a lesson.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13793

**Title:** The open surgery after endoscopic basket impaction

**Reviewer code:** 00227380

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-09-02 19:01

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-13 20:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Needs proper grammar and linguistic corrections to make it easier to read. For example, efforts in page 6 should be efforts.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13793

**Title:** The open surgery after endoscopic basket impaction

**Reviewer code:** 02936110

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-09-02 19:01

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-18 21:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper reported the results of six patients with endoscopic basket impaction that have been treated with open surgery. The results are interesting and encouraging, which provided the practical basis that open surgical procedures could be selected when endoscopic basket impaction occurred. However, some points should be improved. 1. Endoscopic basket impaction is a rare and unusual complication, and endoscopic or surgical procedures could be used for the basket impaction. In author's center, basket impaction happened in six patients out of 2092 ERCP procedures and all these six patients received open surgery. The authors demonstrated that skilled experience and sophisticated endoscopic devices were not widely available in many endoscopy centers, which limited the use of endoscopic procedures in endoscopic basket impaction. And what others reasons for patients with endoscopic basket impaction receiving open surgery based on the author's experiences? 2. The reason that open surgery not laparoscopic surgery was selected after endoscopic basket impaction during ERCP procedure should be discussed. 3. In the section of Abstract, "In the present study, we reported the results of six patients with endoscopic basket impaction that have been treated with open surgery. To our best knowledge the present report is the largest series so far" should be deleted, and demonstrated in the part of Introduction. 4. There are some new reports about endoscopic basket impaction during ERCP procedure, which were published in 2013 and 2014. Please add the related discussion in the paper. 5. On page 3, line 8, "the blank" before "The success rates depend on several factors..." should be deleted. 6. On page 4, line 17, "in Table 1,2" should be "in



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

Table 1 and 2". 7. On page 6, line 29, "20mm" should be "20 mm". 8. "0.56 %" should be "0.56%". The full text should be consistent.